



Article On Molecular Descriptors of Carbon Nanocones

Waqas Nazeer ^{1,*}, Adeel Farooq ², Muhammad Younas ², Mobeen Munir ¹, and Shin Min Kang ^{3,4,*}

- ¹ Division of Science and Technology, University of Education, Lahore 54000, Pakistan; mmunir@ue.edu.pk
- ² Lahore Campus, COMSATS University Islamabad, Lahore 54000, Pakistan; adeelfarooq@cuilahore.edu.pk (A.F.); muhammadyounas@cuilahore.edu.pk (M.Y.)
- ³ Department of Mathematics and RINS, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Korea
- ⁴ Center for General Education, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan
- * Correspondence: nazeer.waqas@ue.edu.pk (W.N.); smkang@gnu.ac.kr (S.M.K.)

Received: 14 August 2018; Accepted: 31 August 2018; Published: 7 September 2018



Abstract: Many degree-based topological indices can be obtained from the closed-off M-polynomial of a carbon nanocone. These topological indices are numerical parameters that are associated with a structure and, in combination, determine the properties of the carbon nanocone. In this paper, we compute the closed form of the M-polynomial of generalized carbon nanocone and recover many important degree-based topological indices. We use software Maple 2015 (Maplesoft, Waterloo, ON, Canada) to plot the surfaces and graphs associated with these nanocones, and relate the topological indices to the structure of these nanocones.

Keywords: M-polynomial; degree-based topological index; carbon nanocone

1. Introduction

Chemical graph theory is a subject that connects mathematics, chemistry, and graph theory, and solves problems arising in chemistry mathematically. A topological index is a numeric number associated with a molecular graph that correlates certain physicochemical properties of chemical compounds. The topological indices are useful in the prediction of physicochemical properties and the bioactivity of the chemical compounds [1–4]. These indices capture the overall structure of the compound and predict chemical properties such as strain energy, heat formation, boiling points, etc.

Long computation is required to compute topological indices, and in order to simplify the computation of the degree indices, which form a subclass of degree-based topological indices of utmost importance, the M-polynomial was introduced in [5] by Deutsch and Klavžar. From the M-polynomial, one can recover nine degree-based topological indices. For this reason, the M-polynomial has been studied extensively in recent years, for example, Munir et al. computed M-polynomials for polyhex nanotubes, and recovered many important degree-based topological indices [6]. The M-polynomials for nanostar dendrimers were studied by Munir et al. in [7]. Munir et al. also studied the M-polynomials of titania nanotubes and its degree-based topological indices [8]. Ali et al. [9] studied zigzag and rhombic benzenoid systems. For more studies in this direction, see Munir et al. [10], Kwun et al. [11], Kang et al. [12], Ahmad et al. [13] and Kang et al. [14].

Carbon nanocones have been observed since 1968 or even earlier [15] on the surface of naturally occurring graphite. The molecular graph of nanocones have conical structures with a cycle of length k at its core and n layers of hexagons placed at the conical surface around its center. The importance of carbon nanostructures is due to their potential use in many applications, including gas sensors, energy storage, nanoelectronic devices, biosensors, and chemical probes [16]. Carbon allotropes such as carbon nanocones and carbon nanotubes have been proposed as possible molecular gas storage

devices [17]. More recently, carbon nanocones have gained increased scientific interest due to their unique properties and promising uses in many novel applications such as energy and hydrogen storage. Figures 1 and 2 show carbon nanocones.



Figure 1. Carbon nanocone $CNC_k[n]$ for k = 5.



Figure 2. The molecular graph of $CNC_k[n]$ for k = 5.

The molecular graph of $CNC_k[n]$ nanocones have conical structures with a cycle of length *k* at its core and *n* layers of hexagons placed at the conical surface around its center, as shown in the following Figure 3.

In the present report, we give a closed form of the M-polynomial of carbon nanocones. From the M-polynomial, we recover nine degree-based topological indices. In [18], Xu et al. computed the Hosoya polynomial and related distance-based indices for $CNC_7[n]$. In [19], Ghorbani et al. computed the Vertex PI, Szeged, and Omega polynomials of carbon nanocone $CNC_4[n]$. Similarly, many partial results regarding topological indices have been obtained for some particular classes of nanocones. However, we present some general results about complete families of nanocones. Our results present organized generalizations of many existing partial results.



Figure 3. Carbon nanocone $CNC_k[n]$.

2. Basic Definitions and Notions

Algebraic polynomials have many useful applications in chemistry. For instance, the Hosoya polynomial (also called the Wiener polynomial) [20] plays a vital role in determining distance-based topological indices. The M-polynomial [5], which was introduced in 2015, plays the same role in determining many degree-based topological indices [6–14].

Throughout this paper, *G* denotes connected graph, V(G) and E(G) denote the vertex set and the edge set, respectively, and d_v denotes the degree of a vertex.

Definition 1. *The M-polynomial of G is defined as:*

$$M(G, x, y) = \sum_{\delta \le i \le j \le \Delta} m_{ij}(G) x^i y^j,$$

where $\delta = Min\{d_v | v \in V(G)\}$, $\Delta = Max\{d_v | v \in V(G)\}$, and $m_{ij}(G)$ is the edge $vu \in E(G)$ such that $\{d_v, d_u\} = \{i, j\}$.

The first well-known topological index was introduced by Wiener [21] when he was studying the boiling point of paraffin. He named it the path number, which is now known as the Wiener index [22,23]. Later, Randic defined the first degree-based topological index in 1975 [24]. The Randic index is denoted by $R_{-1/2}(G)$, and is defined as:

$$R_{-1/2}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_u d_v}}.$$

In 1998, working independently, Bollobas and Erdos [25] and Amic et al. [26] proposed the generalized Randic index, which has been studied extensively by both chemists and mathematicians [27]. Many mathematical properties of the Randic index have been discussed [28]. For a detailed survey we refer to the monograph of Li and Gutman [29].

The general Randic index is defined as:

$$R_{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u d_v)^{\alpha}.$$

Obviously $R_{-1/2}(G)$ is the particular case of $R_{\alpha}(G)$ when $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}$.

Gutman and Trinajstic introduced the first Zagreb index and second Zagreb index, which are defined as: $M_1(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u + d_v)$ and $M_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u \times d_v)$, respectively. The second modified Zagreb index was defined as:

$${}^{m}M_{2}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d(u)d(v)}.$$

For detail about these indices, we refer Nikolić et al. [30], Gutman and Das [31], Das and Gutman [32] and Trinajstić et al. [33] to the readers.

The symmetric division index is defined as:

$$SDD(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left\{ \frac{\min(d_u, d_v)}{\max(d_u, d_v)} + \frac{\max(d_u, d_v)}{\min(d_u, d_v)} \right\}.$$

Other well-known topological indices are the harmonic index, $H(G) = \sum_{vu \in E(G)} \frac{2}{d_u + d_v}$, the inverse sum index, $I(G) = \sum_{vu \in E(G)} \frac{d_u d_v}{d_u + d_v}$, and the augmented Zagreb index [34,35]:

$$A(G) = \sum_{vu \in E(G)} \left\{ \frac{d_u d_v}{d_u + d_v - 2} \right\}^3.$$

The following Table 1 relates some well-known degree-based topological indices with the M-polynomial [5].

Table 1. Derivation of some degree-based topological indices from the M-polynomial.

Topological Index	Derivation from $M(G; x, y)$
First Zagreb	$(D_x + D_y)(M(G; x, y)) _{x=y=1}$
Second Zagreb	$(D_x D_y)(M(G; x, y))\big _{x=y=1}$
Second Modified Zagreb	$(S_xS_y)(M(G;x,y))\big _{x=y=1}$
General Randić Index	$(D_x^{\alpha}D_y^{\alpha})(M(G;x,y))\Big _{x=y=1}$
General inverse Randić Index	$\left(S_x^{\alpha}S_y^{\alpha}\right)(M(G;x,y))\Big _{x=y=1}$
Symmetric Division Index	$(D_x S_y + S_x D_y)(M(G; x, y)) _{x=y=1}$
Harmonic Index	$2 S_x J (M(G; x, y))_{x=1}$
Inverse sum Index	$S_x J D_x D_y (M(G; x, y))_{x=1}$
Augmented Zagreb Index	$S_x{}^3 Q_{-2} J D_x{}^3 D_y{}^3 (M(G; x, y))_{x=1}$

where:

$$D_x = x \frac{\partial (f(x,y))}{\partial x}, D_y = y \frac{\partial (f(x,y))}{\partial y}, S_x = \int_0^x \frac{f(t,y)}{t} dt, S_y = \int_0^y \frac{f(x,t)}{t} dt, J(f(x,y)) = f(x,x),$$
$$Q_\alpha(f(x,y)) = x^\alpha f(x,y).$$

3. Results

In this section, we give our computational results.

Theorem 1. Let $CNC_k[n]$ be the graph of carbon nanocones. Then, the M-polynomial of $CNC_k[n]$ is:

$$M(CNC_k[n], x, y) = kx^2y^2 + 2knx^2y^3 + \frac{kn}{3}(3n+1)x^3y^3.$$

Proof. Let $CNC_k[n]$ be the graph of nanocones. From the graph of $CNC_k[n]$ nanocones, we can see that there are two partitions, $V_{\{3\}} = \{v \in V(CNC_k[n]) | d_v = 3\}$ and $V_{\{2\}} = \{v \in V(CNC_k[n]) | d_v = 2\}$. The edge set of the $CNC_k[n]$ can be partitions as follows:

$$E_{\{2,2\}} = \{e = uv \in E(CNC_k[n]) | d_u = 2\&d_v = 2\},\$$
$$E_{\{2,3\}} = \{e = uv \in E(CNC_k[n]) | d_u = 2\&d_v = 3\}$$

and:

$$E_{\{3,3\}} = \{e = uv \in E(CNC_k[n]) | d_u = d_v = 3\}$$

From the molecular graph of $CNC_k[n]$, we can observe that $|E_{\{2,2\}}| = k$, $|E_{\{2,3\}}| = 2kn$, and $|E_{\{3,3\}}| = \frac{kn}{3}(3n+1)$. Thus, by Definition 1, the M-polynomial of $CNC_k[n]$ (Figure 4) is:

$$\begin{split} M(CNC_k[n];x,y) &= \sum_{i \le j} m_{ij}(CNC_k[n])x^i y^j, \\ &= \sum_{2 \le 2} m_{22}(CNC_k[n])x^2 y^2 + \sum_{2 \le 3} m_{23}(CNC_k[n])x^2 y^3 + \sum_{3 \le 3} m_{33}(CNC_k[n])x^3 y^3, \\ &= \sum_{uv \in E_{\{2,2\}}} m_{22}(CNC_k[n])x^2 y^2 + \sum_{uv \in E_{\{2,3\}}} m_{23}(CNC_k[n])x^2 y^3 + \sum_{uv \in E_{\{3,3\}}} m_{33}(CNC_k[n])x^3 y^3, \\ &= \left| E_{\{2,2\}} \right| x^2 y^2 + \left| E_{\{2,3\}} \right| x^2 y^3 + \left| E_{\{3,3\}} \right| x^3 y^3, \\ &= kx^2 y^2 + 2knx^2 y^3 + \frac{kn}{3}(3n+1)x^3 y^3. \end{split}$$



Figure 4. The 3D plot for the M-polynomial of $CNC_k[n]$ for k = n = 1.

Theorem 2. Let $CNC_k[n]$ be the graph of carbon nanocones. Then:

- 1. $M_1(CNC_k[n]) = 6kn^2 + 12kn + 4k.$
- 2. $M_2(CNC_k[n]) = 9kn^2 + 15kn + 4k.$
- 3. ${}^{m}M_2(CNC_k[n]) = \frac{1}{9}kn^2 + \frac{10}{27}kn + \frac{1}{4}k.$
- 4. $R_{\alpha}(CNC_k[n]) = k2^{2\alpha} + kn(n3^{2\alpha} + 2^{2\alpha+1} + 3^{2\alpha-1}).$
- 5. $R_{\alpha}(CNC_{k}[n]) = k4^{-\alpha} + 2kn6^{-\alpha} + \frac{1}{3}kn(3n+1)9^{-\alpha}$.
- 6. $SDD(CNC_k[n]) = 2kn^2 + 5kn + 2k.$
- 7. $H(CNC_k[n]) = \frac{1}{3}kn^2 + \frac{41}{45}kn + \frac{1}{2}k.$
- 8. $I(CNC_k[n]) = \frac{3}{2}kn^2 + \frac{29}{10}kn + k.$
- 9. $A(CNC_k[n]) = \frac{729}{64}kn^2 + \frac{1267}{64}kn + 8k.$
- **Proof.** Let $M(CNC_k[n]; x, y) = f(x, y) = kx^2y^2 + 2knx^2y^3 + \frac{kn}{3}(3n+1)x^3y^3$ $D_{x}(f(x,y)) = 2kx^{2}y^{2} + 4knx^{2}y^{3} + kn(3n+1)x^{3}y^{3},$ $D_{u}(f(x,y)) = 2kx^{2}y^{2} + 6knx^{2}y^{3} + kn(3n+1)x^{3}y^{3}$ $S_x(f(x,y)) = \frac{k}{2}x^2y^2 + knx^2y^3 + \frac{kn}{9}(3n+1)x^3y^3,$ $S_y(f(x,y)) = \frac{k}{2}x^2y^2 + \frac{2}{2}knx^2y^3 + \frac{kn}{2}(3n+1)mx^3y^3,$ $D_{x}^{\alpha}D_{u}^{\alpha}(f(x,y)) = 2^{2\alpha}kx^{2}y^{2} + 2^{\alpha+1}3^{\alpha}knx^{2}y^{3} + 3^{2\alpha-1}kn(3n+1)x^{3}y^{3},$ $S_x^{\alpha}S_y^{\alpha}(f(x,y)) = \frac{k}{2^{2\alpha}}x^2y^2 + \frac{1}{2^{\alpha-1}3^{\alpha}}knx^2y^3 + \frac{kn}{3^{2\alpha+1}}(3n+1)x^3y^3$ $J(f(x,y)) = kx^4 + 2knx^5 + \frac{kn}{2}(3n+1)x^6,$ $S_x J(f(x,y)) = \frac{k}{4}x^4 + \frac{2}{5}knx^5 + \frac{kn}{18}(3n+1)x^6,$ $D_{x}D_{y}(f(x,y)) = 4kx^{2}y^{2} + 12knx^{2}y^{3} + 3kn(3n+1)x^{3}y^{3},$ $ID_{x}D_{y}(f(x,y)) = 4kx^{4} + 12knx^{5} + 3kn(3n+1)x^{6},$ $S_{x}JD_{x}D_{y}(f(x,y)) = kx^{4} + \frac{12}{5}knx^{5} + \frac{1}{2}kn(3n+1)x^{6},$ $D_{u}^{3}(f(x,y)) = 8kx^{2}y^{2} + 54knx^{2}y^{3} + 9kn(3n+1)x^{3}y^{3},$ $D_x^3 D_y^3 (f(x,y)) = 64kx^2y^2 + 432knx^2y^3 + 243kn(3n+1)x^3y^3,$ $ID_x^3 D_y^3 (f(x,y)) = 64kx^4 + 432knx^5 + 243kn(3n+1)x^6,$ $Q_{-2}JD_x^3D_y^3(f(x,y)) = 64kx^2 + 432knx^3 + 243kn(3n+1)x^4,$ $S_x^3 Q_{-2} J D_x^3 D_y^3 (f(x,y)) = 8kx^2 + 16knx^3 + \frac{243}{64}kn(3n+1)x^4.$

Now we recover degree-based topological indices by using Table 1. The Figures 5–13 show the relations of different topological indices with values of k and n. It is noticeable that all of the above discussed topological indices vary quadratically with n, and linearly with k.

1.
$$M_1(CNC_k[n]) = (D_x + D_y)(f(x,y))(M(CNC_k[n])x,y)|_{x=y=1} = \frac{k}{2}(18n^2 + 26n + 10).$$



Figure 5. Plots for the first Zagreb index (left for arbitrary *n* and *k*, middle for k = 4, and right for n = 5).

2. $M_2(CNC_k[n]) = (D_x D_y)(f(x,y))(M(CNC_k[n])x,y)\Big|_{x=y=1} = \frac{k^2}{4}(81n^4 + 234n^3 + 255n^2 + 126n + 24).$



Figure 6. Plots for the second Zagreb index (left for arbitrary *n* and *k*, middle for k = 4, and right for n = 5).

3. ${}^{m}M_2(CNC_k[n]) = (S_xS_y)(f(x,y))\Big|_{x=y=1} = \frac{1}{9}kn^2 + \frac{10}{27}kn + \frac{1}{4}k.$



Figure 7. Plots for the modified second Zagreb index (left for arbitrary *n* and *k*, middle for k = 4, and right for n = 5).

4. $R_{\alpha}(CNC_{k}[n]) = D_{x}^{\alpha}D_{y}^{\alpha}f(x,y)\Big|_{x=y=1} = k2^{2\alpha} + kn(n3^{2\alpha} + 2^{2\alpha+1} + 3^{2\alpha-1}).$



Figure 8. Plots for the Randic index (left for arbitrary *n* and *k*, middle for k = 4, and right for n = 5).

5.
$$R_{\alpha}(CNC_{k}[n]) = \left(S_{x}^{\alpha}S_{y}^{\alpha}\right)(f(x,y))\Big|_{x=y=1} = k4^{-\alpha} + 2kn6^{-\alpha} + \frac{1}{3}kn(3n+1)9^{-\alpha}.$$



Figure 9. Plots for the inverse Randic index (left for arbitrary *n* and *k*, middle for k = 4, and right for n = 5).

6. $SDD(CNC_k[n]) = (D_x S_y + D_y S_x)(f(x,y))|_{x=y=1} = 2kn^2 + 5kn + 2k.$



Figure 10. Plots for the symmetric division index (left for arbitrary *n* and *k*, middle for k = 4, and right for n = 5).

7. $H(CNC_k[n]) = 2S_x J(f(x,y))\Big|_{x=1} = \frac{1}{3}kn^2 + \frac{41}{45}kn + \frac{1}{2}k.$



Figure 11. Plots for the harmonic index (left for arbitrary *n* and *k*, middle for k = 4, and right for n = 5).

8.
$$I(CNC_k[n]) = S_x JD_x D_y(f(x,y))|_{x=1} = \frac{3}{2}kn^2 + \frac{29}{10}kn + k$$



Figure 12. Plots for the inverse sum index (left for arbitrary *n* and *k*, middle for k = 4, and right for n = 5).

9. $A(CNC_k[n]) = S_x^3 Q_{-2} J D_x^3 D_y^3 (f(x,y)) \Big|_{x=1} = \frac{729}{64} kn^2 + \frac{1267}{64} kn + 8k.$



Figure 13. Plots for the augmented Zagreb index (left for arbitrary *n* and *k*, middle for k = 4, and right for n = 5).

4. Conclusions

The closed form of the M-polynomials of all of the carbon nanocones is computed. This polynomial generates a lot of information about degree-based topological descriptors, which are actually graph invariants. These indices, in combination, determine the properties of nanocones. The topological indices calculated in this paper are important for guessing the physicochemical properties of understudy chemical compounds. For example, the Randić index is a topological descriptor that has been connected with numerous substance qualities of atoms, and has been found to be parallel

to processing the boiling point and Kovats constants of the particles. To associate with certain physicochemical properties, the GA index has a very preferable prescient control over the prescient intensity of the Randić index. The first and second Zagreb indexes were found to calculate the aggregate π -electron vitality of the atoms inside specific surmised articulations. These are among the graph invariants, which were proposed for the estimation of the skeleton of the spreading of the carbon molecule. To calculate the distance-based topological indices of understudied nanocones is an interesting problem that is worthy of further investigation.

Author Contributions: W.N. design the problem. A.F. and M.Y. investigate the results, M.M. draw the figures and S.M.K. formate the paper and validate the results.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: The authors are thankful to both the reviewers for suggestion that help us to improve this paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Basak, S.C.; Mills, D.; Mumtaz, M.M.; Balasubramanian, K. Use of Topological Indices in Predicting Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor Binding Potency of Dibenzofurans: A Hierarchical QSAR Approach. *Ind. J. Chem.* 2003, 42A, 1385–1391.
- 2. García, I.; Fall, Y.; Gómez, G. Using topological indices to predict anti-Alzheimer and anti-parasitic GSK-3 inhibitors by multi-target QSAR in silico screening. *Molecules* **2010**, *15*, 5408–5422. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Husin, M.N.; Hasni, R.; Arif, N.E.; Imran, M. On topological indices of certain families of nanostar dendrimers. *Molecules* **2016**, *21*, 821. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Verma, S.; Tripathi, D.; Gupta, P.; Singh, R.; Bahuguna, G.M.; Shivakumark, L.N.; Chauhan, R.K.; Saran, K.S.; Jain, S.L. Highly dispersed palladium nanoparticles grafted onto nanocrystalline starch for the oxidation of alcohols using molecular oxygen as an oxidant. *Dalton Trans.* **2013**, *42*, 11522–11527. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Deutsch, E.; Klavžar, S. M-polynomial and degree-based topological indices. *arXiv* **2014**, arXiv:1407.1592.
- 6. Munir, M.; Nazeer, W.; Rafique, S.; Kang, S.M. M-polynomial and degree-based topological indices of polyhex nanotubes. *Symmetry* **2016**, *8*, 149. [CrossRef]
- 7. Munir, M.; Nazeer, W.; Rafique, S.; Kang, S.M. M-polynomial and related topological indices of Nanostar dendrimers. *Symmetry* **2016**, *8*, 97. [CrossRef]
- 8. Munir, M.; Nazeer, W.; Nizami, A.R.; Rafique, S.; Kang, S.M. M-polynomials and topological indices of titania nanotubes. *Symmetry* **2016**, *8*, 117. [CrossRef]
- 9. Ali, A.; Nazeer, W.; Munir, M.; Kang, S.M. M-polynomials and topological indices of zigzag and rhombic benzenoid systems. *Open Chem.* **2018**, *16*, 73–78. [CrossRef]
- 10. Munir, M.; Nazeer, W.; Rafique, S.; Nizami, A.R.; Kang, S.M. Some computational aspects of boron triangular nanotubes. *Symmetry* **2017**, *9*, *6*. [CrossRef]
- 11. Kwun, Y.C.; Munir, M.; Nazeer, W.; Rafique, S.; Kang, S.M. M-Polynomials and topological indices of V-Phenylenic Nanotubes and Nanotori. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 8756. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Kang, S.M.; Nazeer, W.; Gao, W.; Afzal, D.; Gillani, S.N. M-polynomials and topological indices of dominating David derived networks. *Open Chem.* **2018**, *16*, 201–213. [CrossRef]
- 13. Ahmad, M.S.; Nazeer, W.; Kang, S.M.; Jung, C.Y. M-polynomials and degree based topological indices for the line graph of firecracker graph. *Glob. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **2017**, *13*, 2749–2776.
- 14. Kang, S.M.; Nazeer, W.; Zahid, M.A.; Nizami, A.R.; Aslam, A.; Munir, M. M-polynomials and topological indices of hex-derived networks. *Open Phys.* **2018**, *16*, 394–403. [CrossRef]
- 15. Gillot, J.; Bollmann, W.; Lux, B. Cigar-shaped graphite crystals with conical structure. *Carbon* **1968**, *6*, 381–384. [CrossRef]
- 16. Iijima, S. Helical microtubules of graphitic carbon. *Nature* **1991**, 354, 56. [CrossRef]
- 17. Adisa, O.O.; Cox, B.J.; Hill, J.M. Modelling the surface adsorption of methane on carbon nanostructures. *Carbon* **2011**, *49*, 3212–3218. [CrossRef]
- 18. Xu, S.J.; Zhang, Q.X. The hosoya polynomial of one-heptagonal nanocone. *Curr. Nanosci.* **2013**, *9*, 411–414. [CrossRef]

- 19. Ghorbani, M.; Jalali, M. The vertex PI, szeged and omega polynomials of carbon nanocones CNC4 (n). *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **2009**, *62*, 353–362.
- 20. Hosoya, H. On some counting polynomials in chemistry. Dis. Appl. Math. 1988, 19, 239–257. [CrossRef]
- 21. Wiener, H.J. Structural determination of paraffin boiling points. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1947**, 69, 17–20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dobrynin, A.A.; Gutman, I. The Wiener index for trees and graphs of hexagonal systems. *Acta Appl. Math.* 2001, *66*, 211–249. [CrossRef]
- 23. Gutman, I.; Polansky, O.E. Mathematical Concepts in Organic Chemistry; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 1986.
- 24. Randić, M. Characterization of molecular branching. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 6609–6615. [CrossRef]
- 25. Bollobas, B.; Erdos, P. Graphs of extremal weights. Ars Combin. 1998, 50, 225–233. [CrossRef]
- 26. Amic, D.; Beslo, D.; Lucic, B.; Nikolic, S.; Trinajstić, N. The Vertex-Connectivity Index Revisited. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1998, 38, 819–822. [CrossRef]
- 27. Hu, Y.; Li, X.; Shi, Y.; Xu, T.; Gutman, I. On molecular graphs with smallest and greatest zeroth-order general randić index. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **2005**, *54*, 425–434.
- 28. Caporossi, G.; Gutman, I.; Hansen, P.; Pavlovic, L. Graphs with maximum connectivity index. *Comput. Biol. Chem.* 2003, 27, 85–90. [CrossRef]
- 29. Li, X.; Gutman, I. *Mathematical Chemistry Monographs*; No. 1; University of Kragujevac and Faculty of Science: Kragujevac, Serbien, 2006.
- 30. Nikolić, S.; Kovačević, G.; Miličević, A.; Trinajstić, N. First zagreb index, f-index and f-coindex of the line subdivision graphs. *Croat. Chem. Acta* **2003**, *76*, 113–124.
- 31. Gutman, I.; Das, K.C. The first zagreb index 30 years after. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **2004**, 50, 83–92.
- 32. Das, K.C.; Gutman, I. Some properties of the second zagreb index. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **2004**, *52*, 103–112.
- 33. Trinajstić, N.; Nikolić, S.; Miličević, A.; Gutman, I. On Zagreb indices. Kem. Ind. 2010, 59, 577–589.
- Vukičcević, D.; Graovac, A. Valence connectivities versus Randić, Zagreb and modified Zagreb index: A linear algorithm to check discriminative properties of indices in acyclic molecular graphs. *Croat. Chem. Acta* 2004, 77, 501–508.
- 35. Huang, Y.; Liu, B.; Gan, L. Augmented zagreb index of connected graphs. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 2012, 67, 483–494.



© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).