

## Association between Protein Intake and Diabetes Complications Risk Following Incident Type 2

### Diabetes: The EPIC-Potsdam Study

#### Supplemental Material

Page	Item
2	<b>Supplementary Table S1.</b> Baseline characteristics of study sample in the protein intake change analysis by protein intake groups at baseline
3	<b>Supplementary Table S2.</b> HRs and 95% CIs for microvascular and macrovascular complications of type 2 diabetes for isoenergetic substitution (per 5% E) of protein for carbohydrates by sex
4	<b>Supplementary Table S3.</b> HRs and 95% CIs for T2D complications for isoenergetic substitution of animal protein for plant protein (per 5% E)
5	<b>Supplementary Table S4.</b> HRs and 95% CIs for microvascular and macrovascular complications of type 2 diabetes for isoenergetic increase of protein intake from baseline to follow-up (per 5% E) at the expense of carbohydrates with dietary assessment date being the entry time (baseline)
6	<b>Supplementary Table S5.</b> HRs and 95% CIs for macrovascular complications for isoenergetic substitution of total protein for carbohydrates (per 5% E) among participants with prevalent type 2 diabetes

**Supplementary Table S1.** Baseline characteristics of study sample in the protein intake change analysis by protein intake groups at baseline

Characteristics	Total (n=663)	Low Protein Intake (n=332)	High Protein Intake (n=331)
<i>Demographics</i>			
Age at diabetes diagnosis (years) (median (Q1, Q3))	59 (53-64)	60 (53-65)	58 (52-63)
Education (n(%))			
No school degree or primary school	296 (44.8)	152 (46.0)	144 (43.4)
Technical or professional school	165 (24.9)	90 (27.1)	75 (22.6)
University degree	202 (30.4)	90 (26.8)	112 (34.0)
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (median (Q1, Q3))	29.9 (27.6-33.2)	29.7 (27.3-32.6)	30.3 (28.1-33.7)
<i>Pre-diagnosis lifestyle</i>			
Physical activity (h/week) (median (Q1, Q3))	1 (0-3)	0.8 (0-2.8)	1.4 (0-3.5)
Smoking status (n (%))			
Never-smoker	247 (37.2)	130 (39.2)	117 (35.2)
Former smoker	303 (45.7)	150 (45.2)	153 (46.1)
Current smoker	113 (17.1)	52 (15.6)	61 (18.7)
Smoking duration (years) (median (Q1, Q3))	13 (0-28)	10 (0-27)	14 (0-28)
<i>Medical information</i>			
Diabetes duration (years) (median (Q1, Q3))	14.2 (12.4-16.0)	14.2 (13.6-16.0)	14.2 (12.4-16.1)
Family history of myocardial infraction (n(%))	113 (17.0)	60 (18.1)	53 (15.9)
Family history of stroke (n(%))	140 (21.0)	73 (22.0)	66 (20.0)
Hypertension (n(%))	534 (80.5)	268 (80.7)	267 (80.4)
Dyslipidaemia (n(%))	475 (71.7)	239 (72.0)	237 (71.3)
<i>Dietary intake (median (Q1, Q3))</i>			
Energy intake (kJ)	8,781 (7,232-10,654)	7,298 (6,299-8,181)	10,436 (9,417-12,195)
Protein intake (g/day)	78.1 (63.5-95.1)	63.5 (54.1-70.1)	95.1 (84.8-107.4)
Carbohydrate intake (g/day)	228.1 (187.2-279.0)	199.0 (161.5-230.5)	269.6 (226.1-315.6)
Fat intake (g/day)	78.9 (63.3-102.1)	64.3 (54.4-75.7)	100.2 (83.4-114.7)
Alcohol intake (g/day)	9.1 (3.0-22.4)	7.0 (2.3-16.9)	12.2 (4.8-30.3)
Fibre intake (g/d)	21.4 (17.5-26.4)	18.9 (15.6-22.3)	25.3 (20.8-28.9)
PUFA:SFA ratio	0.5 (0.4-0.6)	0.5 (0.4-0.6)	0.4 (0.4-0.5)
Mg intake (mg/d)	0.3 (0.3-0.4)	0.3 (0.2-0.3)	0.4 (0.3-0.4)
Cholesterol intake (mg/d)	0.3 (0.2-0.4)	0.2 (0.2-0.3)	0.4 (0.3-0.4)
Vitamin E intake (mg/d)	11.1 (8.7-13.8)	9.3 (7.6-11.5)	12.8 (10.9-15.6)
Data are presented as median (interquartile range (Q1, Q3)) or n (percentage) as applicable. Table presents combined rounded values from the 10 imputation datasets. Percentages may not correspond to anticipated values. Low protein intake < 78.1 g/d; High protein intake ≥ 78.1 g/d			
Mg: magnesium; PUFA: polyunsaturated fatty acids; SFA: saturated fatty acids.			

**Supplementary Table S2.** HRs and 95% CIs for microvascular and macrovascular complications of type 2 diabetes for isoenergetic substitution (per 5% E) of protein for carbohydrates by sex

Complications	Men		Women	
	Events (n)	Total Protein	Events (n)	Total Protein
		HR (95% CI)		HR (95% CI)
<b>Total complications</b>	240		148	
Model 1		1.04 (0.75, 1.45)		0.99 (0.64, 1.51)
Model 2		0.91 (0.64, 1.30)		0.82 (0.51, 1.33)
Model 3		0.80 (0.51, 1.25)		0.78 (0.43, 1.42)
<b>Macrovascular complications</b>	57		25	
Model 1		0.98 (0.50, 1.91)		0.44 (0.12, 1.58)
Model 2		1.01 (0.50, 2.06)		0.42 (0.11, 1.64)
Model 3		0.96 (0.39, 2.36)		-
<b>Microvascular complications</b>	205		138	
Model 1		1.10 (0.76, 1.59)		1.09 (0.69, 1.71)
Model 2		0.92 (0.62, 1.36)		0.87 (0.52, 1.48)
Model 3		0.81 (0.49, 1.35)		0.86 (0.47, 1.56)
<b>Nephropathy</b>	122		78	
Model 1		1.01 (0.62, 1.62)		1.26 (0.69, 2.33)
Model 2		0.74 (0.43, 1.27)		0.96 (0.46, 1.99)
Model 3		0.91 (0.47, 1.80)		1.06 (0.49, 2.32)
<b>Neuropathy</b>	118		92	
Model 1		1.02 (0.63, 1.65)		1.10 (0.63, 1.91)
Model 2		0.96 (0.59, 1.58)		0.81 (0.45, 1.49)
Model 3		0.74 (0.38, 1.45)		0.74 (0.38, 1.46)

Table presents combined rounded values from the ten imputation datasets

Model 1: age, baseline energy intake, baseline fat intake, baseline alcohol intake

Model 2: Model 1 + education, physical activity, BMI, smoking status and duration, family history of myocardial infarction and stroke, prevalent hypertension and dyslipidaemia, antidiabetic, lipid lowering and antihypertensive medication, duration between diet assessment and T2D diagnosis

Model 3: Model 2 + baseline fibre intake, PUFA/SFA ratio, baseline cholesterol intake, baseline Mg intake, baseline vitamin E intake

**Supplementary Table S3.** HRs and 95% CIs for T2D complications for isoenergetic substitution of animal protein for plant protein (per 5% E)

	<b>Events (n)</b>	<b>HR (95% CI)</b>
<b>Total complications</b>	385	
Model 1		0.84 (0.39, 1.85)
Model 2		0.87 (0.38, 1.98)
Model 3		0.51 (0.20, 1.30)
<b>Macrovascular complications</b>	80	
Model 1		0.25 (0.48, 1.25)
Model 2		0.24 (0.04, 1.50)
Model 3		0.24 (0.03, 1.72)
<b>Microvascular complications</b>	342	
Model 1		1.21 (0.54, 2.70)
Model 2		0.23 (0.52, 2.89)
Model 3		0.70 (0.26, 1.91)
<b>Nephropathy</b>	199	
Model 1		1.15 (0.46, 2.93)
Model 2		1.01 (0.37, 2.72)
Model 3		0.71 (0.22, 2.26)
<b>Neuropathy</b>	210	
Model 1		1.38 (0.47, 4.06)
Model 2		1.47 (0.48, 4.55)
Model 3		0.66 (0.17, 2.59)
<p>Table presents combined rounded values from the ten imputation datasets            Model 1: age, sex, baseline energy intake, baseline carbohydrate intake, baseline fat intake, baseline alcohol intake            Model 2: Model 1 + education, physical activity, BMI, smoking status and duration, family history of myocardial infarction and stroke, prevalent hypertension and dyslipidaemia, antidiabetic, lipid lowering and antihypertensive medication, duration between diet assessment and T2D diagnosis            Model 3: Model 2 + baseline fibre intake, PUFA/SFA ratio, baseline cholesterol intake, baseline Mg intake, baseline vitamin E intake</p>		

**Supplementary Table S4.** HRs and 95% CIs for microvascular and macrovascular complications of type 2 diabetes for isoenergetic increase of protein intake from baseline to follow-up (per 5% E) at the expense of carbohydrates with dietary assessment date being the entry time (baseline)

Complications	Total		Low Protein Intake		High Protein Intake	
	Events (n)	HR (95% CI)	Events (n)	HR (95% CI)	Events (n)	HR (95% CI)
<b>Total complications</b>	257		122		135	
Model 1		0.99 (0.93, 1.06)		0.97 (0.88, 1.07)		1.03 (0.94, 1.12)
Model 2		1.01 (0.93, 1.09)		0.93 (0.83, 1.05)		1.02 (0.88, 1.17)
Model 3		0.98 (0.89, 1.08)		1.02 (0.83, 1.25)		0.92 (0.76, 1.11)
<b>Microvascular complications</b>	238		114		124	
Model 1		1.02 (0.96, 1.09)		1.01 (0.92, 1.12)		1.04 (0.95, 1.14)
Model 2		1.06 (0.97, 1.16)		0.99 (0.87, 1.12)		1.09 (0.93, 1.28)
Model 3		1.02 (0.92, 1.14)		1.01 (0.81, 1.26)		1.01 (0.84, 1.22)
<b>Nephropathy</b>	147		69		78	
Model 1		1.01 (0.94, 1.09)		0.97 (0.86, 1.09)		1.08 (0.96, 1.22)
Model 2		1.02 (0.91, 1.15)		0.80 (0.61, 1.05)		1.10 (0.87, 1.38)
Model 3		0.92 (0.80, 1.05)		0.73 (0.03, 2.06)		0.84 (0.61, 1.15)
<b>Neuropathy</b>	147		71		76	
Model 1		1.04 (0.95, 1.14)		1.08 (0.92, 1.27)		1.02 (0.90, 1.15)
Model 2		1.01 (0.90, 1.14)		0.97 (0.74, 1.29)		1.04 (0.82, 1.33)
Model 3		0.98 (0.83, 1.12)		1.03 (0.66, 1.59)		0.91 (0.54, 1.52)
Table presents combined rounded values from the ten imputation datasets						
Model 1: age, sex, energy intake, baseline protein intake, fat intake (baseline and follow-up), alcohol intake (baseline and follow-up), duration between baseline and diet assessment, duration between T2D diagnosis and diet assessment						
Model 2: Model 1 + education, physical activity (baseline and follow-up), BMI (baseline and follow-up), smoking status and duration (baseline and follow-up), family history of myocardial infarction and stroke, prevalent hypertension and dyslipidaemia, antidiabetic, lipid lowering and antihypertensive medication						
Model 3: Model 2 + fibre intake (baseline and follow-up), PUFA/SFA ratio (baseline and follow-up), cholesterol intake (baseline and follow-up), Mg intake (baseline and follow-up), vitamin E intake (baseline and follow-up)						

**Supplementary Table S5.** HRs and 95% CIs for macrovascular complications for isoenergetic substitution of total protein for carbohydrates (per 5% E) among participants with prevalent type 2 diabetes

<b>Complications</b>	<b>Events (n)</b>	<b>HR (95% CI)</b>
<b>Myocardial Infarction</b>	44	
Model 1		1.09 (0.59, 2.01)
Model 2		1.07 (0.63, 1.80)
Model 3		0.98 (0.46, 2.10)
<b>Stroke</b>	52	
Model 1		0.73 (0.39, 1.38)
Model 2		0.83 (0.42, 1.63)
Model 3		0.41 (0.16, 1.01)
<b>Total Cardiovascular Diseases</b>	93	
Model 1		0.83 (0.55, 1.25)
Model 2		0.97 (0.64, 1.47)
Model 3		0.64 (0.39, 1.06)
Model 1 = sex, age, energy intake, alcohol intake, fat intake Model 2 = Model 1 + education, physical activity, BMI, smoking status and duration, family history of myocardial infarction and stroke, antidiabetic, lipid lowering and antihypertensive medication Model 3 = Model 2 + fibre intake, PUFA/SFA ratio, cholesterol intake, Mg intake, vit E intake Covariates are based on baseline questionnaire.		