

Supplementary files

Table S1. Parameters of gas sensors based on porous 2D metal oxide nanostructures

Material (Form) (Technology)	GST	STR	T, nm	PD, μm	PS, nm	T_{oper} , $^{\circ}\text{C}$	Target gas	C_{gas} , ppm	Response	DL, ppm	Res./Rec. time, s	Ref.
SnO_2 (NF) (SnS_2 calcination)	DC	3D	~30	0.5-1.0	~18	220	Ethanol	100	$R_{\text{air}}/R_{\text{gas}} \sim 7$	<5	8/17	1
SnO_2 (NF) (HT)	CT	3D	N/A	N/A	N/A	200	Ethanol	200	$R_{\text{air}}/R_{\text{gas}} \sim 46$	<20	6/5	2
$\text{SnO}_2:\text{CeO}_2$ (NS) (HT)	CT	2D	15-30	0.8-1.0	20-30	340	Ethanol	100	$R_{\text{air}}/R_{\text{gas}} \sim 44$	<5	25/6	3
$\text{SnO}_2:\text{CeO}_2$ (NS)	CT	2D	N/A	10-15	10-15	160	3H-2B	50	$R_{\text{air}}/R_{\text{gas}} \sim 620$	~1	29/172	4
$\text{SnO}_2:\text{NiO}(5\%)$ (NS) (HT)	CT	3D	10-20	1-2	3-4	100	HCHO	100	$R_{\text{air}}/R_{\text{gas}} \sim 39$	<5	28/115	5
SnO_2 (NS) (HT)						200			$R_{\text{air}}/R_{\text{gas}} \sim 11$		53/99	
SnO_2 (NS) (HT)	CT	3D	N/A	~2	27	340	Acetic acid	100	$R_{\text{air}}/R_{\text{gas}} \sim 132$	<20	11/6	6
WO_3 (NF) (LA)	DC	2D	~50	1.5-3.5	~20	250	Ethanol	100	$R_{\text{air}}/R_{\text{gas}} \sim 3$	<10	N/A	7
$\text{WO}_3:\text{Pd}$ (NF) (ST)	ISG	3D	20-40	~1	4-100	200	NO	20	$R_{\text{gas}}/R_{\text{air}} \sim 200$	<1	135/50	8
WO_3 (NS) (CBD)	ISG	3D	20	N/A	N/A	100	NO_2	10	$R_{\text{gas}}/R_{\text{air}} \sim 460$	<1	54/63	9
$\text{WO}_3-\text{rGO}(20\%)$ (NS) (HT)	CT	2D	N/A	0.3-0.5	N/A	90	NO_2	10	$R_{\text{gas}}/R_{\text{air}} \sim 4$	1-2	10/9	10
ZnO (NF) (HT)	CT	2D 3D	N/A	N/A 1-2	N/A	350	Ethanol	50	$R_{\text{air}}/R_{\text{gas}} \sim 22$	N/A	12/14	11
ZnO (NF) (PM)									$R_{\text{air}}/R_{\text{gas}} \sim 87$		7/9	
ZnO (NS) (HT)	CT	2D	~100	N/A	~80	400	Ethanol	100	$R_{\text{air}}/R_{\text{gas}} \sim 11$	<10	12/231	13
ZnO (NS) (CS)	CT	3D	12-20	3-5	~5	320	Ethanol	100	$R_{\text{air}}/R_{\text{gas}} \sim 24$	<1	3/15	14
ZnO (NS) (HT)	CT	3D	10-40	3-6	9-45	400	Ethanol	200	$R_{\text{air}}/R_{\text{gas}} \sim 400$	~0.0.1	N/A	15
ZnO (NS) (ST)	CT	3D	20-40	1-2	7-25	280	Ethanol Acetone	100	$R_{\text{air}}/R_{\text{gas}} \sim 24$ $R_{\text{air}}/R_{\text{gas}} \sim 32$	<5	6/23 5/28	16
ZnO (NF) (HT)	CT	3D	20	1-3	3-40	420	Acetone	100	$R_{\text{air}}/R_{\text{gas}} \sim 17$	<5	9/8	17
ZnO (NS) (HT)	ISG	3D	N/A	~0.5	2-10	400 400 200	Ethanol Acetone H_2S	50 50 2.5	$R_{\text{air}}/R_{\text{gas}} \sim 5$ $R_{\text{air}}/R_{\text{gas}} \sim 16$ $R_{\text{air}}/R_{\text{gas}} \sim 163$	9/22 9/22 3/43	~2 <1 <1	18
ZnO (NS) (CS)	CT	2D	2.9-3.4	N/A	N/A	340	$\text{C}_5\text{H}_8\text{O}_2$	100	$R_{\text{air}}/R_{\text{gas}} \sim 190$	~1	19/94	19
ZnO (NF) (MHT)	CT	3D	40-80	N/A	30-60	170	NO_2	0.5	$R_{\text{gas}}/R_{\text{air}} \sim 125$	<0.1	N/A	20
ZnO (NS) (ST)	DC	2D	80	~1	60	200	NO_2	0.01	$R_{\text{gas}}/R_{\text{air}} \sim 75$	~0.1	N/A	21
ZnO (NS) (HT)	SP	2D	~15	0.5	~26	250	NH_3	100	$R_{\text{air}}/R_{\text{gas}} \sim 6$	<10	8/17	22

						HCHO		$R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 4$		13/22			
ZnO (NS) (HT)	CT	3D	30-80	1-2	~40	370	DMA	200	$R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 2000$	<1	16/15	23	
ZnO:Pd (NS) (CS+R)	ISG	3D	N/A	~1	N/A	220	CO	100	$R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 15$	20	100/255	24	
ZnO (NS) (CS)	CT	2D	N/A	1-2	14 47	320	Isopropanol	100	$R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 10$ $R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 33$	~1 N/A	N/A	25	
ZnO:CdS (NS) (CS)													
In ₂ O ₃ (NS) (ST)	CT	3D	20	1-2	~50	140	NO ₂	0.2	$R_{gas}/R_{air} \sim 40$	<0.01	N/A	26	
In ₂ O ₃ (NS) (HT)	CT	3D	15-30	1-2	5-25	RT	NO ₂	0.5	$R_{gas}/R_{air} \sim 620$	N/A	600/900	27	
In ₂ O ₃ (NS) (HT)	CT	2D	~4	0.5	~4	120	NO _x	10	$R_{gas}/R_{air} \sim 213$	~0.01	4/10	28	
In ₂ O ₃ (NS) (HT)	CT	3D	~3	~1	3-5	270	Ethanol	100	$R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 66$	~0.5	12/10	29	
In ₂ O ₃ :Ag,Pd (NS) (CS)	CT	3D	N/A	3.5-5	2-50	180	Toluene,	1	$R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 16$	~0.02	7/13	30	
In ₂ O ₃ :Yb (NS) (ST)	CT	2D	23	0.2	N/A	220	Acetone	50	$R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 15$	<5	<10/<10	31	
Co ₃ O ₄ (NS) (HT)	CT	2D	30	3-5	~3	150	Acetone	100	$R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 11$	<10	N/A	32	
Co ₃ O ₄ :Mn (NS) (ST)	CN	2D	N/A	N/A	2.3	125	CO	40	$R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 3.8$	0.5	12/26	33	
Co ₃ O ₄ :CdS (NS)	ISG	3D	3	1	2-100	RT	H ₂ S	100	$R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 13$	1-5	0.6/1	34	
CuO(NS) (HT)	ISG	2D	62	0.5-1.2	5-17	RT	H ₂ S	0.01	$R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 1.25$	N/A	234/76	35	
CeO ₂ (NS) (HT-D)	N/A	2D	N/A	N/A	~15	450	CO	500	$R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 1.12$	~10	2/2	36	
TiO ₂ :Pd (NS) (TM)	CT	2D	N/A	1-10	3-8	230	H ₂	1000	$R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 9$	~1	1.6/1.4	37	
CuO (NS) (HT-D)	c-CuO	CT	3D	N/A	~1	15-30	260	Ethanol	100	$R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 15$	< 1	13/8 15/17	38
	a-CuO							HCHO	50	$R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 11$			
CuO(NF)-rGO (HT)	DC	2D	20-40	>1	2-2.5	23	NO ₂	100	$R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 150$	<0.05	7/50	39	
NiO (NS) (SCS)	ISG	2D	N/A	N/A	N/A	200	Ethanol	100	$R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 2$	<10	N/A	40	
NiO (NS) (HT)	DC	3D	N/A	0.3-0.35	~5	150	NH ₃	15	$R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 1.9$	<2	140/70	41	
NiO (NS)	ISG	3D	<10	<1	1.5-15	225	Acetone	0.1	$R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 6$	<0.01	N/A	42	
NiO (NS) (HT)	CT	2D	~15-20	0.2-0.4	~90	92	N ₂ H ₄	100	$R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 110$	~0.01	50/30	43	
V ₂ O ₅ -NiO (NS) (CS)	SP	3D	~5	~20	N/A	200	H ₂ S	0.5	$R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 6.5$	0.02	8/25	44	
NiO:Fe ₂ O ₃ (1.5%) (NS) (CS-D)	CT	3D	N/A	N/A	~23	255	Ethanol Methanol	100	$R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 170$ $R_{air}/R_{gas} \sim 108$	<5	0.5/15 0.1/11	45	

$C_5H_8O_2$ – acetylacetone; CBD – chemical bath deposition; C(gas) – gas concentration; CS - chemical synthesis; CS+R - chemical synthesis+ reduction; CT - ceramic technology; DC - drop-casting method; DL – detector limit; DMA - dimethylamine; GST – gas sensor technology; 3H-2B - 3-hydroxy-2-butanone; HCHO – formaldehyde; HT –hydrothermal; HT-D – hydrothermal synthesis +decomposition; ISG - in-situ growth; LA – pulse laser ablation; MHT – microwave hydrothermal; N/A – not available; NF- nanoflakes; NS – nanosheets; PD - planar dimension; PM – precipitation method; PS - pore size; rGO – reduced graphene

oxide; RT – room temperature; SC - sonochemical method; SCS - solution combustion synthesis; SP - screen-printing; ST – solvothermal process; STR – structure; T - thickness; TM – template method;

References

1. Q. Wang, D. Wang, M. Wu, B. Liu, J. Chen, T. Wang, J. Chen, Porous SnO₂ nanoflakes with loose-packed structure: Morphology conserved transformation from SnS₂ precursor and application in lithium ion batteries and gas sensors. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* 72 (2011), 630-636.
2. O. Almamoun, S. Ma, A. Alshiply, Facile Synthesis of 3D Porous SnO₂ Nano Flakes by a Simple Hydrothermal Method and Their Ethanol Gas Sensor Properties. *Intern. J. Eng. Res. Manag. (IJERM)* 5 (3) (2018), 25-28.
3. S. Yan, X. Liang, H. Song, S. Ma, Y. Lu, Synthesis of porous CeO₂-SnO₂ nanosheets gas sensors with enhanced sensitivity. *Ceram Intern.* 44 (2018), 358-363.
4. X.-Y. Yang, Y.-T. Shi, F.-L. Gong, J.-L. Chen, G.-X. Jin, Q. Guo, et al., Asymmetric interfacial oxygen sites of porous CeO₂-SnO₂ nanosheets enabling highly sensitive and selective detection of 3-hydroxy-2-butanone biomarkers. *Sens. Actuators B* 371 (2022), 132500.
5. D. Meng, D. Liu, G. Wang, Y. Shen, X. San, M. Li, F. Meng, Low-temperature formaldehyde gas sensors based on NiO-SnO₂ heterojunction microflowers assembled by thin porous nanosheets. *Sens. Actuators B* 273 (2018) 418-428.
6. T.T. Wang, S.Y. Ma, L. Cheng, X.L. Xu, J. Luo, X.H. Jiang, W.Q. Li, W.X. Jin, X.X. Sun, Performance of 3D SnO₂ microstructure with porous nanosheets for acetic acid sensing. *Mater. Lett.* 142 (2015) 141-144.
7. J. Xiao, P. Liu, Y. Liang, H. B. Li and G. W. Yang, Porous tungsten oxide nanoflakes for highly alcohol sensitive performance Porous tungsten oxide nanoflakes for highly alcohol sensitive performance Porous tungsten oxide nanoflakes for highly alcohol sensitive performance. *Nanoscale*, 2012, 4, 7078-7083.
8. Z.-X. Cai, H.-Y. Li, J.-C. Ding, X. Guo, Hierarchical flowerlike WO₃ nanostructures assembled by porous nanoflakes for enhanced NO gas sensing. *Sens. Actuators B* 246 (2017) 225-234.
9. M. Wang, Y. Wang, X. Li, C. Ge, S. Hussain, G. Liu, G. Qiao, WO₃ porous nanosheet arrays with enhanced low temperature NO₂ gas sensing performance. *Sens. Actuators B* 316 (2020), 128050.
10. Q. Hao, T. Liu, J. Liu, Q. Liu, X. Jing, H. Zhang, G. Huang, J. Wang, Controllable synthesis and enhanced gas sensing properties of a single-crystalline WO₃-rGO porous nanocomposite. *RSC Adv.* 7 (2017), 14192-14199.

11. W. Guo, T. Liu, J. Wang, W. Yu, R. Sun, Y. Chen, S. Hussain, X. Peng, Z. Wang, Hierarchical ZnO porous microspheres and their gas-sensing properties Hierarchical ZnO porous microspheres and their gas-sensing properties. *Ceram. Intern.* 39 (2013) 5919-5924.
12. F. Fan, P. Tang, Y. Wang, Y. Feng, A. Chen, R. Luo, D. Li, Facile synthesis and gas sensing properties of tubular hierarchical ZnO self-assembled by porous nanosheets. *Sens. Actuators B* 215 (2015) 231-240.
13. L.V. Duy, N.H. Hanh, D.N. Son, P.T. Hung, C.M. Hung, N.V. Duy, et al., Facile Hydrothermal Synthesis of Two-Dimensional Porous ZnO Nanosheets for Highly Sensitive Ethanol Sensor. *J. Nanomater.* 2019, 4867909.
14. J. Huang, Y. Wu, C. Gu, M. Zhai, Y. Sun, J. Liu, Fabrication and gas-sensing properties of hierarchically porous ZnO architectures. *Sens. Actuators B* 155 (2011) 126-133.
15. L. Zhang, J. Zhao, H. Lu, L. Li, J. Zheng, H. Li, Z. Zhu, Facile synthesis and ultrahigh ethanol response of hierarchically porous ZnO nanosheets. *Sens. Actuators B* 161 (2012) 209-215.
16. J. Li, H. Fan, X. Jia, Multilayered ZnO Nanosheets with 3D Porous Architectures: Synthesis and Gas Sensing Application. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 114 (2010), 14684–14691.
17. X. Wang, W. Liu, J. Liu, F. Wang, J. Kong, S. Qiu, C. He, L. Luan, Synthesis of Nestlike ZnO Hierarchically Porous Structures and Analysis of Their Gas Sensing Properties. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 4 (2012), 817–82
18. Y. Zhu, Y. Wang, G. Duan, H. Zhang, Y. Li, G. Liu, L. Xu, W. Cai, In situ growth of porous ZnO nanosheet-built network film as high-performance gas sensor. 221 (2015), 350-356.
19. F. Liu, X. Wang, X. Chen, X. Song, J. Tian, H. Cui, Porous ZnO Ultrathin Nanosheets with High Specific Surface Areas and Abundant Oxygen Vacancies for Acetylacetone Gas Sensing. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 11 (2019), 24757–24763.
20. M. Chen, Z. Wang, D. Han, F. Gu, G. Guo, Porous ZnO Polygonal Nanoflakes: Synthesis, Use in High-Sensitivity NO₂ Gas Sensor, and Proposed Mechanism of Gas Sensing. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2011, 115, 12763–12773.
21. M.S. Choi, M.Y. Kim, A. Mirzaei, H.-S. Kim, S.-I. Kim, S.-H. Baek, et al., Selective, sensitive, and stable NO₂ gas sensor based on porous ZnO nanosheets. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 568 (2021) 150910.

22. J. Liu, Z. Guo, F. Meng, T. Luo, M. Li, J. Liu, Novel porous single-crystalline ZnO nanosheets fabricated by annealing ZnS(en)_{0.5} (en = ethylenediamine) precursor. Application in a gas sensor for indoor air contaminant detection. *Nanotechnology* 20 (2009) 125501.
23. L. Zhang, J. Zhao, H. Lu, L. Li, J. Zheng, J. Zhang, H. Li, Z. Zhu, Highly sensitive and selective dimethylamine sensors based on hierarchical ZnO architectures composed of nanorods and nanosheet-assembled microspheres. *Sens. Actuators B* 171-172 (2012b) 1101-1109.
24. N. Luo, B. Zhang, D. Zhang, J. Xu, Enhanced CO sensing properties of Pd modified ZnO porous nanosheets. *Chinese Chem. Lett.* 31 (2020) 2033-2036.
25. H. Zhang, Z. Jin, M.-D. Xu, Y. Zhang, J. Huang, H. Cheng, et al., Enhanced isopropanol sensing performance of the CdS nanoparticle decorated ZnO porous nanosheets based gas sensors. *IEEE Sensors J.* 21 (12) (2021a), 13041-13047.
26. X. Xu, X. Li, H. Zhang, C. Feng, C. Wang, F. Liu, Synthesis, characterization and gas sensing properties of porous flower-like indium oxide nanostructures. *RSC Adv.* 5 (2015), 30297-30302.
27. X. Xu, D. Wang, W. Wang, P. Sun, J. Ma, X. Liang, et al., Porous hierarchical In₂O₃ nanostructures: Hydrothermal preparation and gas sensing properties. *Sens. Actuators B* 171-172 (2012a), 1066-1072.
28. X. Wang, J. Su, H. Chen, G.-D. Li, Z. Shi, H. Zou, X. Zou, Ultrathin In₂O₃ nanosheets with uniform mesopores for highly sensitive nitric oxide detection. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 9 (19) (2017), 16335–16342.
29. W. Qin, Z. Yuan, H. Gao, F. Meng, Ethanol Sensors Based on Porous In₂O₃ Nanosheet-Assembled Micro-Flowers. *Sensors* 2020, 20, 3353;
30. X. Liu, X. Duan, C. Zhang, P. Hou, X. Xu, Improvement toluene detection of gas sensors based on flower-like porous indium oxide nanosheets. *J. Alloys Compounds* 897 (2022), 163222.
31. W.H. Zhang, S.-J. Ding, Q.-S. Zhang, H. Yi, Z.-X. Liu, M.-L. Shi, R.-F. Guan, L. Yue, Rare earth element-doped porous In₂O₃ nanosheets for enhanced gas-sensing performance. *Rare Met.* 40(6) (2021b), 1662–1668.
32. Z. Zhang, Z. Wen, Z. Ye, L. Zhu, Gas sensors based on ultrathin porous Co₃O₄ nanosheets to detect acetone at low temperature. *RSC Adv.* 5 (2015), 59976-59982.
33. C. Qin, B. Wang, Y. Wang, Metal-organic frameworks-derived Mn-doped Co₃O₄ porous nanosheets and enhanced CO sensing performance. *Sens. Actuators B* 351 (2022) 130943.

34. M. Dun, J. Tan, W. Tan, M. Tang, X. Huang, CdS quantum dots supported by ultrathin porous nanosheets assembled into hollowed-out Co₃O₄ microspheres: A room-temperature H₂S gas sensor with ultra-fast response and recovery. *Sens. Actuators B* 298 (2019) 126839.
35. Z. Li, N. Wang, Z. Lin, J. Wang, W. Liu, K. Sun, Y.Q. Fu, Z. Wang, Room-Temperature High-Performance H₂S Sensor Based on Porous CuO Nanosheets Prepared by Hydrothermal Method. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 8 (32) (2016), 20962-20968.
36. P. Li, B. Wang, Y. Wang, Ultrafast CO Sensor Based on Flame-annealed Porous CeO₂ Nanosheets for Environmental Application. *J. Inorg. Mater.* 36 (11) (2021), 12223-1230.
37. D. Wang, J. Yang, L. Bao, Y. Cheng, L. Tian, Q. Ma, et al., Pd nanocrystal sensitization two-dimension porous TiO₂ for instantaneous and high efficient H₂ detection. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 597 (2021) 29-38.
38. Z. Tian, H. Bai, Y. Li, W. Liu, J. Li, Q. Kong, G. Xi, Gas-Sensing Activity of Amorphous Copper Oxide Porous Nanosheets. *ChemistryOpen* 9 (2020), 80–86.
39. H. Bai, H. Guo, J. Wang, Y. Dong, B. Liu, Z. Xie, et al., A room-temperature NO₂ gas sensor based on CuO nanoflakes modified with rGO nanosheets. *Sens. Actuators B* 337 (2021), 129783.
40. C. Dong, X. Xiao, G. Chen, H. Guan, Y. Wang, I. Djerdj, Porous NiO nanosheets self-grown on alumina tube using a novel flash synthesis and their gas sensing properties. *RSC Adv.* 5 (2015), 4880-4885.
41. F.-R. Juang, C.-H. Hsieh, I.-Y. Huang, W.-Y. Wang, W.-B. Lin, L. Yen, Dispersed and spherically assembled porous NiO nanosheets for low concentration ammonia gas sensing applications. *Solid State Electron.* 189 (2022) 108224.
42. C. Li, P.G. Choi, K. Kim, Y. Masuda, High performance acetone gas sensor based on ultrathin porous NiO nanosheet. *Sens. Actuators B* 367 (2022), 132143.
43. S. Feng, H. Yu, X. Zhang, L. Huo, R. Gao, P. Wang, et al., Ionic liquid-assisted synthesis of 2D porous lotus root slice-shaped NiO nanomaterials for selective and highly sensitive detection of N₂H₄. *Sens. Actuators B* 359 (2022) 131529.
44. T. Qiu, N. Luo, M. Guo, H. Cai, Z. Cheng, J. Xu, Synthesis and Enhanced H₂S Sensing Properties of V₂O₃ -NiO Nanoflower Assembled by Porous Nanosheets. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 169 (2022), 037504.
45. W. Tan, J. Tan, L. Fan, Z. Yu, J. Qian, X. Huang, Fe₂O₃-loaded NiO Nanosheets for Fast Response/recovery and High Response Gas Sensor. *Sens. Actuators B* 256 (2018) 282-293.