

Supplementary Materials

How to Motivate SARS-CoV-2 Convalescents to Receive a Booster Vaccination? Influence on Vaccination Willingness

Elias Kowalski ^{1,2}, Andreas Stengel ^{1,3}, Axel Schneider ², Miriam Goebel-Stengel ^{1,4}, Stepan Zipfel ¹, and Johanna Graf ^{1,*}

¹ Department of Psychosomatic Medicine and Psychotherapy, University Hospital Tübingen, 72076 Tübingen, Germany

² Health Department Freudenstadt, 72250 Freudenstadt, Germany

³ Charité Center for Internal Medicine and Dermatology, Department for Psychosomatic Medicine, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin and Berlin Institute of Health, 10117 Berlin, Germany

⁴ Internal Medicine and Gastroenterology, Helios Klinik Rottweil, 78628 Rottweil, Germany

* Correspondence: johanna.graf@med.uni-tuebingen.de ; Tel.: +49 7071 29-86719

Table S1. Differences in vaccination attitudes regarding sociodemographic aspects (n = 224).

	SARS-CoV-2 vaccination willingness	In favor of a high SARS-CoV-2 vaccination rate	In favor of pandemic defeat with vaccination	In favor of general mandatory vaccination	In favor of medical mandatory vaccination	Fear of adverse vaccination outcomes
Gender						
<i>U</i>	6121.5	5725.5	5712.0	5691.0	4897.5	5230.5
<i>Z</i>	-0.282	-1.131	-1.129	-1.263	-2.912	-2.128
<i>Mdn (female)</i>	80.0	81.0	73.5	0.0	10.0	69.5
<i>Mdn (male)</i>	80.0	98.0	65.5	2.0	52.5	50.0
<i>p</i>	0.778	0.258	0.259	0.207	0.004 **	0.033 *
Nationality						
<i>U</i>	2563.5	2792.5	3073.0	3259.5	3071.0	2957.5
<i>Z</i>	-2.178	-1.515	-0.672	-0.148	-0.698	-1.001
<i>Mdn (local nationality)</i>	81.0	91.0	70.0	0.0	41.0	50.0
<i>Mdn (foreign background)</i>	50.0	60.0	54.0	0.0	30.0	52.0
<i>p</i>	0.029 *	0.130	0.502	0.882	0.485	0.317
Educational level						
<i>U</i>	5362.5	4839.0	5425.5	5316.5	5174.0	4941.5
<i>Z</i>	-0.812	-1.987	-0.657	-0.963	-1.241	-1.708
<i>Mdn (low education)</i>	73.0	81.0	66.0	0.0	27.0	60.0
<i>Mdn (high education)</i>	83.0	99.0	70.0	10.0	50.0	32.0
<i>p</i>	0.417	0.047 *	0.511	0.335	0.214	0.088
Children						
<i>U</i>	4835.0	4897.0	4364.5	5193.0	5140.5	5482.0
<i>Z</i>	-1.986	-1.857	-2.966	-1.253	-1.317	-0.533
<i>Mdn (no children)</i>	52.0	77.0	50.0	0.0	20.0	57.0
<i>Mdn (children)</i>	83.0	95.0	78.0	4.0	50.0	50.0
<i>p</i>	0.047 *	0.063	0.003 **	0.210	0.188	0.594
Financial pandemic-related losses						
<i>U</i>	6053.5	5756.5	5463.0	6091.5	6131.0	6142.5
<i>Z</i>	-0.455	-1.092	-1.674	-0.394	-0.293	-0.260
<i>Mdn (no loss)</i>	81.0	93.0	71.0	0.0	25.0	50.0
<i>Mdn (loss)</i>	71.0	81.0	61.0	0.0	50.0	52.0
<i>p</i>	0.649	0.275	0.094	0.693	0.770	0.795

Statistics performed with Mann-Whitney U test. *U* = Mann-Whitney *U*. *Z* = z-value. *p* = p-value. * *p* < 0.05, ** *p* < 0.01. Bold values are significant.

Table S2. Differences in vaccination willingness regarding age (n = 224).

	Standard test statistics	Standard error	Significance (p)
<i>Young-Middle</i>	-3.545	9.538	<0.001 ***
<i>Young-Old</i>	-3.233	14.823	0.001 **
<i>Middle-Old</i>	-1.031	0.302	0.907

Statistics performed with Kruskal-Wallis test. p = p-value. ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001. Bold values are significant.

Table S3. Differences in vaccination attitudes regarding sociodemographic aspects, somatic factors, attitudes toward governmental regulations, subjective informativeness, and susceptibility to conspiracy theories (n = 224).

		In favor of a high SARS-CoV-2 vaccination rate	In favor of pandemic defeat with vaccination	In favor of general mandatory vaccination	In favor of medical mandatory vaccination	Fear of adverse vaccination outcomes
Sociodemographic aspects						
Age	r	0.307	0.351	0.158	0.150	-0.157
	p	<0.001 ***	<0.001 ***	0.018 *	0.024 *	0.019 *
Number of children	r	0.076	0.168	0.066	0.047	-0.016
	p	0.255	0.012 *	0.324	0.481	0.817
Number of household members	r	-0.017	0.020	0.046	0.057	0.068
	p	0.796	0.764	0.497	0.398	0.310
Income (free text)	r	0.289	0.203	0.112	0.088	-0.187
	p	<0.001 ***	0.002 **	0.094	0.188	0.005 **
Financial pandemic-related losses	r	-0.137	-0.171	-0.042	0.015	0.036
	p	0.040 *	0.010 *	0.531	0.828	0.597
Somatic Factors						
Current health	r	0.035	-0.007	0.040	-0.009	-0.113
	p	0.606	0.916	0.548	0.894	0.091
Worst health	r	0.082	0.042	0.060	0.052	-0.061
	p	0.222	0.535	0.374	0.439	0.365
Symptoms	r	-0.026	0.137	-0.016	-0.057	0.130
	p	0.700	0.041 *	0.817	0.393	0.051
Risk factors	r	-0.024	-0.138	-0.107	0.002	0.089
	p	0.723	0.039 *	0.109	0.978	0.182
Attitudes toward government's regulations						
Situation under control	r	0.245	0.292	0.191	0.178	-0.256
	p	<0.001 ***	<0.001 ***	0.004 **	0.008 **	<0.001 ***
Situation concealed	r	-0.432	-0.376	-0.254	-0.260	0.283
	p	<0.001 ***	<0.001 ***	<0.001 ***	<0.001 ***	<0.001 ***
Federal regulations	r	0.080	0.077	0.052	0.082	-0.047
	p	0.236	0.253	0.438	0.221	0.484
Measures too harsh	r	-0.160	-0.212	-0.098	-0.066	0.037
	p	0.017 *	0.001 **	0.145	0.325	0.583
Subjective informativeness and susceptibility to conspiracy theories						
Subjective informativeness	r	0.384	0.311	0.226	0.220	-0.317
	p	<0.001 ***	<0.001 ***	0.001 **	0.001 **	<0.001 ***
Virus as bioweapon	r	-0.192	-0.077	-0.026	-0.066	0.344
	p	0.004 **	0.253	0.701	0.328	<0.001 ***
Virus developed by pharmaceutical industry	r	-0.277	-0.251	-0.027	-0.060	-0.145
	p	<0.001 ***	<0.001 ***	0.684	0.373	0.030 *
Transplantation of microchips during testing	r	-0.244	-0.213	-0.066	-0.074	0.123
	p	<0.001 ***	0.001 **	0.328	0.270	0.067
More harmless than the flu	r	-0.275	-0.278	-0.209	-0.102	0.096
	p	<0.001 ***	<0.001 ***	0.002 **	0.127	0.152

r = Spearman's rho correlation coefficient. p = p-value. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001. Bold values are significant.