Supplementary Materials

Table S1. The cross tabulation results between farmers' perception of local units' accuracy and buyers' units-related immoral behavior influence extent.

Research Site			The farmer trading partners' Bowl-related immoral behavior influence extent					Total
			Very High	High	Medium	Low	Very Low	
Dendi	Farmers' perception of	Agree	0	21	12	13	8	54
	Bowl accuracy	Disagree	12	21	1	5	0	39
	Total		12	42	13	18	8	93
	Farmers' perception of	Agree	1	7	4	9	4	25
	Balance accuracy	Disagree	1	3	2	0	0	6
	Total		2	10	6	9	4	31
Bako-Tibe	Farmers' perception of	Agree	5	11	10	13	5	44
	Glass accuracy	Disagree	13	14	5	2	0	34
	Total		18	25	15	15	5	78
	Farmers' perception of	Agree	0	3	1	0	1	5
	Balance accuracy	Disagree	4	2	2	0	0	8
	Total		4	5	3	0	1	13
Adea	Farmers' perception of Sack	Agree	1	14	14	19	4	52
	accuracy	Disagree	8	12	0	0	0	20
	Total		9	26	14	19	4	72
	Farmers' perception of Can	Agree	0	0	0	4	0	4
	accuracy	Disagree	1	8	3	2	0	14
	Total		1	8	3	6	0	18
Gimbichu	Farmers' perception of sack	Agree	0	14	7	8	19	48
	accuracy	Disagree	3	5	4	6	0	18
	Total		3	19	11	14	19	66
	Farmers' perception of Can	Agree	0	0	1	4	2	7
	accuracy	Disagree	0	1	2	1	0	4
	Total		0	1	3	5	2	11