

COREQ item	Description
1. Interviewer	N.A.
2. Credentials	BSc (Hon)
3. Occupation	PhD student, Clinical Trial Coordinator
4. Gender	Female
5. Experience and training	5 years working in the field of DBS for movement and psychiatric conditions, mentoring and training conducted by S.R. on interview and analysis techniques.
6. Relationship established	Discussions via phone- explanatory sessions
7. Participant knowledge of the interviewer	Participants were aware the interviewer was completing the investigations for a PhD project and working on the clinical trial. Participants were informed that data collection and analysis was independent to their clinical care, and only de-identified data was to be shared with their clinical team.
8. Interviewer characteristics	No bias was identified or reported
9. Methodological orientation and theory	Thematic, content, and interpretive phenomenological analysis (IPA)
10. Sampling	Purposeful sampling
11. Method of approach	Invited to participate by psychiatrist, then followed up via phone by the interviewer.
12. Sample size	14
13. Non-participation	0
14. Setting of data collection	University
15. Presence of non-participants	No
16. Description of sample	Six people with OCD receiving DBS therapy who had reached clinical response (≥ 35 % improvement in symptoms), and six close family members of the people with OCD who had been involved in their care prior to DBS and post DBS.
17. Interview guide	Interview guide provided in Supplementary Material 1. All participants were asked the same core questions, prompts and follow up questions varied.
18. Repeat interviews	No
19. Audio recording	Interviews were audio recorded
20. Field notes	Notes regarding general impressions were taken
21. Duration	1 hour
22. Data saturation	Yes
23. Transcript returned	Participants reviewed codes generated from the interview transcript
24. Number of data coders	Two (N.A., S.R.)
25. Description of the coding tree	A set of codes was developed for each transcript, through an inductive and latent approach, the transcript and set of codes were reviewed several times and refined as necessary in an iterative manner. Each code was defined, participants reviewed the set of codes and definitions, and made any necessary changes.
26. Derivation of themes	The set of codes for each transcript were collectively reviewed to develop a thematic map with themes and sub-themes. Each theme was defined considering experiences from each individual and thus each set of codes relating to that theme. Themes were expanded using sub-themes, each set of themes was reviewed for internal homogeneity and external heterogeneity, however interdependencies between different themes and sub-themes were present.
27. Software	Qualtrics

28. Participant checking	Participants checked codes, minor changes were made based on feedback, and participants confirmed agreement with codes.
29. Quotations presented	Yes
30. Data and findings consistent	The theoretical framework and model proposed show global patterns in the data, there was an exception to these changes. One participant (P6) was unable to articulate meaningful changes during the interview, it is suspected that a comorbid autism spectrum disorder interfered with the DBS induced effects, ability to engage in further therapy to consolidate DBS induced effects, and the ability to express changes experienced. Another participant (P2) did not identify the multitude of changes others expressed. Thus, these participants represented a sub-group which deviated from the thematic map. Yet, these exceptions are discussed and represented for transparency.
31. Clarity of major themes	Three groups of themes are presented, themes and sub-themes were categorised into 1) psychopathological changes, 2) the self and identity and 3) changes identified by carers.
32. Clarity of minor themes	Sub-themes are presented, with a description, any cases which were not in agreement with the themes/ sub-themes are reported.