

Article

IoT-Oriented Design of an Associative Memory Based on Impulsive Hopfield Neural Network with Rate Coding of LIF Oscillators

Petr Boriskov

Institute of Physics and Technology, Petrozavodsk State University, 33 Lenin str., Petrozavodsk 185910, Russia; boriskov@petrsu.ru; Tel.: +7-906-206-7901

Received: 16 July 2020; Accepted: 4 September 2020; Published: 8 September 2020



Abstract: The smart devices in Internet of Things (IoT) need more effective data storage opportunities, as well as support for Artificial Intelligence (AI) methods such as neural networks (NNs). This study presents a design of new associative memory in the form of impulsive Hopfield network based on leaky integrated-and-fire (LIF) RC oscillators with frequency control and hybrid analog–digital coding. Two variants of the network schemes have been developed, where spiking frequencies of oscillators are controlled either by supply currents or by variable resistances. The principle of operation of impulsive networks based on these schemes is presented and the recognition dynamics using simple two-dimensional images in gray gradation as an example is analyzed. A fast digital recognition method is proposed that uses the thresholds of zero crossing of output voltages of neurons. The time scale of this method is compared with the execution time of some network algorithms on IoT devices for moderate data amounts. The proposed Hopfield algorithm uses rate coding to expand the capabilities of neuromorphic engineering, including the design of new hardware circuits of IoT.

Keywords: spike neural networks; Hopfield neural network; LIF neuron; firing rate coding; analog–digital coding; IoT devices

1. Introduction

The number of real-world Internet of Things (IoT) deployments is continuously and steadily increasing, but the capabilities of single IoT devices cannot yet be exploited for the purpose of artificial intelligence (AI). The main reason is computation complexity and energy consumption, which are the constraining requirements for the development and implementation of truly intelligent IoT devices with AI [1,2]. A solution to address this problem could be to use the chips for IoT devices based on NNs with low energy consumption and simplified computing base. In recent decades spike neural networks (SNNs) have been intensively developed in this direction [3,4], although they are still inferior to classical NNs using threshold adders in speed and accuracy of doing tasks in most application areas. Nevertheless, the obvious proximity to the operation of real biological neurons combined with greater variability in learning and coding make SNNs ultimately more promising than traditional NNs of first-and second-generation.

There are two main coding methods in SNNs: temporal coding and firing rate coding [5–11]. The first one, which consists of recording and comparing the intervals between neural spikes, is very widespread because of the diversity of coding and its high informative content. In particular, the spike synchronization in SNNs is also associated with this method [9,10]. The second one (rate coding) is characterized by neglecting accurate information about the appearance of spikes—only their average number in some time windows is important. Which of these methods of coding plays a decisive role in nervous activity remains a subject of discussion [5,11]. However, at least, rate coding is more practical



because recording of oscillation frequencies (rates) is technically easier than recording of their phases, and so this method can be implemented to hardware circuits using simple (standard) schemes of signal processing.

Among the many models of spike neurons, the simplest and most popular model is the leaky integrated-and-fire (LIF) model of a neuron with a threshold activation function [12,13]. A LIF neuron can be created on the basis of a simple relaxation RC generator with an element, which has S-type I–V characteristic [14]. S-switch element can be a silicon trigger diode or a thin film switch based on transition metal oxides [15–17]. A LIF neuron oscillator based on the S-switch element can control the frequency of spikes by the circuit source (current or voltage), similar to conventional RC generators, or, as shown in the study [18], by a variable resistor connected in parallel with the capacitance. A feature of the operation of the circuit [18] is the strong nonlinear dependence of the relaxation oscillation frequency on this resistance, which has a sigmoid-like form.

The Hopfield network (HN) [19,20] is an important algorithm of NN development [21] which can accurately identify the object and accurately identify digital signals even if they are contaminated by noise. This algorithm can be fast, which takes an analog circuit processing advantage rather than digital circuit [22]. Unlike the software realization of HN, the hardware implementation of the algorithm makes brain-like computations possible [23].

The main disadvantage of HN is a low information capacity, and for large networks the required number of neurons should exceed the number of classified images (signals) by more than 6 times [24]. Therefore, one of the direction of HN applications is the development of small compact network modules for moderate data processing [25–27], using the advantages of HN in recognition accuracy and one step learning process [19]. In applications of IoT, for example, the relationship between the fault set and the alarm set of multiple links can be established through the proposed HN [26]. The built-in Hopfield algorithm of the energy function is used to resolve fault location in smart cities [27].

In this paper, we developed a design of new associative memory, which is an SNN of oscillator type and has Hopfield architecture and algorithm of the energy function. Two variants of these network schemes in the form of impulsive HN (IHN) use the rate coding of LIF neurons with S-switch elements and a hybrid analog–digital processing and coding method. Based on the analysis of the dynamics of the output voltages of IHN neurons, a fast digital method of recognition indication is proposed on the example of simple two-dimensional images in gray color gradation.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the model of LIF oscillators in two variants for rate coding based on a generalized S-switches (Section 2.1) and the implementation feedbacks (FBs) of LIF neurons (Section 2.2). Section 2 also introduces the principles of operation of IHNs based on rate coding (Section 2.3). Section 3 presents the results of recognition dynamics of IHNs with four (Section 3.1) and nine (Section 3.2) LIF neurons. Section 4 discusses some of the research challenges, where the proposed Hopfield algorithm compares with others methods of IoT devices for processing small amounts of data. All circuit simulations were performed in the MatLab/Simulink software tool.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Relaxation Oscillators Based on S-Switch Elements

The generalized switch has I–V characteristic of an S-type [18] with an unstable NDR section, as it is shown in Figure 1a, where the dependence of current on voltage $I_{sw}(U_{sw})$ can be represented by the following mathematical model:

$$I_{\rm sw}(U_{\rm sw}) \approx \begin{cases} U_{\rm sw}/R_{\rm off}, \text{ state} = \text{OFF} \\ U_{\rm sw}/R_{\rm on}, \text{ state} = \text{ON} \end{cases}$$
(1)

Switching in (1) between OFF and ON states is implemented as follows:

state =
$$\begin{cases} \text{OFF, if (state = ON) and } (U < U_h) \\ \text{ON, if (state = OFF) and } (U > U_{th}) \end{cases}$$
(2)

where U_{th} and U_{h} —threshold and holder voltage of the switch. In this study, I–V characteristic parameters will be used, as in [18], which are presented in Table 1. We will also use two oscillator circuits [18] based on the S-element (1-2) to create IHN, which we denote as OSC1 and OSC2.



Figure 1. S-type I–V characteristic with unstable NDR segments (**a**), basic oscillator circuits (OSC1) (**b**) and oscillator circuits with a variable resistor *R* (OSC2) (**c**).

Table 1. Parameters of S-Switch and oscillator capacities of OSC1 and OSC2 (Figure 1b,c).

Switch							OS	C2
$U_{\mathrm{th}},\mathrm{V}$ 4	I _{th} , mA 0.1	<i>U</i> _h , V 2	<i>I</i> _h , mA 10	R _{on} , Ω 200	$R_{ m off}, k\Omega$ 40	C ₀ , nF 5	C ₁ , nF 5	C ₂ , μF 1

The first (general) circuit of the LIF (OSC1) oscillator is presented in Figure 1b. The typical relaxation oscillations (Figure 2a) are generated by setting the supply current of the circuit I_0 in the NDR range:

$$I_{\rm th} < I_0 < I_{\rm h} \tag{3}$$

where I_{th} and I_h are the threshold and holding currents of the switch [14]. The frequency F of these oscillations can be controlled by the supply current I_0 , which determines the dependence $F(I_0)$ (Figure 3a). The calculation of $F(I_0)$ in an analytical form is easily obtained [18] on the basis of the switch with piece-wise type of I–V characteristic (1-2) and Kirchhoff's laws. As can be seen in Figure 3a, the oscillation frequency initially increases with increasing I_0 , reaches a maximum F_{max} at $I_0 = I_{0_max}$, and then sharply decreases when I_0 approaches I_h in accordance with condition (3).



Figure 2. Voltage (current) oscillogramms of OSC1 (**a**) and OSC2 (**b**). Circuit options in Table 1 and $I_0 = 0.15$ mA (**a**), $R = 100 \Omega$ and $I_0 = 3$ mA (**b**).



Figure 3. Dependences of the oscillation frequency *F* on supply current I_0 (**a**) and on control resistor *R* (**b**) of OSC1 and OSC2, respectively.

The modified LIF oscillator circuit (OSC2), shown in Figure 1c, has two serial capacitors in parallel with the switch (C_1 and C_2), and one of the capacitors is connected in parallel with a variable resistor R. As it is shown in [18], the oscillation rate of OSC2 (Figure 2b) can be effectively controlled by this variable resistance. The function F(R) is close to the sigmoid (Figure 3b) and has a section with a sharp change in frequency, between which there are two quasi stationary levels of low and high frequencies. With the oscillator parameters indicated in Table 1 and the supply current $I_0 = 0.15$ mA, the minimum frequency value is F_{min} ($R \sim 0 \Omega$)~35 Hz, while the maximum value is $F_{max}(R > 1 M\Omega) \sim 7$ kHz. Thus, the frequency jump is almost 200 times, and it is actually concentrated in a narrow range from 100 to 300 Ω , where the main change (~80%) in the resistance coefficient of frequency is observed [18].

As a variable resistor *R*, one can use a field effect transistor (FET), the channel resistance of which is linearly (or almost linearly) controlled by voltage on the gate. Then, the oscillation frequency of OSC2 will be controlled by the channel resistance of FET, and the input voltage of the oscillator will be supplied to the gate of FET. In this paper, the OSC2 circuit simulation in the MatLab/Simulink software tool does not use a real FET prototype element, but a variable resistance simulation in the form of a controlled module, which is presented in Appendix A (see Figure A1).

2.2. Feedbacks of LIF Neurons

To implement FBs in the oscillators of OSC1 or OSC2 type, the oscillation frequency should be converted to voltage. One can use a low-pass filter (LPF) at the outputs of oscillators, which extracts

the DC component from the relaxation oscillations. The best option for LPF is a second-order filter with a transfer characteristic:

$$K(s) = \frac{1}{a_2 s^2 + a_1 s + a_0} \tag{4}$$

where the coefficients a_0 , a_1 and a_2 are tuned to the modal optimum [28]. In this study, in all calculations, the transmission coefficient parameters will be used as (4): $a_0 = 1$, $a_1 = 0.01$ and $a_2 = 0.003$. LPF can be either passive (for example, a dual *RC*-circuit) or an active filter (for example, Sullen–Key filter [29]). It should be noted, that the use of a simple single *RC*-circuit is not acceptable due to strong oscillations of the output voltage at high frequencies and weak convergence to the stationary level at low frequencies.

As it is shown in Figure 2, in both LIF oscillator circuits the spikes are current pulses $I_{sw}(t)$ of the switch, which sharply change their amplitude by more than 2 orders of magnitude within a short duration. At the same time, the voltage amplitude $U_{sw}(t)$ of the switch varies between the levels U_h μU_{th} with a difference of only 2 V. The DC component U_c of this signal is ~3 V for OSC1 and ~3.8 V for OSC2, and actually it is not controlled by the supply current or variable resistance, as it is shown in Figure 4a,b.



Figure 4. The dependence of DC component U_c of OSC1 (**a**) and OSC2 (**b**) on the supply current I_0 and variable resistance *R*, respectively.

For the effective frequency-to-voltage conversion, where the output voltage of neurons would increase with the rise of a supply current or variable resistance, it is necessary preliminarily to select from the oscillations of OSC1 or OSC2 the pulses of a constant duration. As such a scheme, it is possible to use a simple monostable multivibrator (MMV) without restart, which is shown in Figure 5a. MMV has two logical (OR-NOT and NOT) elements and includes an RC chain between one of the input of the first element and the output of the second element. For the correct operation of logic elements, the single pulses with sharp leading edge are preliminarily generated at the MMV input using the Hit Crossing (HC) module (Figure 5a). For example, a trigger can be used as HC, which generates the logical 1 at the moment of switching OFF \rightarrow ON states of the switch. The parameters of the RC chain of MMV are selected in such a way as to produce rectangular pulses (Figure 5b, diagram (2)) with a constant width $\tau_p \sim R_i C_i$, independent of the relaxation oscillations of $U_{sw}(t)$ (Figure 5b, diagram (1)). Of course, the RC constant time τ_p should not exceed the minimum oscillation period ($\tau_p < 1/F_{max}$), and further, in all calculations the values $\tau_p = 3 \ \mu s$ for OSC1 and $\tau_p = 0.1 \ ms$ for OSC2 will be used. It should be noted that the Schmidt trigger could also be used as a pulse shaper from the relaxation oscillations of OSC1 or OSC2.



Figure 5. Monostable multivibrator (MMV) circuit with input Hit Crossing (HC) module (**a**): $U_{in} \equiv U_{sw}(t)$, R_i and C_i —parameters of the RC chain. Voltage diagrams by example of OSC2 (**b**): $U_{sw}(t)$ (**1**) and pulses of MMV with $\tau_{p\sim}R_iC_i = 0.2 \text{ ms}$ (**2**). The dependence of the output voltage U_{lpf} of leaky integrated-and-fire (LIF) neurons with low-pass filter (LPF) and MMV modules on the supply current I_0 of OSC1 (**c**) and variable resistance R of OSC2 (**d**). The inset of Figure 5c shows an example of an amplifier with output voltage limiters (U_{max} and U_{min}) based on zener diodes in a chain of negative feedback (FB). The arrow in Figure 5d shows the trend of $U_{lpf}(R)$ to $U_{max} = 0.69 \text{ V}$ with $R \rightarrow \infty$. Calculation parameters: Table **1** and $I_0 = 0.15 \text{ mA}$ for OSC2.

2.3. Neuron Based on OSC1 (Neuron 1)

In any section of $F(I_0)$ (Figure 3a), where the frequency monotonically depends on the supply current, LPF will, in turn, monotonically convert the pulses of MMV into voltage levels (U_{lpf}). It is advisable to use the initial section $F(I_0)$ close to linear, where $I_0 << I_{0_max}$. As can be seen in Figure 5c, the dependence of the voltage on the supply current after passing MMV and LPF $U_{lpf}(I_0)$ is also linear.

It is possible to build a linear activation function (lin) of the LIF neuron (Neuron 1) based on OSC1:

$$lin(x) = \begin{cases} y_{\max}, x \ge x_{\max} \\ \frac{y_{\max} - y_{\min}}{x_{\max} - x_{\max}} \cdot x + \frac{x_{\max} y_{\min} - x_{\min} y_{\max}}{x_{\max} - x_{\max}}, x_{\min} < x < x_{\max} \\ y_{\min}, x \le x_{\min} \end{cases}$$
(5)

where $x \equiv I_0$, $y_{max} \equiv U_{max}$ and $y_{min} \equiv U_{min}$ —maximum and minimum values of output voltage levels U_{lpf} , $x_{max} \equiv I_{max}$ and $x_{min} \equiv I_{min}$ —maximum and minimum values of supply current I_0 , that correspond to U_{max} and U_{min} . To obtain the activation function (5) at the output of the neuron, it is necessary to add a limiter module controlling the lower and upper levels of the output voltage after the LPF (see, insert to Figure 5c). This is a fundamental outcome in the design of IHN with oscillators of the OSC1

type, since output voltages of neurons are proportional to oscillation frequencies and are limited to two levels in accordance with (5).

Figure 6 shows the circuit of a neuron (Neuron1) based on OSC1, that includes the input module IN, OSC1, MMV (Figure 5a), LPF, the operational amplifier limiter (OAL) and the bias module (BIAS). In the IN module, the resulting sum of voltages from other neurons with weight coefficients (W_{ij}) is converted into a supply current I_0 of OSC1 using a voltage controlled current source (VCCS) with a coefficient (K_I). In VCCS, the output current is limited to two levels: I_{0_max} and I_{0_min} , where $I_{0_max} \approx 6.8$ mA corresponds to the maximum frequency F_{max} of OSC1 (Figure 3a) and I_{0_min} is zero. The reason for the limiting of output current of VCCS is that the supply current of the oscillators should not go over the level I_{0_max} , after which the oscillation frequency drops sharply in accordance with the dependence $F(I_0)$ (Figure 3a).



Figure 6. LIF scheme of a neuron (Neuron 1) based on OSC1.

The OAL module (inset, Figure 5c) linearly changes LPF output voltage (U_{lpf}) and limits it to two levels U_{max} and U_{min} in accordance with the activation function (5). At the neuron output, the BIAS module shifts this voltage by the value $U_o = -(U_{max} + U_{min})/2$, that is, the midpoint of the linear activation function (5) goes to zero, and the output voltage U_j in Figure 6 is

$$U_{i}(t) \equiv U(I_{0i}(t)) = U_{1pf}(I_{0i}(t)) - U_{0}$$
(6)

where $I_{0j}(t)$ is the supply current of j-th neuron. Thus, the voltages (6) can vary in the range from $U_{\min}^{(out)} = U_{\min} - U_0$ to $U_{\max}^{(out)} = U_{\max} - U_0$ in accordance with the linear activation function (5) (Figure 5c).

2.4. Neuron Based on OSC2 (Neuron 2)

Figure 5d shows the dependence of the output voltage of LPF U_{lpf} on a variable resistor R, when the MMV module is connected after the OSC2 output. As can be seen, the function $U_{lpf}(R)$ actually repeats the nonlinear (sigmoid) dependence F(R) (Figure 3b) and can be used to form an activation function with rate coding. As well as F(R), the function $U_{lpf}(R)$ has a sigmoid-like form with an inflection point at $R = R_0 \sim 200 \Omega$, where its second derivative is zero [18], and two quasi stationary levels are presented in Figure 5d: $U_{max} = 0.69 \text{ V}$ with $R \ge 1 \text{ M}\Omega$ and $U_{min} = 4 \text{ mV} \approx 0 \text{ V}$ with $R = 0 \Omega$.

Figure 7 represents a scheme of a neuron (Neuron 2) based on OSC2, including the input module (IN), OSC2, MMV (Figure 5a), LPF, and the bias module (BIAS). The neuron input (IN module) has a summing input from the FB signals of other neurons and a common coefficient (K_R) of the linear conversion of the resulting voltage into resistance. As noted above, FET can be used as such a converter, and then, the coefficient K_R is an internal (unchanged) parameter of FET.

The BIAS module performs a negative bias of the neuron output signal by the amount of $U_0 = U_{lpf}(R_0)$:

$$U_{j}(t) \equiv U(R_{j}(t)) = U_{lpf}(R_{j}(t)) - U_{o}$$
⁽⁷⁾

where $R_j(t)$ is the resistance of j-th neuron. Thus, the inflection of the activation function $U_{lpf}(R)$ at $R = R_o$ goes to zero, and voltages (7) can vary in the range from $U_{min}^{(out)} = U_{min} - U_o$ to $U_{max}^{(out)} = U_{max} - U_o$ in according to the sigmoid activation function (Figure 5d).



Figure 7. LIF scheme of a neuron (Neuron 2) based on OSC2.

2.5. The Principle of Operation of IHN Based on Rate Coding

As it is known [19], in the classical HN signals (levels) X_i (i = 1 . . . *N* is the neuron index) have two values (-1, +1), the activation threshold function is used:

$$sign(X_{i}) = \begin{cases} +1, X_{i} > 0\\ 0, X_{i} = 0\\ -1, X_{i} < 0 \end{cases}$$
(8)

and FBs are symmetric ($W_{ij} = W_{ji}$) and equal to zero if i = j. In addition, initiating input signals are sent to each neuron only before the start of the iterative process launched in the network. An analog network modification [20], also proposed by J. J. Hopfield, uses continuous signals between levels (-1, +1) and an activation function of a sigmoidal type.

We will adhere to this concept of HN, which at the input and output of neurons takes into account the analog type of signals with a continuous activation function. In particular, the shift of activation functions to the negative region (see Section 2) by the value $U_0 = -(U_{\text{max}} + U_{\text{min}})/2$ for Neuron 1 in (6) and $U_0 = -U_{\text{lpf}}(R_0)$ for Neuron 2 in (7) is a prerequisite for the correct operation of HN. As a result, the FB signal on any neuron at certain points in time can be excitatory if $W_{ij} \cdot U_i(t) > 0$, or inhibitory if $W_{ij} \cdot U_i(t) < 0$, in that way increasing or decreasing neuron's output voltage. Further, we will call HN schemes with Neurons 1 (Figure 6) and Neurons 2 (Figure 7), respectively, IHN1 and IHN2.

To start the operation of IHN1 and IHN2 networks, at first, the initiating voltage pulses U_{ri} should be applied to each *i*-neuron of certain duration (τ_0). During this initiation time, feedback weight coefficients are zero (off). That is, all network neurons are unconnected. Voltages U_{ri} set the initial supply currents (I_{0i}) in the IHN1 circuit or resistance (R_{oi}) in the IHN2 circuit in the oscillators. Accordingly, relaxation oscillations of certain frequencies are generated in the oscillators, which at the outputs of neurons at the time $t = \tau_0$ set (accumulate) voltage levels $U_i(\tau_0)$ according to dependences (6) for IHN1 and (7) for IHN2. It can be noted that the values of $U_i(\tau_0)$ tend to stationary levels if τ_0 increases unlimitedly in accordance with the transfer function LPF (4).

Further, after the initiating pulses are turned off $(t > \tau_0)$, feedbacks W_{ij} are turned on, and the process of continuously and interdependently changing the oscillator frequencies and the output voltages of neurons (6) or (7) starts. Thus, the dynamics of IHN1 and IHN2 are mainly the same, and corresponds to the synchronous operation mode of HN. The difference between the networks is neural schemes (Figures 6 and 7) and control signals: supply currents $I_{0i}(t)$ for IHN1 and variable resistances $R_i(t)$ for IHN2.

Without loss of generality, we will further study the schemes of IHN1 and IHN2 as IoT devices for dynamic data processing using the example of the templates identification of two-dimensional images. For this task, the signal value at the output of each neuron is identified with a specific pixel

color. There can be only black and white reference images in the classical HN, for example, +1—black pixel color, -1—white pixel color. In our case, for IHN1, the supply currents of the reference images I_i^{α} ($\alpha = 1 \dots M$ is the number of images) at the inputs of neurons must be set in accordance with (5) either $I_i^{\alpha} \leq I_{\min}$ for the white color of the pixel, or $I_i^{\alpha} \geq I_{\max}$ for the black color. Similarly, for IHN2 the resistance of the α -reference image R_i^{α} for *i*-th oscillator (pixel) must be set either close to zero (Ω 's) for the white color of the pixel, or higher than R_{\max} ($M\Omega$'s) for the black color of the pixel.

Then, the weight coefficients W_{ij} of these networks will be adjusted in accordance with the Hebb rule [30]:

$$W_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\alpha} sign(U(R_i^{\alpha})) \cdot sign(U(R_j^{\alpha}))), \text{ for IHN1} \\ \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\alpha} sign(U(I_{0i}^{\alpha})) \cdot sign(U(I_{0j}^{\alpha}))), \text{ for IHN2} \end{cases}$$
(9)

where $U(I_{0i}^{\alpha})$ and $U(R_i^{\alpha})$ are limit (positive or negative) values of the output voltages of neurons in the circuits IHN1 and IHN2, respectively.

At the same time, the initial supply currents for IHN1 or resistance for IHN2 of recognizable (non-reference) patterns can have arbitrary values, that is, have a gray gradation (see Figure 8). In accordance with the initial values (I_{0i} or R_{0i}) of patterns, the output voltages $U_i(\tau_0)$ of neurons are set at the time $t = \tau_0$, which can also be arbitrary from $U_{min}^{(out)}$ to $U_{max}^{(out)}$ as follows to formula (6) or (7).



Figure 8. The gray gradation of patterns for the initial supply currents I_0 (impulsive HN1, IHN1) and resistances *R* (IHN2) (left). The numbering of neurons in the network, symmetrical reference images and input patterns (A–D) (right). (A) and (B) are recognized as Reference Image 1, (C) and (D) are recognized as Reference Image 2.

The recognition process in both network variants consists of increasing (or decreasing) the gradation of pixels of such patterns to black (or white) color, that is, to stationary values at the output of each neuron, that is close to either $U_{max}^{(out)}$, or $U_{min}^{(out)}$. Thus, IHN acts as a corrector for a noisy image, where noise means the background of the template in the form of a gradation of gray, as well as the presence of "false" pixels. As a result of the operation of the networks, that is, the continuous change of frequency $F_i(t)$ and output voltage $U_i(t)$ of each oscillator, IHN1 and IHN2 should come to a steady state corresponding to the minimum of HN energy [20] of a certain reference of strictly black and white image.

3. Results

Let us consider how the impulse networks IHN1 and IHN2, consisting of four and nine identical neurons, will recognize one and three reference images, respectively. For calculations, parameters of the oscillators (OSC1 and OSC2) from Table 1 are used, and the characteristics of activation functions are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Activation functions parameters of Neuron 1 ($U_{lpf}(I_0)$, Figure 5c) and Neuron 2 ($U_{lpf}(R)$, Figure 5d). Current source in Neuron 2 $I_0 = 0.15$ mA.

Neuron 1					Neuron 2				
I _{max} , mA 1.6	U _{max} , V 0.5	I _{min} , mA 0.4	U _{min} , V 0.1	$R_{\max}, M\Omega$	U _{max} , V 0.69	R_{\min}, Ω	$U_{\min}, V \sim 0$	R _o , Ω 200	<i>U</i> ₀ , V 0.15

3.1. IHN with Four LIF Neurons

The matrix of weight coefficients for IHN with four neurons:

$$W^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(10)

is compiled according to the Hebb rule (9) for recognition of the reference image (M = 1) in the form of black and white diagonals of 2 × 2 matrices. In the case of identical network neurons with weight coefficients (10), such a reference image is symmetrical with respect to the transposition of the matrix-image (replacing black diagonals with white and vice versa), that is, it has two symmetric copies: Reference Image 1 and Reference Image 2 (Figure 8). A sign of recognition of input patterns in grayscale (Figure 8) is the output voltage levels of neurons $U_j(t)$ (6) and (7), which after some time come to steady values corresponding to one of these copies with $U_{max}^{(out)}$ for pixels black diagonal and $U_{min}^{(out)}$ for pixels of the white diagonal. As can be seen from Figure 8 that IHN1 and IHN2 confidently recognize the reference image, taking into account its symmetry in the case of different shades of gray pixel patterns.

Further, let us consider the dynamics of the recognition process using IHN2 (Figure 9) as an example for input template A (Figure 8) with calculation parameters in Table 3. As can be seen from Figure 9a, all output voltages of neurons start (t = 0) from the value $U_{\min}^{(out)} = -0.15$ V, and then increase in accordance with their initial resistances during the initiation time τ_0 . It should be noted that the growth of output voltages does not occur immediately with t = 0, but approximately with $t \approx 0.05$ s, when relaxation oscillations of oscillators start to be generated (see, Figure 5b).

Further, at $t > \tau_0$, FBs are turned on, the output voltage U_2 continues to increase, while the voltages U_1 , U_3 and U_4 are reduced, and one of them (U_4) returns to the minimum level without crossing zero. The voltage U_1 also returns to the minimum level, for the second time crossing the zero level in the opposite direction. The voltage U_3 , on the contrary, increase again without crossing zero. The output voltages U_2 and U_3 eventually reach the maximum value $U_{max}^{(out)} = 0.54$ V, and then all neurons of the network remain in stationary states.

Two characteristic time scales of recognition are marked in Figure 9a: $T^{(1)}_{out}$ and $T^{(2)}_{out}$. The parameter $T^{(1)}_{out}$ is the operating time of the network of all output voltages of neurons, that differ from the stationary levels ($U_{max}^{(out)}$ and $U_{min}^{(out)}$) by no more than 2%. Such a time scale is accepted, for example, in control theory for transient processes [27].



Figure 9. Diagrams of the output voltages of IHN2 neurons for the input template A (Figure 8). Calculation parameters of subfigures (**a**–**d**) are in Table 3.

	IHN1 (Te	mplate A)		IHN2 (Template A)				
I ₀₁ , mA 1.18	I ₀₂ , mA 1.0	I ₀₃ , mA 1.31	I ₀₄ ,mA 1.0	$\begin{array}{c} R_{\rm o1},\Omega\\ 300 \end{array}$	R _{o2} , Ω 200	R ₀₃ , Ω 450	R ₀₄ , Ω 200	
	Figu	re 10		Figure 9				
	τ ₀ , s	<i>K</i> _I , m	$A \cdot V^{-1}$		τ ₀ , s	$K_{\rm R}$, k	$\Omega \cdot V^{-1}$	
(a)	0.1 2		2	(a)	0.25	10	0 ³	
(u)	0.1	5		(b)	0.1	1	0 ³	
(b)	0.1	5		(c)	0.1	1	.0	
(D)	0.1			(d)	0.07		1	

 Table 3. Calculation parameters of IHN2 (Figure 9) and IHN1 (Figure 10) for the template A (Figure 8).

In our opinion, a faster indicator of recognition is another method with a specific time scale $T^{(2)}_{out}$. This time parameter is equal to the time of zero crossing of output voltage in the last neuron of the network. For example, in Figure 9a, such a voltage is the voltage of the first neuron (U_1), whereas other neurons crossed zero earlier (U_2 and U_3) or did not cross it at all (U_4).

In practice, recognition according to the second option (with time $T^{(2)}_{out}$) can be implemented in digital form. The output of each neuron is connected to its trigger, which switches when the voltage of the neuron crosses the zero level. The trigger output (flag) sets the value to the logical 1, if the voltage of the neuron transitions from negative to positive ("rising"), and to the logical 0 in the opposite case ("fall"). Initially (t = 0), the values of all neurons (flag) are assigned 0, that is, the white color of the pixels. As soon as the voltage of any neuron crosses zero, its flag changes to logical 1 (black color of the pixel). If during the recognition process a reverse transition ("fall") is carried out, as occurs for voltage

 U_1 (Figure 9a), the flag will switch back to 0. Eventually, all neurons for pattern **A** take a flag that corresponds to the reference image: U_1 (0), U_2 (1), U_3 (1) and U_4 (0), the last of which never switched and remained in the initial state of logical zero.

Obviously, the final setting of neuron flags always occurs earlier than the output of their stresses to stationary (minimum or maximum) levels, that is, the time $T^{(2)}_{out}$ is less than $T^{(1)}_{out}$. This becomes especially noticeable if you reduce the parameter K_R in the oscillators and the network initiation time (τ_0). So, Figure 9b shows that $T^{(2)}_{out}$ is 3.5 times smaller than $T^{(1)}_{out}$, if τ_0 decreases 2.5 times. In the case when both τ_0 , and K_R (Figure 9c) decrease, the recognition by the method of zero crossing occurs for ~30 ms, while stationary levels of neurons cannot be detected for 200 ms. Figure 9d represents that there is a limit to reducing the parameters K_R and τ_0 , when pattern recognition is not possible. In this case, after a certain time of the network start, the voltage of all neurons returns to the initial (minimum) level, and the values of the triggers (flag) remain equal to 0.

The recognition dynamics of IHN1 are similar to IHN2, but the recognition time $T^{(2)}_{out}$ in case of IHN1 is more sensitive to the changing of the coefficient K_I . So, Figure 10 represents two calculations of the recognition dynamics, when K_I changes 1.5 times (Table 3). As can be seen, an increase of K_I actually leads to a proportional decrease of the time $T^{(2)}_{out}$. For comparison with IHN2 (Figure 9b,c), the varying of K_R by two orders of magnitude almost does not change the time $T^{(2)}_{out}$.



Figure 10. Diagrams of the output voltages of IHN1 neurons for the input template A (Figure 8). Calculation parameters of subfigures (**a**,**b**) are in Table 3. The arrows in Figure 10a show the trend of $U_2(t)$ and $U_3(t)$ to $U_{\text{max}}^{(\text{out})}$ with $t \rightarrow \infty$.

3.2. IHN with Nine LIF Neurons

The matrix of weight coefficients for IHN with nine neurons:

$$W^{(3)} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 3 & -1 & 3 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -3 & 3 & -3 \\ 3 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 3 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 3 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 & 3 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 3 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -3 & 3 \\ -1 & 3 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -3 & 0 & -3 \\ 1 & -3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & -3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(11)

is compiled according to the Hebb rule (9) for recognition of three reference images (M = 3) in the form of the letters "T", "X" and "H" of 3 × 3 matrices (Figure 11).



Figure 11. The numbering of neurons in the networks (IHN1 and IHN2), reference images ("T", "X" and "H") and input patterns (A–F) with gray gradation as in Figure 8. (A) and (B) are recognized as Reference Image 1, (C) and (D) are recognized as Reference Image 2, (E) and (F) are recognized as Reference Image 3.

The calculation results for some variants of input templates are presented in image form in the Figure 11. As can be seen, all input patterns (A–F) are confidently recognized as one of the three standard options (letters "T", "X" or "H"). The recognition dynamics using the example of pattern C for one of 9 network neurons is shown in Figure 12 with calculation parameters in Table 4. There is a selected neuron (j = 9) that has a maximum zero crossing time for the output voltage ($T^{(2)}_{out}$). This parameter $T^{(2)}_{out}$ for both networks (IHN1 and IHN2) determines the time of fast recognition similarly to the previous examples of a network with four neurons. With increasing voltage transfer coefficients $K_{\rm I}$ (Figure 12a) or $K_{\rm R}$ (Figure 12b) the time $T^{(2)}_{out}$ decreases, but more for IHN1 than for IHN2, as well as in IHNs with four neurons (Figures 9 and 10). Let us note that there is also a limit to reducing voltage transfer coefficients ($K_{\rm I}$ or $K_{\rm R}$) and the initiation time of networks $\tau_{\rm o}$, when the recognition of input patterns becomes impossible.



Figure 12. Diagrams of the output voltages of the neuron $U_9(t)$ of the networks IHN1 (**a**) and IHN2 (**b**) for the input template C (Figure 11) for various voltage transfer coefficients: K_I (**a**) and K_R (**b**). Calculation parameters are in Table 4. The arrow in Figure 12b shows the trend of $U_9(t)$ to $U_{\text{max}}^{(\text{out})}$ with $t \rightarrow \infty$.

	(HN1 (Figure <mark>12</mark> a)		IHN2 (Figure 12b)						
Template C, Figure 11		Neuron out	το S	<i>К</i> І,	Template C, Figure 11		Neuron out	το S	<i>K</i> _R ,
Neuron, number	I _{oi} , mA	voltage (j = 9)	0,	mA·V ⁻¹	Neuron, number	$R_{ m oi}, \Omega$	voltage (j = 9)	-,	$k\Omega \cdot V^{-1}$
1 2 3	1.55 0.45 1.4	<i>U</i> ₉ ⁽¹⁾	0.1	3	1 2 3	1000 50 500	<i>U</i> ₉ ⁽¹⁾	0.1	10 ⁵
4 5 6	0.4 1.5 1	<i>U</i> ₉ ⁽²⁾	0.1	5	4 5 6	30 800 200	<i>U</i> ₉ ⁽²⁾	0.1	10 ³
7 8 9	1.2 0.48 0.9	<i>U</i> ₉ ⁽³⁾	0.1	9	7 8 9	350 80 150	U9 ⁽³⁾	0.1	1

Table 4. Calculation parameters of IHN1 (Figure 12a) and IHN2 (Figure 12b) for the template C (Figure 11).

4. Discussion

The IHNs that are presented in this study are essentially the same as conventional HN with a continuous activation function [20]. For the existence of stable energy minima of such a network, the internal structure and type of control parameters of neurons are not important. Therefore, the calculation results are quite predictable: both networks (IHN1 and IHN2) have associative memory, like regular analog NHs, and have the same advantages (one-step learning process) and disadvantages (low information capacity).

Both networks (IHN1 and IHN2) are mainly the same in dynamics and recognition results. Their difference is the circuits of neural oscillators and the implementation of frequency activation functions. For Neuron 1 it is necessary to artificially compile a linear change in the frequency (voltage) from the supply current into a threshold-linear activation function (5) by the limiting of the output signal (Figures 5c and 6). For Neuron 2 the limiting frequency (voltage) levels are set due to the sigmoid-like type of rate coding function F(R) (Figure 3b).

However, the main advantage of Neuron 2 is a high jump of the frequency and a wide variation in the control resistance (from 0 to 10 MΩ) of OSC2 with a relatively low supply current. Indeed, one can see in Figure 3a that the changing of frequency from 50 to 350 kHz (F_{max}) in OSC1, that is, less than one order of magnitude, requires an increase in supply current by a factor of ~7 times. Whereas for OSC2, it is easy to obtain a frequency jump of more than two orders of magnitude (Figure 3b), while the supply current remains at a constant and low level. Thus, for an energy-efficient implementation of rate coding, the OSC2 circuit is certainly preferable to OSC1.

The concept of associative memory proposed in the study is not a purely mathematical project, but an already defined circuit solution. The LIF oscillators in neurons are circuits that are modeled by MatLab softare and have signals that correspond to experimental signals for the selected switch parameters [18]. As mentioned in the Introduction, the switches can be implemented at the level of laboratory samples (for example, VO₂ films [17]), and at the industrial scale (trigger diodes). The trigger diodes can be replaced with a complementary pair of bipolar transistors [18]. It opens a wide range of activities for tuning the parameters of such a combined switch using the selection of complementary pair of transistors.

The design of FBs of neurons is also a circuitry and not purely mathematical solution. For example, a constant-duration pulse shaper on logic elements (MMV module), a low frequency filter and VCCS are proposed. These modules have a wide variety of already available implementations (at the level of transistors, operational amplifiers, etc.). Some modules in the form of emulating electronic blocks (MMV module, VCCS) are used in MatLab software, other modules are mathematical blocks (limiters, transmission coefficients for LPF). A similar example of design amenable to practical realization

is presented in [31], where an associative memory based on coupled oscillators is investigated. The associative memory proposed in our study is closer to a circuit solution and its hardwire development would be the next proposed step in future researches.

In general, both networks (IHN1 and IHN2) use a hybrid analog–digital method for signal processing and encoding. In particular, a digital method of recognition indication by zero crossing of output voltages is proposed. Oddly enough, this digital indication is based on the analog mode of operation with continuous signals and an activation function of HN that can be explained as follows. At any time, the input voltage of each HN neuron is linear sum of voltages from other neurons:

$$U_{i}(t) = \sum_{j \neq i} W_{ij} \cdot U_{j}(t)$$
(12)

and likewise their derivatives:

$$\frac{dU_{i}(t)}{dt} = \sum_{j \neq i} W_{ij} \cdot \frac{dU_{j}(t)}{dt}$$
(13)

An important point is that the linear sum of the derivatives (13) for the last of neurons by the time $t = T^{(2)}_{out}$ will already be either positive if its voltage tends to the maximum of the stationary level ($U_{max}^{(out)}$), or negative if the voltage tends to a minimum ($U_{min}^{(out)}$). Thus, further ($t > T^{(2)}_{out}$), it makes no sense to monitor the output voltages of neurons to stationary levels, that is, to a minimum of network energy. All neurons of the network will be divided into two groups in accordance with a recognizable reference image: some neurons, tending to $U_{max}^{(out)}$, will have positive voltages and their derivatives, and for other neurons, on the contrary, output voltages and their derivatives will already be negative and tend to $U_{min}^{(out)}$. The inclusion of a trigger at the outputs of each neuron that records zero crossings is a small "fee" for a faster indication of pattern recognition.

The general scheme of an associative memory module based on IHN of *N* neurons that classifies *M* images represented in Figure 13. The memory module uses a trigger block with *N* triggers, which are connected to each IHN neuron, and a classifier–decoder (CD) out block. The switch triggers when output voltages of neurons cross the zero level, and output signals of the trigger block as a combination of binary numbers are generated. The CD block is a highly incomplete decoder, since the number of inputs of the memory module (*N*) must be greater than outputs (*M*). The combination of binary numbers of CD inputs (outputs of the trigger block) during the recognition process can change. But if there is a combination, for which "1" is registered only on one of the *M* outputs of the CD block (see red Out 3, Figure 13), then this combination will not change. This means that the recognition process is completed, and the input pattern has been classified as one of the *M* stored images of the associative memory module.

The algorithms of Perceptron, Adaptative Neural Network (ADALINE) and HN are compared and analyzed in work [32], where these are implemented to different development boards of IoT. As shown in [32], HN is excellent for processing small amounts of data, having the highest speed of the three compared algorithms. Table 5 represents the execution time of networks algorithms in Arduino UNO [32] and the recognition time of our IHNs without an account of the initiation time τ_0 . As can be seen, the processing speed of IHNs is comparable to ADALINE, but significantly inferior to the perception module and, especially, to the discrete HN. The initiation time of IHNs (τ_0) significantly increases the recognition time to 150–200 ms. This time scale can be reduced by using extremely small capacitances in oscillator circuits (Figure 1b,c) and the S-switches with low threshold voltages (U_{th} and U_h). Threshold voltages can be reduced by the nanoscaling of thin film oxide switches, for example, the nanoscaling of switches based on vanadium dioxide [17]. However, let us note that currently, only silicon-type S-elements (trigger diodes, complementary pairs of bipolar transistors) are highly stable and are produced on an industrial scale.



Figure 13. The scheme of an associative memory module based on IHN of *N* neurons for recognition (classification) of *M* images (N > M) using the trigger block and the classifier–decoder (CD) out block.

Table 5. The execution time of networks algorithms in Arduino UNO [32] and the recognition time of our IHNs.

Perceptron	ADALINE	HN	IHN (Figure 9b,c, Figures 10b and 12)
3 ms	47 ms	0.412 ms	25–40 ms

New modern information systems architectures such as the IoT require that neural algorithms can be executed using compact and energy efficient electronic devices that do not have much capacity for storing or processing information, but can function as intelligent control centers for various "things" connected to The Internet. Such compact peripheral IoT devices make it possible, for example, to delegate computations to other devices, including cloud systems, process data (filtering, classification, ranking by importance) immediately upon receipt from other devices, and control access to information on the side of other devices [33]. The modeling of a general (large) circuit in Figure 13 is a direction for future research that will demonstrate the benefits and limitations of functionality in terms of the accuracy and energy efficiency of recognition of the proposed associative memory. The reduction of execution time of the proposed IHN algorithm based on rate coding may also be the subject of further research, including optimization of the frequency characteristics of activation functions and tuning the FB coefficients of LIF neurons. Thus, the proposed scheme of the associative memory module (Figure 13), after functional modifications, can be one of the similar IoT control centers for signal switching, online data storage, error checking, alarm generation, etc.

5. Conclusions

The variants of impulsive Hopfield type networks that are developed and studied in this paper can be used as associative memory modules in more complex (multifunctional) neural pulse systems and will give the direction to the development of fundamentally new IoT devices with AI based on the third generation neural networks (SNNs). Also, the proposed concept of rate coding can significantly expand the range of applications of pulsed neurons in other recurrent architectures (for example, Kosko [34], Jordan [35], echo state networks [36,37], etc.) and switching networks [38,39].

Funding: This research was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (grant No. 16-19-00135).

Acknowledgments: The author is grateful to Elizabeth Boriskova for valuable comments in the course of article translation and to leading engineer of PetrSU Nikolay Shilovsky for valuable comments in circuits design.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

Appendix A

The variable resistor module consists of a voltage source U_{var} , which is regulated by the voltage, and a load resistor R_L . A control voltage that is equal to $U \cdot (m-1)/m$ (Figure A1) is formed, in turn, as a result in multiplying the signal (m-1)/m and the voltage U of the module itself.



Figure A1. The circuit of the block of a variable resistor *R* in the chain of OSC2 that is used in the simulation (MatLab/Simulink).

Based on Kirchhoff's laws, it is easy to show that the control dimensionless signal m models the changing of the total variable resistance R of the module according to the linear law:

$$R = \frac{U}{I} = m \cdot R_{\rm L} \tag{A1}$$

where *I* is the current passing through the circuit. It is convenient to use $R_{\rm L} = 1 \Omega$.

References

- Korzun, D.; Kashevnik, A.; Balandin, S.; Viola, F. Ambient Intelligence Services in IoT Environments: Emerging Research and Opportunities. In *Advances in Wireless Technologies and Telecommunication (AWTT) Book Series*; IGI Global: Hershey, PA, USA, 2019; p. 199. [CrossRef]
- Prutyanov, V.; Melentev, N.; Lopatkin, D.; Menshchikov, A.; Somov, A. Developing IoT Devices Empowered by Artificial Intelligence: Experimental Study. In Proceedings of the 2019 Global IoT Summit (GIoTS), Aarhus, Denmark, 17–21 June 2019; pp. 1–6. [CrossRef]
- 3. Cassidy, A.; Georgiou, J.; Andreou, A. Design of silicon brains in the nano-CMOS era: Spiking neurons, learning synapses and neural architecture optimization. *Neural Netw.* **2013**, *45*, 4–26. [CrossRef]
- 4. Pfeiffer, M.; Pfeil, T. Deep learning with spiking neurons: Opportunities & Challenges. *Front. Neurosci.* **2018**, 12, 774. [CrossRef]
- Mausfeld, R. The Biological Function of Sensory Systems. In *Neurosciences—From Molecule to Behavior: A University Textbook;* Giovanni, G., Pierre-Marie, L., Eds.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2013; pp. 239–252. [CrossRef]
- Maass, W.; Natschläger, T. Emulation of Hopfield Networks with Spiking Neurons in Temporal Coding. In *Computational Neuroscience: Trends in Research*; Bower, J., Ed.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1998; pp. 221–226.
- Maass, W. Networks of spiking neurons: The third generation of neural network models. *Neural Netw.* 1997, 10, 1659–1671. [CrossRef]
- 8. Paugam-Moisy, H.; Bohte, S. Computing with spiking neuron networks. In *Handbook of Natural Computing*; Rozenberg, G., Bäck, T., Kok, J.N., Eds.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2012; pp. 335–376. [CrossRef]
- 9. Thorpe, S.; Delorme, A.; Van Rullen, R. Spike-based strategies for rapid processing. *Neural Netw.* **2001**, *14*, 715–725. [CrossRef]

- 10. Velichko, A.; Belyaev, M.; Boriskov, P. A Model of an Oscillatory Neural Network with Multilevel Neurons for Pattern Recognition and Computing. *Electronics* **2019**, *8*, 75. [CrossRef]
- 11. Brette, R. Philosophy of the Spike: Rate-Based vs. Spike-Based Theories of the Brain. *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* **2015**, *9*, 151. [CrossRef]
- 12. Koch, C.; Segev, I. *Methods in Neuronal Modeling: From Ions to Networks*, 2nd ed.; MIT Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 1998.
- 13. Wang, D. Relaxation Oscillators and Networks. In *Wiley Encyclopedia of Electrical and Electronics Engineering* (18); Webster, J.G., Ed.; Wiley & Sons: New York, NY, USA, 1999; pp. 396–405. [CrossRef]
- 14. Borkisov, P.; Velichko, A. Switch Elements with S-Shaped Current-Voltage Characteristic in Models of Neural Oscillators. *Electronics* **2019**, *8*, 922. [CrossRef]
- 15. Del Valle, J.; Ramírez, J.G.; Rozenberg, M.J.; Schuller, I.K. Challenges in materials and devices for resistive-switching-based neuromorphic computing. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2018**, *124*, 211101. [CrossRef]
- 16. Xia, Q.; Yang, J.J. Memristive crossbar arrays for brain-inspired computing. *Nat. Mater.* **2019**, *18*, 309–323. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Pergament, A.; Velichko, A.; Belyaev, M.; Putrolaynen, V. Electrical switching and oscillations in vanadium dioxide. *Phys. B Condens. Matter* **2018**, *536*, 239–248. [CrossRef]
- 18. Velichko, A.; Boriskov, P. Concept of LIF Neuron Circuit for Rate Coding in Spike Neural Networks. *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. II Express Briefs* **2020**. [CrossRef]
- 19. Hopfield, J.J. Neural networks and physical systems with emergent collective computational abilities. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **1982**, *79*, 2554–2558. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Hopfield, J.J. Neurons with graded response have collective computational properties like those of two-state neurons. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **1984**, *81*, 3088–3092. [CrossRef]
- Yu, Z.; Abdulghani, A.M.; Zahid, A.; Heidari, H.; Imran, M.A.; Abbasi, Q.H. An Overview of Neuromorphic Computing for Artificial Intelligence Enabled Hardware-based Hopfield Neural Network. *IEEE Access* 2020, *8*, 67085–67099. [CrossRef]
- 22. Tankimanova, A.; James, A.P. Neural network-based analog-to-digital converters. In *Memristor and Memristive Neural Networks*; James, A.P., Ed.; Nazarbayev University: Astana, Kazakhstan, 2018; pp. 297–314. [CrossRef]
- 23. Marblestone, A.H.; Wayne, G.; Kording, K.P. Toward an integration of deep learning and neuroscience. *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* **2016**, *10*, 94. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Keeler, J.D. Basins of Attraction of Neural Network Model. AIP Conf. Proc. 1986, 151, 259–264. [CrossRef]
- Yang, X.; Zhao, L.; Megson, G.M.; Evans, D.J. A system-level fault diagnosis algorithm based on preprocessing and parallel Hopfield neural network. In Proceedings of the 4th IEEE Workshop RTL High Level Test, Xi'an, China, 20–21 November 2003; pp. 189–196.
- 26. Yang, H.; Wang, B.; Yao, Q.; Yu, A.; Zhang, J. Eficient hybrid multifaults location based on hopfield neural network in 5G coexisting radioand optical wireless networks. *IEEE Trans. Cogn. Commun. Netw.* **2019**, *5*, 1218–1228. [CrossRef]
- Wang, B.; Yang, H.; Yao, Q.; Yu, A.; Hong, T.; Zhang, J.; Kadoch, M.; Cheriet, M. Hopfield neural network-based fault location in wireless and optical networks for smart city IoT. In Proceedings of the 15th International Wireless Communications & Mobile Computing Conference (IWCMC), Tangier, Morocco, 24–28 June 2019; pp. 1696–1701.
- 28. Levine, W.S. The Control Handbook, 1st ed.; CRC Press: New York, NY, USA, 1996.
- 29. Darabi, H. *Radio Frequency Integrated Circuits and Systems*, 2nd ed.; University of California: Los Angeles, CA, USA, 2020.
- 30. Hebb, D.O. The organization of behavior. In *Neurocomputing: Foundations of Research;* Anderson, J.A., Rosenfeld, E., Eds.; MIT Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 1988.
- 31. Nikonov, D.E.; Csab, G.; Porod, W.; Shibata, T.; Voils, D.; Hammerstrom, D.; Young, I.A.; Bourianoff, G. Coupled-oscillator associative memory array operation for pattern recognition. *IEEE J. Explor. Solid State Comput. Devices Circuits* **2015**, *1*, 85–93. [CrossRef]
- 32. López, A.; Suarez, J.; Varela, D. Execution and analysis of classic neural network algorithms when they are implemented in embedded systems. In Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Circuits, Systems, Communications and Computers (CSCC 2019), Marathon Beach, Athens, 14–17 July 2019; EDP Sciences: Paris, France, 2019; Volume 292, pp. 1–8. [CrossRef]

- Korzun, D.; Voronin, A.; Shegelman, I. Semantic Data Mining Based on Ranking in Internet-Enabled Information Systems. In *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications, Book Series*; IOS Press: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2020; Volume 320, pp. 237–242. [CrossRef]
- 34. Kosko, B. Bi-directional associative memories. IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern. 1987, 18, 49-60. [CrossRef]
- 35. Jordan, M.I. Serial Order: A Parallel Distributed Processing Approach. *Adv. Psychol.* **1997**, 121, 471–495. [CrossRef]
- 36. Jaeger, H.; Haas, H. Harnessing Nonlinearity: Predicting Chaotic Systems and Saving Energy in Wireless Communication. *Science* 2004, 304, 78–80. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Maass, W.; Natschläger, T.; Markram, H. Real-time computing without stable states: A new framework for neural computation based on perturbations. *Neural Comput.* **2002**, *14*, 2531–2560. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. Zhang, X.; Li, C.; Huang, T. Hybrid impulsive and switching Hopfield neural networks with state-dependent impulses. *Neural Netw.* **2017**, *93*, 176–184. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nagamani, G.; Ramasamy, S.; Meyer-Baese, A. Robust dissipativity and passivity based state estimation for discrete-time stochastic Markov jump neural networks with discrete and distributed time-varying delays. *Neural Comput. Appl.* 2015, 28, 717–735. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).