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#### Abstract

In this work, new criteria for the oscillatory behavior of even-order delay differential equations with neutral term are established by comparison technique, Riccati transformation and integral averaging method. The presented results essentially extend and simplify known conditions in the literature. To prove the validity of our results, we give some examples.


Keywords: oscillation; even order; neutral coefficients; differential equation

## 1. Introduction

Neutral/delay differential equations are used in a variety of problems in economics, biology, medicine, engineering and physics, including lossless transmission lines, vibration of bridges, as well as vibrational motion in flight, and as the Euler equation in some variational problems, see [1-3].

Nowadays, there is an ongoing interest in obtaining several sufficient conditions for the oscillatory properties of the solutions of different kinds of differential equations, especially their the oscillation and asymptotic, see Agarwal et al. [4] and Saker [5].

Baculikova [6], Dzrina and Jadlovska [7], and Bohner et al. [8] developed approaches and techniques for studying oscillation criteria in order to improve the oscillation criteria of second-order differential equations with delay/advanced terms. Xing et al. [9] and Moaaz et al. [10] also extended this evolution to differential equations of the neutral type. Therefore, there are many studies on the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of different orders of some differential equations, see [11-25].

Xing et al. [9] discussed the oscillation and asymptotic properties for equation

$$
\left(\gamma(t)\left(z^{(r-1)}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+a(t) \varphi(x(w(t)))=0
$$

where $z(t)=x(t)+h(t) x(\beta(t))$ and $0 \leq h(t) \leq h_{0}<\infty$. They used comparison technique. In [26], Zhang et al. studied the equation

$$
\left(\gamma(t)\left(z^{(r-1)}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+a(t) x^{\beta}(\beta(t))=0
$$

under condition $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \gamma^{-1 / \alpha}(s) \mathrm{d} s<\infty$ and they used comparison and Riccati techniques.
In case $\gamma(t)=1$ and $\alpha=1$, the authors in $[27,28]$ studied the oscillatory properties for equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{(r)}(t)+a(t) x(w(t))=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is an even and under the condition $0 \leq h(t)<1$.
In [29,30], authors investigated the oscillatory solutions of (1) where $h(t) \in\left[0, h_{0}\right]$ and $h_{0}<\infty$.

Agarwal et al. [31] studied the oscillation conditions of the equation

$$
\left[\left|z^{(r-1)}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} z^{(r-1)}(t)\right]^{\prime}+a(t)|x(\beta(t))|^{\alpha-1} x(\beta(t))=0
$$

where $\alpha>1$. The authors used comparison method to find this conditions.
Elabbasy et al. [32] were interested in discussing the oscillatory properties of the equation

$$
\left[\gamma(t)\left|\left(z^{(r-1)}(t)\right)\right|^{p-2} z^{(r-1)}(t)\right]^{\prime}+a(t) \varphi(x(\beta(t)))=0, p>1
$$

under the assumption that

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^{1 /(p-1)}(s)} d s=\infty
$$

and $r$ is an even positive integer.
Based on the above results of previous scholars, in this work, we are concerned with the following differential equations with neutral term of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\gamma(t) z^{(r-1)}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{j} a_{i}(t) \varphi\left(x\left(w_{i}(t)\right)\right)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j \geq 1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t)=|x(t)|^{p-2} x(t)+h(t) x(\beta(t)) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout this work, we suppose the following hypotheses:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma, h \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),[0, \infty)\right), a_{i} \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), \gamma(t)>0, \gamma^{\prime}(t) \geq 0,0 \leq h(t)<1 ; \\
\beta \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right), \beta(t) \leq t, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta(t)=\infty ; \\
\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \varphi(x) \geq|x|^{p-2} x \text { for } x \neq 0 ; \\
w_{i} \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), w_{i}(t) \leq t, w_{i}^{\prime}(t)>0, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} w_{i}(t)=\infty, i=1,2, \ldots, j ; \\
r \text { and } p \text { are positive integers, } r \text { is even, } r \geq 2, p>1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Definition 1. The function $x \in C^{r-1}\left[t_{x}, \infty\right), t \geq t_{x} \geq t_{0}$, is called a solution of $(2)$, if $\gamma(t) z^{(r-1)}(t)$ $\in C^{1}\left[t_{x}, \infty\right)$, and $x(t)$ satisfies (2) on $\left[t_{x}, \infty\right)$.

Definition 2. A solution of (2) is said to be non-oscillatory if it is positive or negative, ultimately; otherwise, it is said to be oscillatory.

The motivation for this article is to continue the previous works [33].
The authors in [34] used the comparison technique that differs from the one we used in this article. Our approach is based on using integral averaging method and the Riccati technique to reduce the main equation into a first-order inequality to obtain more effective oscillation conditions for Equation (2). Therefore, in order to highlight the novelty of the results that we obtained in this work, we presented a comparison between the previous results and our main results, represented in the Example 2.

Motivated by these reasons mentioned above, in this paper, we extend the results using integral averaging method and Riccati transformation under

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma(s)} \mathrm{d} s=\infty \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

These results contribute to adding some important conditions that were previously studied in the subject of oscillation of differential equations with neutral term. To prove our main results, we give some examples.

## 2. Oscillation Results

Now, we mention some important lemmas.
Lemma 1 ([34]). Let $z(t)$ be an $r$ times differentiable function on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ of constant sign and $z^{(r)}(t) \neq 0$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ which satisfies $z(t) z^{(r)}(t) \leq 0$. Then:
(I) there exists $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that the functions $z^{(i)}(t), i=1,2, \ldots, r-1$, are of constant sign on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$;
(II) there exists a number $l \in\{1,3,5, \ldots, r-1\}$ when $r$ is even, $l \in\{0,2,4, \ldots, r-1\}$ when $r$ is odd, such that, for $t \geq t_{1}$,

$$
z(t) z^{(i)}(t)>0,
$$

for all $i=0,1, \ldots, l$ and

$$
(-1)^{r+i+1} z(t) z^{(i)}(t)>0,
$$

for all $i=l+1, \ldots, r$.
Lemma 2 ([34]). If $z \in C^{r}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ and $z^{(r-1)}(t) z^{(r)}(t) \leq 0$ for $t \geq t_{0}$, then for every $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ there exists a constant $\ell>0$ such that

$$
z(\varepsilon t) \geq \ell t^{r-1}\left|z^{(r-1)}(t)\right|,
$$

for all large $t$.
Lemma 3 ([32]). Let $z \in C^{r}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ and $z^{(r-1)}(t) z^{(r)}(t) \leq 0$. If $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) \neq 0$, then for every $\mu \in(0,1)$ there exists a $t_{\mu} \geq t_{0}$ such that

$$
z(t) \geq \frac{\mu}{(n-1)!} t^{r-1}\left|z^{(r-1)}(t)\right| \text { for } t \geq t_{\mu}
$$

Lemma 4. Assume that $x(t)$ is a positive solution of Equation (2). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t)>0, z^{\prime}(t)>0, z^{(r-1)}(t) \geq 0 \text { and } z^{(r)}(t) \leq 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq t_{1} \geq t_{0}$.
Proof. Suppose that $x(t)$ is a positive solution of Equation (2). Then, we can assume that $x(t)>0, x(\beta(t))>0$ and $x(w(t))>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. Hence, we deduce $z(t)>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\gamma z^{(r-1)}\right)^{\prime}(t)=-\sum_{i=1}^{j} a_{i}(t) \varphi\left(x\left(w_{i}(t)\right)\right) \leq 0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which means that $\gamma(t) z^{(r-1)}(t)$ is decreasing and $z^{(r-1)}(t)$ is eventually of one sign.
We see that $z^{(r-1)}(t)>0$. Otherwise, if there exists a $t_{2} \geq t_{1}$ such that $z^{(r-1)}(t)<0$ for $t \geq t_{2}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\gamma z^{(r-1)}\right)(t) \leq\left(\gamma z^{(r-1)}\right)\left(t_{2}\right)=-L, L>0 . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (7) from $t_{2}$ to $t$ we find

$$
z^{(r-2)}(t)-z^{(r-2)}\left(t_{2}\right) \leq-L \int_{t_{2}}^{t} \frac{1}{\gamma(s)} \mathrm{d} s .
$$

So, we get

$$
z^{(r-2)}(t) \leq z^{(r-2)}\left(t_{2}\right)-L \int_{t_{2}}^{t} \frac{1}{\gamma(s)} \mathrm{d} s
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} z^{(r-2)}(t)=-\infty$, which contradicts the fact that $z(t)$ is a positive solution by Lemma 1 . Hence, we obtain $z^{(r-1)}(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$.

From Equation (2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\gamma^{\prime} t^{(r-1)}\right)(t)+\left(\gamma t^{(r)}\right)(t)-\sum_{i=1}^{j} a_{i}(t) \varphi\left(x\left(w_{i}(t)\right)\right) \leq 0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equations (4) and (8), we find

$$
\left(\gamma z^{(r)}\right)(t)=-\left(\gamma^{\prime} z^{(r-1)}\right)(t)-\sum_{i=1}^{j} a_{i}(t) \varphi\left(x\left(w_{i}(t)\right)\right) \leq 0,
$$

this implies that $z^{(r)}(t) \leq 0, t \geq t_{1}$. By using Lemma 1, we find that (5) holds. The proof is complete.

Theorem 1. If the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t)+\widehat{M}(t) x\left(w_{i}(t)\right)=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is oscillatory, where

$$
\widehat{M}(t):=\frac{\mu w_{i}^{r-1}(t)}{(r-1)!\gamma\left(w_{i}(t)\right)} M(t)
$$

and

$$
M(t):=\sum_{i=1}^{j} a_{i}(t)\left(1-h\left(w_{i}(t)\right)\right)
$$

then (2) is oscillatory.
Proof. Suppose that (2) has a nonoscillatory solution. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $x(t)>0$. Using Lemma 4, we find that (5) holds. From (3), we see

$$
z(t)=|x(t)|^{p-2} x(t)+h(t) x(\beta(t))
$$

we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{p-1}(t) & =z(t)-h(t) x(\beta(t)) \\
& \geq z(t)-h(t) z(\beta(t)) \\
& \geq z(t)-h(t) z(t) \\
& \geq(1-h(t)) z(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{p-1}\left(w_{i}(t)\right) \geq z\left(w_{i}(t)\right)\left(1-h\left(w_{i}(t)\right)\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (10), we see

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(x\left(w_{i}(t)\right)\right) \geq z\left(w_{i}(t)\right)\left(1-h\left(w_{i}(t)\right)\right) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2) and (11), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\gamma z^{(r-1)}\right)^{\prime}(t) & \leq-\sum_{i=1}^{j} a_{i}(t) z\left(w_{i}(t)\right)\left(1-h\left(w_{i}(t)\right)\right) \\
& \leq-z(w(t)) \sum_{i=1}^{j} a_{i}(t)\left(1-h\left(w_{i}(t)\right)\right) \\
& =-M(t) z\left(w_{i}(t)\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 3, we get

$$
z(t) \geq \frac{\mu}{(r-1)!} t^{r-1} z^{(r-1)}(t)
$$

for all $t \geq t_{2} \geq \max \left\{t_{1}, t_{\mu}\right\}$. Thus, by using (12), we see

$$
\left(\gamma(t) z^{(r-1)}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{\mu w_{i}^{r-1}(t) M(t)}{(r-1)!\gamma\left(w_{i}(t)\right)}\left(\gamma\left(w_{i}(t)\right) z^{(r-1)}\left(w_{i}(t)\right)\right) \leq 0 .
$$

Therefore, we get $x(t)=\gamma(t) z^{(r-1)}(t)$ is a positive solution of the inequality

$$
x^{\prime}(t)+\widehat{M}(t) x\left(w_{i}(t)\right) \leq 0
$$

From [23] (Corollary 1), we find Equation (9) also has a positive solution, a contradiction. Theorem 1 is proved.

By using Theorem 2.1.1 in [35], we get the following corollary.
Corollary 1. If

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{w_{i}(t)}^{t} \frac{w_{i}^{r-1}(s)}{\gamma\left(w_{i}(s)\right)} M(s) \mathrm{d} s>\frac{(r-1)!}{\mu \mathrm{e}},
$$

for some constant $\mu \in(0,1)$, then (2) is oscillatory.

Theorem 2. If $\omega \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and $\ell>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\left(\omega(u) M(u)-\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(\frac{\omega^{\prime}(u)}{\omega(u)}\right)^{2} A(u)\right) \mathrm{d} u=\infty \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$, then (2) is oscillatory, where

$$
A(t):=\frac{\gamma(t) \omega(t)}{\ell w_{i}^{r-2}(t) w_{i}^{\prime}(t)}
$$

Proof. Assume on the contrary that (2) has a nonoscillatory, say positive solution $x$. From Lemma 2 with $x=z^{\prime}$, there exists a $\ell>0$ and $w_{i}(t) \leq t$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
z^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon w_{i}(t)\right) & \geq \ell w_{i}^{r-2}(t) z^{(r-1)}\left(w_{i}(t)\right) \\
& \geq \ell w_{i}^{r-2}(t) z^{(r-1)}(t) . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Defining

$$
B(t):=\omega(t) \frac{\gamma(t) z^{(r-1)}(t)}{z\left(\varepsilon w_{i}(t)\right)}>0
$$

we have

$$
B^{\prime}(t)=\frac{\omega^{\prime}(t)}{\omega(t)} B(t)+\omega(t) \frac{\left(\gamma(t) z^{(r-1)}(t)\right)^{\prime}}{z\left(\varepsilon w_{i}(t)\right)}-\varepsilon \omega(t) \frac{\gamma(t) z^{(r-1)}(t) z^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon w_{i}(t)\right) w_{i}^{\prime}(t)}{(z(\varepsilon w(t)))^{2}}
$$

From (12), we obtain

$$
B^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\omega^{\prime}(t)}{\omega(t)} B(t)-\omega(t) M(t)-\varepsilon \frac{z^{\prime}\left(w_{i}(t)\right) w_{i}^{\prime}(t)}{z\left(\varepsilon w_{i}(t)\right)} B(t) .
$$

By using (14), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
B^{\prime}(t) & \leq \frac{\omega^{\prime}(t)}{\omega(t)} B(t)-\omega(t) M(t)-\varepsilon \frac{\ell w_{i}^{r-2}(t) z^{(r-1)}(t) w_{i}^{\prime}(t)}{z\left(\varepsilon w_{i}(t)\right)} B(t) \\
& \leq \frac{\omega^{\prime}(t)}{\omega(t)} B(t)-\omega(t) M(t)-\varepsilon \frac{\ell w_{i}^{r-2}(t) w_{i}^{\prime}(t)}{\gamma(t) \omega(t)} \frac{\omega(t) \gamma(t) z^{(r-1)}(t)}{z\left(\varepsilon w_{i}(t)\right)} B(t) \\
& \leq \frac{\omega^{\prime}(t)}{\omega(t)} B(t)-\omega(t) M(t)-\frac{\varepsilon}{A(t)} B^{2}(t) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the inequality

$$
x z-u z^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}} \leq \frac{\gamma^{\gamma}}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}} \frac{x^{\gamma+1}}{u^{\gamma}}
$$

with $x=\omega^{\prime} / \omega, u=\varepsilon \ell w_{i}^{r-2}(t) w_{i}^{\prime}(t) /(\gamma(t) \omega(t))$ and $z=B(t)$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{\prime}(t) \leq-\omega(t) M(t)+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(\frac{\omega^{\prime}(t)}{\omega(t)}\right)^{2} \frac{\gamma(t) \omega(t)}{\ell w_{i}^{r-2}(t) w_{i}^{\prime}(t)} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (16) from $t_{1}$ to $t$ we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(\omega(u) M(u)-\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(\frac{\omega^{\prime}(u)}{\omega(u)}\right)^{2} A(u)\right) \mathrm{d} u & \leq B\left(t_{1}\right)-B(t) \\
& \leq B\left(t_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts (13). Theorem 2 is proved.

## 3. Philos-Type Oscillation Results

## Definition 3. Let

$$
D_{0}=\left\{(t, s): t>s>t_{0}\right\} \text { and } D=\left\{(t, s): t \geq s \geq t_{0}\right\}
$$

A function $G \in C(D, \mathbb{R})$ is said to belong to the function class $\psi$, written by $G \in \psi$, if
(i) $G(t, s)>0$ on $D_{0}$ and $G(t, s)=0$ for $t \geq t_{0}$ with $(t, s) \notin D_{0}$;
(ii) $\quad G(t, s)$ has a continuous and nonpositive partial derivative $\partial G / \partial s$ on $D_{0}$ and $g \in C\left(D_{0}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ such that

$$
\frac{\partial G(t, s)}{\partial s}=-g(t, s) \sqrt{G(t, s)}
$$

Theorem 3. If $\omega \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{G\left(t, t_{0}\right)} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} G(t, u)\left(\omega(u) M(u)-\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon} A(u) \psi^{2}(t, u)\right) \mathrm{d} u=\infty \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\psi(t, s)=\frac{\omega^{\prime}(s)}{\omega(s)}-\frac{g(t, s)}{\sqrt{G(t, s)}},
$$

for $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$, then (2) is oscillatory.
Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1. By Theorem 2, we see that (15) holds. Multiplying (15) by $G(t, s)$ and integrating both sides from $t_{2}$ to $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_{2}}^{t} G(t, u) \omega(u) M(u) \mathrm{d} u \leq & -\int_{t_{2}}^{t} G(t, u) B^{\prime}(u) \mathrm{d} u-\int_{t_{2}}^{t} G(t, u) \frac{\varepsilon}{A(u)} B^{2}(u) \mathrm{d} u \\
& +\int_{t_{2}}^{t} G(t, u) \frac{\omega^{\prime}(u)}{\omega(u)} B(u) \mathrm{d} u \\
\leq & G\left(t, t_{2}\right) B\left(t_{2}\right)-\int_{t_{2}}^{t} G(t, u) \frac{\varepsilon}{A(u)} B^{2}(u) \mathrm{d} u \\
& +\int_{t_{2}}^{t} G(t, u) B(u) \psi(t, u) \mathrm{d} u
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_{2}}^{t} G(t, u) \omega(u) M(u) \mathrm{d} u \leq & G\left(t, t_{2}\right) B\left(t_{2}\right) \\
& -\int_{t_{2}}^{t} G(t, u) \frac{\varepsilon}{A(u)}\left(B^{2}(u)-\frac{A(u)}{\varepsilon} \psi(t, u) B(u)\right) \mathrm{d} u .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{G\left(t, t_{2}\right)} \int_{t_{2}}^{t} G(t, u)\left(\omega(u) M(u)-\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon} A(u) \psi^{2}(t, u)\right) \mathrm{d} u \\
& \quad \leq B\left(t_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{G\left(t, t_{2}\right)} \int_{t_{2}}^{t} G(t, u) \frac{\varepsilon}{A(u)}\left(B(u)-\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} A(u) \psi(t, u)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} u,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{G\left(t, t_{2}\right)} \int_{t_{2}}^{t} G(t, u)\left(\omega(u) M(u)-\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon} A(u) \psi^{2}(t, u)\right) \mathrm{d} u \leq B\left(t_{2}\right) .
$$

From (17), we have a contradiction. Theorem 3 is proved.

Corollary 2. Suppose that

$$
0<\inf _{s \geq t}\left(\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G(t, s)}{G\left(t, t_{0}\right)}\right) \leq \infty
$$

and

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{G\left(t, t_{0}\right)} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} G(t, u) A(u) \psi^{2}(t, u) \mathrm{d} u<\infty
$$

If there exists a function $\varphi \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ satisfying for $t \geq t_{0}$

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{\varphi_{+}^{2}(s)}{A(s)} \mathrm{d} s=\infty
$$

where $\varphi_{+}(t)=\max \{\varphi(t), 0\}$, and also

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{G\left(t, t_{0}\right)} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} G(t, u)\left(\omega(u) M(u)-\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon} A(u) \psi^{2}(t, u)\right) \mathrm{d} u \geq \sup _{t \geq t_{0}} \varphi(t),
$$

then (2) is oscillatory.
Example 1. Let second-order equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[t\left(x(t)+\frac{1}{2} x\left(\frac{t}{3}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+\frac{a_{0}}{t}\left(x^{2}+x\right)\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)=0, t \geq 1 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{0}>0$ is a constant. Let $r=p=2, \gamma(t)=t, h(t)=1 / 2, \beta(t)=t / 3, a(t)=$ $a_{0} / t, w_{i}(t)=t / 2, \varphi(x)=x^{2}+x$.

Thus, we find

$$
M(t)=a(t)\left(1-h\left(w_{i}(t)\right)\right)=\frac{a_{0}}{2 t}
$$

If we set $\omega=t$, then $A(t)=\frac{\gamma(t) \omega(t)}{\ell w_{i}^{r-2}(t) w_{i}^{\prime}(t)}=\frac{2 t^{2}}{\ell}$ and for any constants $\ell>0,0<\varepsilon<1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\left(\omega(u) M(u)-\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon}\left(\frac{\mathcal{\omega}^{\prime}(u)}{\omega(u)}\right)^{2} A(u)\right) \mathrm{d} u \\
= & \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\left(\frac{a_{0}}{2}-\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon \ell}\right) \mathrm{d} u \\
= & \infty \quad \text { if } a_{0}>1
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Theorem 2, Equation (18) is oscillatory if $a_{0}>1$.
Example 2. Consider the fourth-order equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[t z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right]^{\prime}+\frac{b}{t} x\left(\frac{t}{3}\right)=0, t \geq 1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z(t)=x(t)+\frac{1}{3} x\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)$ and $b>0$ is a constant. Let $r=4, p=2, \gamma(t)=t, h(t)=$ $1 / 3, \beta(t)=t / 2, a(t)=b / t, w_{i}(t)=t / 3, \varphi(x)=x$.

Thus, we see that

$$
\int^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma(t)} d z=\infty
$$

If we set $G(t, s)=(t-s)^{2}, g(t, s)=2$ and $\omega=1$, then

$$
A(t)=\frac{\gamma(t) \omega(t)}{\ell w_{i}^{r-2}(t) w_{i}^{\prime}(t)}=\frac{27}{\ell t}
$$

and

$$
\psi(t, s)=\frac{\omega^{\prime}(s)}{\omega(s)}-\frac{g(t, s)}{\sqrt{G(t, s)}}=-\frac{2}{t-s}
$$

So, it can be easily verified that

$$
\limsup _{\substack{t \rightarrow \infty \\ \infty}} \frac{1}{G\left(t, t_{0}\right)} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} G(t, u)\left(\omega(u) M(u)-\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon} A(u) \psi^{2}(t, u)\right) \mathrm{d} u
$$

Using Theorem 3, Equation (19) is oscillatory.
Remark 1. The results of [33] cannot solve (19) because of $\gamma(t)=t$. Thus, our results extend and complement upon the results of previous papers on this topic.

## 4. Conclusions

In this work, a large amount of attention has been focused on the oscillation problem of Equation (2). By Riccati transformation, comparison technique and integral averages method, we establish some new oscillation conditions. These results contribute to adding some important criteria that were previously studied in the literature. For future consideration, it will be of a great importance to study the oscillation of

$$
\left[\gamma(t)\left|\left(z^{(r-1)}(t)\right)\right|^{p-2} z^{(r-1)}(t)\right]^{\prime}+a(t) \varphi(x(\beta(t)))=0
$$

under the assumption that

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^{1 /(p-1)}(s)} d s<\infty,
$$

where $z(t)=|x(t)|^{p-2} x(t)+h(t) x(\beta(t))$ and $p>1$ is a constant.
Author Contributions: Conceptualization, F.M., A.A., O.B., M.A.A. and A.-D.V.; methodology, F.M., A.A., O.B., M.A.A. and A.-D.V.; investigation, F.M., A.A., O.B., M.A.A. and A.-D.V.; resources, F.M., A.A., O.B., M.A.A. and A.-D.V.; data curation, F.M., A.A., O.B., M.A.A. and A.-D.V.; writing-original draft preparation, F.M., A.A., O.B., M.A.A. and A.-D.V.; writing-review and editing, F.M., A.A., O.B., M.A.A. and A.-D.V.; supervision, F.M., A.A., O.B., M.A.A. and A.-D.V.; project administration, F.M., A.A., O.B., M.A.A. and A.-D.V. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.
Funding: This research received no external funding.
Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.
Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.
Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.
Acknowledgments: The authors thank the reviewers for their useful comments, which led to the improvement of the content of the paper. This research was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research at Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University through the Fast-track Research Funding Program.
Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Agarwal, R.P.; Grace, S.R.; O’Regan, D. Oscillation Theory for Second Order Dynamic Equations; Taylor \& Francis: London, UK, 2003.
2. Bainov, D.D.; Mishev, D.P. Oscillation Theory for Neutral Differential Equations with Delay; Adam Hilger: New York, NY, USA, 1991.
3. Agarwal, R.P.; Bohner, M.; Li, T.; Zhang, C. A new approach in the study of oscillatory behavior of even-order neutral delay differential equations. Appl. Math. Comput. 2013, 225, 787-794. [CrossRef]
4. Agarwal, R.; Grace, S.; O'Regan, D. Oscillation Theory for Difference and Functional Differential Equations; Kluwer Academic Publishing: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2000.
5. Saker, S. Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential and Difference Equations: Second and Third Orders; LAP Lambert Academic Publishing: Chisinau, Moldova, 2010.
6. Baculikova, B. Oscillation of second-order nonlinear noncanonical differential equations with deviating argument. Appl. Math. Lett. 2019, 91, 68-75. [CrossRef]
7. Dzrina, J.; Jadlovska, I. A note on oscillation of second-order delay differential equations. Appl. Math. Lett. 2017, 69, 126-132. [CrossRef]
8. Bohner, M.; Grace, S.R.; Jadlovska, I. Sharp oscillation criteria for second-order neutral delay differential equations. Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 2020, 43, 10041-10053. [CrossRef]
9. Xing, G.; Li, T.; Zhang, C. Oscillation of higher-order quasi linear neutral differential equations. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2011, 2011, 1-10. [CrossRef]
10. Moaaz, O.; Awrejcewicz, J.; Bazighifan, O. A New Approach in the Study of Oscillation Criteria of Even-Order Neutral Differential Equations. Mathematics 2020, 8, 197.
11. Hale, J.K. Theory of Functional Differential Equations; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 1977.
12. Jadlovska, I. New Criteria for Sharp Oscillation of Second-OrderNeutral Delay Differential Equations. Mathematics 2021, 9, 2089. [CrossRef]
13. Jadlovska, I.; Chatzarakis, G.E.; Džurina, J.; Grace, S.R. On Sharp Oscillation Criteria for General Third-Order Delay Differential Equations. Mathematics 2021, 9, 1675. [CrossRef]
14. Chatzarakis, G.E.; Dzurina, J.; Jadlovska, I. Oscillatory Properties of Third-Order Neutral Delay Differential Equations with Noncanonical Operators. Mathematics 2019, 7, 1177. [CrossRef]
15. Tian, Y.; Cai, Y.; Fu, Y.; Li, T. Oscillation and asymptotic behavior of third-order neutral differential equations with distributed deviating arguments. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2015, 2015, 267. [CrossRef]
16. Bazighifan, O.; Mofarreh, F.; Nonlaopon, K. On the Qualitative Behavior of Third-Order Differential Equations with a Neutral Term. Symmetry 2021, 13, 1287. [CrossRef]
17. Baculikova, B.; Dzurina J.; Graef, J.R. On the oscillation of higher-order delay differential equations. Math. Slovaca 2012, 187, 387-400. [CrossRef]
18. Muhib, A.; Abdeljawad, T.; Moaaz, O.; Elabbasy, E.M. Oscillatory Properties of Odd-Order Delay Differential Equations with Distribution Deviating Arguments. Appl. Sci. 2020, 10, 5952. [CrossRef]
19. Li, T.; Rogovchenko, Y.V. Oscillation criteria for even-order neutral differential equations. Appl. Math. Lett. 2016, 61, 35-41. [CrossRef]
20. Li, T.; Rogovchenko, Y.V. On asymptotic behavior of solutions to higher-order sublinear Emden-Fowler delay differential equations. Appl. Math. Lett. 2017, 67, 53-59. [CrossRef]
21. Kumar, M.S.; Bazighifan, O.; Almutairi, A.; Chalishajar, D.N. Philos-Type Oscillation Results for Third-Order Differential Equation with Mixed Neutral Terms. Mathematics 2021, 9, 1021. [CrossRef]
22. Erbe, L.; Hassan T.; Peterson, A. Oscillation of second order neutral delay differential equations. Adv. Dyn. Sys. Appl. 2008, 3, 53-71.
23. Philos, C. On the existence of nonoscillatory solutions tending to zero at $\infty$ for differential equations with positive delays. Arch. Math. 1981, 36, 168-178. [CrossRef]
24. Shi, Y. Oscillation criteria for nth order nonlinear neutral differential equations. Appl. Math. Comput. 2014, 235, 423-429. [CrossRef]
25. Moaaz, O.; El-Nabulsi, R.A.; Muhib, A.; Elagan, S.K.; Zakarya, M. New Improved Results for Oscillation of Fourth-Order Neutral Differential Equations. Mathematics 2021, 9, 2388. [CrossRef]
26. Zhang, C.; Li, T.; Suna, B.; Thandapani, E. On the oscillation of higher-order half-linear delay differential equations. Appl. Math. Lett. 2011, 24, 1618-1621. [CrossRef]
27. Zafer, A. Oscillation criteria for even order neutral differential equations. Appl. Math. Lett. 1998, 11, 21-25. [CrossRef]
28. Zhang, Q.; Yan, J. Oscillation behavior of even order neutral differential equations with variable coefficients. Appl. Math. Lett. 2006, 19, 1202-1206. [CrossRef]
29. Li, T.X.; Han, Z.L.; Zhao, P.; Sun, S.R. Oscillation of even-order neutral delay differential equations. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2010, 2010, 184180. [CrossRef]
30. Bazighifan, O.; Ghanim, F.; Awrejcewicz, J.; Al-Ghafri, K.S.; Al-Kandari, M. New Criteria for Oscillation of Half-Linear Differential Equations with $p$-Laplacian-like Operators. Mathematics 2021, 9, 2584. [CrossRef]
31. Agarwal, R.; Grace, S.; O'Regan, D. Oscillation criteria for certain nth order differential equations with deviating arguments. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2001, 262, 601-622. [CrossRef]
32. Elabbasy, E.M.; Cesarano, C.; Moaaz O.; Bazighifan, O. Asymptotic and oscillatory behavior of solutions of a class of higher order differential equation. Symmetry 2019, 18, 1434. [CrossRef]
33. Agarwal, R.P.; Bazighifan, O.; Ragusa, M.A. Nonlinear Neutral Delay Differential Equations Fourth-Order: Oscillation of Solutions. Entropy 2021, 23, 129. [CrossRef]
34. Moaaz, O.; Elabbasy, E.M.; Muhib, A. Oscillation criteria for even-order neutral differential equations with distributed deviating arguments. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2019, 2019, 1-10. [CrossRef]
35. Ladde, G.S.; Lakshmikantham, V.; Zhang, B. Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Deviating Arguments; Marcel Dekker: New York, NY, USA, 1987.
