



Erratum

Erratum: Gravrok, J., et al. Beyond the Benefits of Assistance Dogs: Exploring Challenges Experienced by First-Time Handlers. *Animals* 2019, 9, 203

Jennifer Gravrok 1,* Dan Bendrups 2, Tiffani Howell 1 and Pauleen Bennett 1 D

- ¹ Anthrozoology Research Group, School of Psychology and Public Health, La Trobe University, Edwards Rd, Flora Hill, VIC 3552, Australia; t.howell@latrobe.edu.au (T.H.); pauleen.bennett@latrobe.edu.au (P.B.)
- Research Education and Development Team, Graduate Research School, La Trobe University, Edwards Rd, Flora Hill, VIC 3552, Australia; d.bendrups@latrobe.edu.au
- * Correspondence: j.gravrok@latrobe.edu.au; Tel.: +61-035-444-7317

The authors wish to make the following corrections [1]:

- 1. In Table 1, under case study 4, the code was originally labeled as H8, P8 and ADI 8; these labels should be H4, P4 and ADI 4, respectively. Please see the corrected Table 1 below. The codes were used correctly in the text.
- 2. In the first paragraph of 3.1.3 Hospital Admissions, the sentence "Even the handler who kept the dog with him during the hospital admission noted a regression in training." should be removed. This was an error.
- 3. The authors apologize for any inconvenience caused.



Citation: Gravrok, J.; Bendrups, D.; Howell, T.; Bennett, P. Erratum: Gravrok, J., et al. Beyond the Benefits of Assistance Dogs: Exploring Challenges Experienced by First-Time Handlers. *Animals* 2019, 9, 203. *Animals* 2021, 11, 237. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ani11010237

Received: 22 December 2020 Accepted: 8 January 2021 Published: 19 January 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Animals 2021, 11, 237 2 of 2

Table 1. Demographic information for case study participants.

Case Study	Type of AD	Handler Gender	Adult/Child	Participants	Code	Time of Interview (mo. Post AD Placement)	Mode of Interview
1	Seizure alert dog	Male	Young Adult	Handler	H1	6 12	In person In person
				Parent	P1	6 12	In person In person
				Instructor	ADI1	8	In person
	Psychosocial AD	Male	Middle Age Adult	Handler	H2	6 12	In person In person
2				Parent	P2	6 12	In person In person
				Carer	C2	7	Phone
				Instructor	ADI2	6 12	Phone In person
3	Mobility AD	Female	Adult	Handler	НЗ	6 12	In person Phone
Ü				Instructor	ADI3	6	In person
	Medical alert dog	Male	Child (age 12)	Handler	H4	6	In person
4				Parent	P4	6	In person
				Instructor	ADI4	6	In person
5	Guide dog	Male	Young Adult	Handler	H5	6 12	Phone Phone
				Parent	P5	6	Phone
	Medical alert dog	Female	Young Adult	Handler	H6	6	In person
6				Parent	P6	6 12	Phone Phone
				Other ²	O6	6	Phone
	Guide dog	Female	Child (age 14) ¹	Handler	H7	8 14	In person Phone
7				Parent	P7	8	In person
				Instructor Other ³	ADI7 O7	8 8	In person In person

 $^{^{1}}$ the handler was 14 years old at 8 months, 15 years old at 14 months; 2 the AD organization's psychologist; 3 the handler's learning support educator at school.

Reference

1. Gravrok, J.; Bendrups, D.; Howell, T.; Bennett, P. Beyond the Benefits of Assistance Dogs: Exploring Challenges Experienced by First-Time Handlers. *Animals* **2019**, *9*, 203. [CrossRef]