



Article

Health Risk Perception, Consumption Intention and Willingness to Pay for Pig Products Obtained by Immunocastration

Jorgelina Di Pasquale ¹, Yari Vecchio ², Giovanna Martelli ², Luca Sardi ², Felice Adinolfi ² and Eleonora Nannoni ²

- ¹ Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Teramo, 64100 Piano D'Accio, Teramo, Italy; jdipasquale@unite.it (J.D.P.)
- ² Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences, University of Bologna, Via Tolara di Sopra 50, 40064 Ozzano Emilia (BO), Italy; giovanna.martelli@unibo.it (G.M.), luca.sardi@unibo.it (L.S), felice.adinolfi@unibo.it (F.A.), eleonora.nannoni2@unibo.it (E.N.)
- * Correspondence: yari.vecchio@unibo.it

Received: 07 August 2020; Accepted: 26 August 2020; Published: date

Table S1. Short, neutral information about immunocastration.

Neutral Information

In many countries of the world male pigs are castrated. The aim of castration is to avoid the development of objectionable meat odours (the so-called 'boar taint', whose development is due to sexual maturity) and to limit aggression and competition between animals.

One of the most frequently used methods (also in Italy) is surgical castration. According to legislation, surgical castration can be carried out without the administration of anaesthetics and/or analgesics when done within the first week of age. After the 7th day of life, castration must be carried out with the administration of anaesthetics and analgesics.

In Italy pigs are usually castrated before the 7th day of life.

Some alternative castration methods have been proposed. Among these, the most frequently used in countries such as Brazil, New Zealand and Australia is immunocastration. This method consists in the administration of a vaccine which stops the production of sexual hormones, therefore, preventing sexual maturity.

Table S2. Socio-demographic variables used in logit regression.

	Gender:							
Statistics	Variables	Frequency	Percentage	Percentage Valid	Cumulative percentage			
Valid	Male	485	50,0	50,1	50,1			
	Female	484	49,9	49,9	100,0			
	Total	969	99,9	100,0				
Missing	Sistem	1	,1					
Total	970	100,0						

	Education								
Statistics	Variables	Frequency	Percentage	Percentage Valid	Cumulative percentage				
Valid	Primary school	6	,6	,6	,6				
	Middle school	94	9,7	9,7	10,3				
	High school	531	54,7	54,8	65,1				
	3-year	133	13,7	13,7	78,8				

Animals 2020, 10, 1548 2 of 4

	university degree				
	5-year	205	21,1	21,2	100,0
	university				
	degree				
	Total	969	99,9	100,0	
Missing	Sistem	1	,1		
Total	970	100,0			

Household size							
				Percentage	Cumulative		
Statistics	Variables	Frequency	Percentage	Valid	percentage		
Valid	1	73	7,5	7,5	7,5		
	2	233	24,0	24,0	31,6		
	3	277	28,6	28,6	60,2		
	4	308	31,8	31,8	92,0		
	5	68	7,0	7,0	99,0		
	6	8	,8	,8	99,8		
	7	2	,2	,2	100,0		
	Totale	969	99,9	100,0			
Missing	Sistema	1	,1				
Total	970	100,0					

Annual household income:							
					Cumulative		
Statistic	Variables	Frequency	Percentage	Percentage Valid	percentage		
Valid	-10.000€	102	10,5	10,5 10,5			
	11.000 - 20.000 €	226	23,3	23,3	33,8		
	21.000 - 35.000	337	34,7	34,8	68,6		
	€						
	36.000 - 50.000	210	21,6	21,7	90,3		
	€						
	51.000 - 75.000	73	7,5	7,5	97,8		
	+ 75.000	21	2,2	2,2	100,0		
	Total	969	99,9	100,0			
Missing	Sistem	1	,1				
Total	970	100,0					

Area								
					Cumulative			
Statistic	Variables	Frequency	Percentage	Percentage Valid	percentage			
Valid	Northwest	248	25,6	25,6	25,6			
	North East	186	19,2	19,2	44,8			
	Center	183	18,9	18,9	63,7			

Animals 2020, 10, 1548 3 of 4

	South	238	24,5	24,6	88,2
	Island	114	11,8	11,8	100,0
	Total	969	99,9	100,0	
Missin	Sistem	1	,1		
g					
Total	970	100,0			

	Urban o Rural Area							
	Cumulative							
Statistic	Variables	Frequency	Percentage	Percentage Valid	percentage			
Valid	Urban	847	87,3	87,4	87,4			
	Rural	122	12,6	12,6	100,0			
	Total	969	99,9	100,0				
Missing	Sistem	1	,1					
Total	970	100,0						

Table S3. Output of post-hoc Scheffe Test (p<0.05); * indicates that the mean difference is significant.

Multiple Comparisons				Mean		
Dependent Variable				Difference	Std. Error	Sig.
			Pork from immunocastrated animals	(I-J) -29.355	3,705	
			Pork from animals surgically castrated with the			
			administration of anesthesia/analgesia	-9,605	3,953	0,2
			Pork from animals genetically selected for their low risk of developing boar taint	-12,652	4,125	0,0
			Pork from entire (non castrated) animals (I do not care of boar taint)	-5,418	3,941	0,7
			Pork from animals surgically castrated	29,355	3,705	
			Pork from animals surgically castrated with the administration of anesthesia/analgesia	19,751	2,791	
		Pork from immunocastrated animals	Pork from animals genetically selected for their low risk of developing boar taint	16,703°	3,029	
			Pork from entire (non castrated) animals (I do not care of boar taint)	23,937	2,774	
Assuming that the abandonment of surgical castration and the adoption of			Pork from animals surgically castrated	9,605	3,953	0,2
mmunocastration would improve pig welfare, at what extent, would you be willing	Scheffe		Pork from immunocastrated animals	-19,751	2,791	
to consume products obtained through the use of immunocastration? Please rate your score on a 0 to 100 scale		with the administration of anesthesia/analgesia	Pork from animals genetically selected for their low risk of developing boar taint	-3,048	3,328	0,9
			Pork from entire (non castrated) animals (I do not care of boar taint)	4,186	3,098	0,7
			Pork from animals surgically castrated	12,652	4,125	0,0
			Pork from immunocastrated animals	-16,703	3,029	
		Pork from animals genetically selected for their low risk of developing boar taint	Pork from animals surgically castrated with the administration of anesthesia/analgesia	3,048	3,328	0,9
			Pork from entire (non castrated) animals (I do not care of boar taint)	7,234	3,314	0,:
		Pork from immunocastrated animals Pork from entire (non castrated) animals (I Pork from animals surgically castrated with the do not care of boar taint) Pork from animals genetically selected for their low risk developing boar tainty	Pork from animals surgically castrated	5,418	3,941	0,
				-23,937	2,774	
			-4,186	3,098	0,7	
			developing boar taint	-7,234	3,314	0,3
		Pork from animals surgically castrated	Pork from immunocastrated animals	21,132	3,115	
			Pork from animals surgically castrated with the administration of anesthesia/analgesia	5,896	3,324	0,
			Pork from animals genetically selected for their low risk of developing boar taint	3,882	3,469	0,8
			Pork from entire (non castrated) animals (I do not care of boar taint)	3,862	3,314	0,8
			Pork from animals surgically castrated	-21,132	3,115	
		Dedictors in a second and a signal a	Pork from animals surgically castrated with the administration of anesthesia/analgesia	-15,236	2,347	
		Pork from immunocastrated animals	Pork from animals genetically selected for their low risk of developing boar taint	-17,250°	2,547	
			Pork from entire (non castrated) animals (I do not care of boar taint)	-17,270°	2,333	
lease indicate (expressing it as a percentage) at what extent do you think that			Pork from animals surgically castrated Pork from immunocastrated animals	-5,896	3,324 2,347	0,5
nmunocastration might carry some risks (even if still unknown) for consumers' ealth%	Scheffe	Pork from animals surgically castrated with the administration of	Pork from infimunocastrated animals Pork from animals genetically selected for their low risk of developing boar taint	15,236 -2,014	2,799	0,9
		anesthesia/analgesia	Pork from entire (non castrated) animals (I do not care of boar taint)	-2,033	2,605	0,9
			Pork from animals surgically castrated	-3,882	3,469	3,0
			Pork from immunocastrated animals	17,250	2,547	
		Pork from animals genetically selected for their low risk of developing boar taint	Pork from animals surgically castrated with the administration of anesthesia/analgesia	2,014	2,799	0,9
			Pork from entire (non castrated) animals (I do not care of boar taint)	-0,02	2,787	
			Pork from animals surgically castrated	-3,862	3,314	0,8
			Pork from immunocastrated animals Pork from animals surgically castrated with the	17,270	2,333 2,605	0,9
		do not care of boar taint)	administration of anesthesia/analgesia	,	,. ,.	

Animals 2020, 10, 1548 4 of 4



© 2020 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).