



# Article Nanostructuring of SnO<sub>2</sub> Thin Films by Associating Glancing Angle Deposition and Sputtering Pressure for Gas Sensing Applications

Achraf El Mohajir <sup>1</sup>, Mohammad Arab Pour Yazdi <sup>2,3</sup>, Anna Krystianiak <sup>4</sup>, Olivier Heintz <sup>4</sup>, Nicolas Martin <sup>1</sup>, Franck Berger <sup>1</sup> and Jean-Baptiste Sanchez <sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Institut FEMTO-ST, UMR 6174, CNRS, Université Bourgogne Franche-Comté, 15B, Avenue des Montboucons, 25030 Besançon, France
- <sup>2</sup> Institut FEMTO-ST, UMR 6174, CNRS, Université Bourgogne Franche-Comté, UTBM, Site de Montbéliard, 90010 Belfort, France
- <sup>3</sup> Anton Paar TriTec SA, Les Vernets 6, 2035 Corcelles, Switzerland
- <sup>4</sup> Laboratoire ICB, UMR 6303, CNRS, Université Bourgogne Franche-Comté, 9, Avenue Alain Savary, CEDEX BP 47 870, 21078 Dijon, France
- \* Correspondence: jbsanche@femto-st.fr

Abstract: SnO<sub>2</sub> thin films were prepared by conventional and Glancing Angle Deposition reactive sputtering, and their gas sensing properties were investigated. The porosity of the as-prepared films was widely assessed using optical methods, and the sensing performances of these active layers were correlated with the evolution of surface and film porosity as a function of deposition conditions and annealing treatment. The sensor made of inclined columns grown at high sputtering pressure ( $6 \times 10^{-3}$  mbar) and annealed at 500 °C in air exhibited the best response to benzene, with a limit of detection of 30 ppb. In addition, successful BTEX (i.e., benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes) discrimination was achieved by combining the sensing signals of four nanostructured tin-oxide-based gas sensors.

Keywords: GLAD; SnO<sub>2</sub>; nanocolumns; BTEX gas sensor; porous architecture

# 1. Introduction

Metal-oxide-semiconductor (MOS) gas sensors are the dominant gas sensing devices for monitoring the presence of air pollutants [1,2]. Despite poor selectivity, these chemical gas sensors show many advantages such as low cost, flexibility of production, and reduced power consumption, as well as high sensitivity and minimal performance drift over time in a controlled atmosphere (laboratory conditions). The sensing performances of these metal-oxide-based gas sensors are directly controlled by the grain size and the morphology of the sensing materials [3–8]. In order to improve MOS gas sensor performances, most studies have been focused on the structuring of sensitive thin films. In particular, the nanostructuring of the sensitive material led to an improvement in detection performance, especially to reach very low detection limits in the ppb level or lower. Several innovative fabrication methods have been developed to produce high-sensitive chemical gas sensors with metal-oxide nanostructures, including bottom-up (chemical vapor deposition (CVD), sol-gel processes, thermal evaporation, hydrothermal route, and reactive sputtering) and also top-down approaches (electron-beam lithography, reactive ion etching, field ion beam technology) [9–14]. Recently, the Glancing Angle Deposition method (GLAD) has been applied in reactive sputtering for the growth of different nanosized columnar films with controlled porosities and shapes [15–17]. Thin films with very original architectures such as normal and inclined columns, zigzag or spiral structures can be achieved with this deposition method [18–20]. Although GLAD technique offers a fully three-dimensional control of the films and almost no limitation on materials that can be fabricated, only a few



Citation: Mohajir, A.E.; Yazdi, M.A.P.; Krystianiak, A.; Heintz, O.; Martin, N.; Berger, F.; Sanchez, J.-B. Nanostructuring of SnO<sub>2</sub> Thin Films by Associating Glancing Angle Deposition and Sputtering Pressure for Gas Sensing Applications. *Chemosensors* **2022**, *10*, 426. https://doi.org/10.3390/ chemosensors10100426

Academic Editors: Fanli Meng, Zhenyu Yuan and Dan Meng

Received: 21 September 2022 Accepted: 16 October 2022 Published: 17 October 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). studies address the use of the GLAD deposition technique for the development of sensitive layers for chemical gas sensor applications. Nevertheless, we can cite works concerning the use of copper oxide films [21], ZnO nanospiral thin films [22], and nanocolumnar SnO<sub>2</sub> and NiO metal oxides [23] or, more recently, a sensor array composed of different nanocolumnar structures made of metal oxides [24]. All these studies have shown that the interaction mechanisms between gas phase and metal oxide are fully dependent on the open porous microstructure associated with GLAD films. Thus, to fabricate efficient gas sensors, it is interesting to produce materials with a large surface in contact with the gas phase, as target analytes can easily interact with the high surface area microstructure of thin films.

In this study, the GLAD method has been used to produce tin oxide films exhibiting normal and inclined columns. The porosity of tin oxide thin films was controlled by changing both the deposition flux of particles onto substrates and the sputtering pressure. To evaluate the influence of the porosity on the detection performances of these sensitive layers, benzene was chosen as pollutant gas. Among the numerous Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) that can be found in the air, BTEX compounds (i.e., benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes) have long been known to severely threaten human health. Especially, benzene is considered as one of the most toxic compounds due to its carcinogenic effects even at very low concentrations near the ppb level [25].

In this manuscript, SnO<sub>2</sub> thin films were thoroughly characterized in order to obtain information about texture, morphology, and chemical composition. We used optical approaches to study the impact of deposition conditions on the films' porosity, inside the film and on the surface. Eventually, detection performances of the SnO<sub>2</sub>-based gas sensors fabricated were evaluated in the presence of low concentrations of a representative aromatic indoor air pollutant, i.e., benzene. In addition, we used Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) to evaluate the sensing performance of a system combining the responses of four nanostructured thin-film-sensitive surfaces for the discrimination of BTEX. Here, we demonstrated the interest in using the GLAD deposition technique and the role of sputtering pressure to obtain very porous sensitive thin films. In particular, we discussed relationships between deposition parameters of tin oxide thin films and gas sensing performances.

# 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Film Growth

DC magnetron sputtering was used to deposit SnO<sub>2</sub> thin films in a 40 L homemade vacuum chamber at a base pressure below  $10^{-8}$  mbar. A tin metallic target with a purity of 99.9 % and a diameter of 51 mm was used. The distance between target and substrate was 65 mm, and the current of the tin target (I<sub>Sn</sub>) was fixed at 30 mA. During the magnetron sputtering deposition, oxygen-to-argon flow rate ratios were fixed at 0.6 and 0.8, leading to argon sputtering pressures of  $3 \times 10^{-3}$  and  $6 \times 10^{-3}$  mbar, respectively. SnO<sub>2</sub> thin films were deposited onto glass and (100) silicon substrates as well as alumina sensor platforms at two different angles ( $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$  and  $80^{\circ}$  for normal and oblique films, respectively) and at two different argon sputtering pressures ( $3 \times 10^{-3}$  and  $6 \times 10^{-3}$  mbar). A tilting angle of  $\alpha = 80^{\circ}$  was chosen based on previous studies [20,26] considering that films deposited at a tilting angle of  $\alpha > 70^{\circ}$  offered higher porosities compared with conventional sputtering angle. The deposition time was adjusted to obtain a film thickness close to 250 nm. The experimental setup used to deposit SnO<sub>2</sub> thin films can be found in previous works [26,27].

In this study, we labelled the nanostructured thin films "C" for normal angle ( $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ ), while "I" was used for glancing angle films ( $\alpha = 80^{\circ}$ ). Additionally, the labels "3" and "6" were used for sputtering pressures of  $3 \times 10^{-3}$  and  $6 \times 10^{-3}$  mbar, respectively. For example, "I6" stands for an inclined film ( $\alpha = 80^{\circ}$ ) deposited at a sputtering pressure of  $6 \times 10^{-3}$  mbar.

#### 2.2. Characterization

The surface and cross-section views of  $SnO_2$  thin films were observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Field Electron and Ion Company, Hillsboro, OR, USA) in a

Dual-Beam SEM/FIB FEI Helios 600i microscope. Crystal structure of each film was characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany) using a Bruker D8 focus diffractometer with a Cobalt X-ray tube (Co  $_{\lambda K\alpha 1} = 0.178897$  nm) with a  $\theta/2\theta$  configuration. Scans were performed with a step of  $0.02^{\circ}$  per 0.2s and a 2 $\theta$  angle ranging from 20 to  $80^{\circ}$ . X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data were obtained with a PHI VersaProbe I system (PHI, Chanhassen, MN, USA) using a non-monochromatized Al K $\alpha$  X-ray source (h $\nu$  = 1486.7 eV, power of 50 W). Energy calibrations were performed on adventitious carbon (CC/CH bonds) at 248.8 eV. CasaXPS software (Casa Software Ltd.) was used for data treatment [28]. Optical transmission spectra of the thin film deposited on glass substrates were recorded with a Lambda 365 UV/Vis optical spectrometer (PerkinElmer, Wellesley, MA, USA). The reflectance and refractive index were determined using the Filmetrics F50 EXR reflectometer (Jobin Yvon, Longjumeau, France) on the films deposited on (100) silicon substrates.

# 2.3. Sensing Tests

For sensing tests, SnO<sub>2</sub> thin films were deposited onto 0.5 cm<sup>2</sup> alumina platforms (Heraeus MSP 632) equipped with interdigitated electrodes and allowed both the heating control and conductance measurement of the sensitive material as a function of time. Before exposure to pollutants, a conditioning sequence was applied to each sensor by aging the sensitive surface under a synthetic air flow (relative humidity (RH) 8% at 25 °C, 100 mL min 1) at 500 °C for 48 h to ensure material crystallization. Concentrations of pollutants (BTEX) were obtained by adjusting the ratio between pollutant vapors and air. The normalized response of each sensor S was defined as S =  $(G - G^{\circ})/G^{\circ}$ , where G and G° are the conductance under synthetic air mixed with BTEX and under pure synthetic air, respectively.

# 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Morphology, Structure, and Composition of the Films

Figure 1 displays the different architectures obtained with the GLAD technique before and after a thermal treatment at 500 °C for 48 h in ambient air. SnO<sub>2</sub> thin film deposited with a conventional incident angle ( $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ ) and under low argon sputtering pressure  $(3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mbar})$ , i.e., C3 film, exhibits a dense surface and a poorly defined cross-section morphology. On the other hand, for oblique films ( $\alpha = 80^{\circ}$ ), the shadowing effect occurring during the early island nucleation on the surface causes voids formation between the small islands, subsequently creating columnar structures [29]. Films deposited at a higher pressure and/or with an angle of  $\alpha = 80^{\circ}$  exhibit an apparently more porous morphology. Basically, increasing the pressure in the deposition chamber induces multiple collisions in the gas phase, which makes it possible to cover areas which are not initially in the incident path of the sputtered particle flux. Thus, the surface becomes rougher with higher porosity. Despite a thermal treatment at 500 °C for several hours, the orientation of the nanostructured columns is preserved. In addition, it is worth noting that for I6 film, the columns tend to straighten out because of higher collisions due to higher pressure in the vacuum chamber, and thus a less directional particle flux. The tilting angle of the columns for I3 and I6 films are 34° and 14°, respectively.



**Figure 1.** Top and cross-section views of SnO<sub>2</sub> thin films sputter deposited on silicon (**a**) before and (**b**) after an annealing treatment in air for 48 h at 500 °C. Arrows indicate the incoming particle flux during the deposition.

XRD patterns of the nanostructured SnO<sub>2</sub> thin films are shown in Figure 2a. Asdeposited SnO<sub>2</sub> thin films with a pressure of  $6 \times 10^{-3}$  mbar remain amorphous, whatever the incidence angle (C6 and I6 thin films). Very weak peaks appear after an annealing treatment of 350°C for 48 h. In contrast, all films deposited with a lower pressure ( $3 \times 10^{-3}$  mbar, i.e., C3 and I3 thin films) exhibit diffracted signals prior to a post-thermal treatment. After a thermal treatment of 500 °C for 48 h, XRD peaks of all films become sharper and stronger, indicating a better crystallinity. XRD patterns exhibit peaks between 20 and 70° corresponding to the (110), (101), (200), (211), and (220) reflections, which are assigned to the standard rutile-like crystalline structure of SnO<sub>2</sub> (cassiterite, space group: P42/mnm, JCPDS file no. 41-1445). No peaks of impurities were observed, demonstrating the high purity of the as-prepared SnO<sub>2</sub> thin films. The corresponding tetragonal lattice constants are reported in Table 1.

Despite a slight evolution of the lattice constants with annealing temperature, these data agree with values already reported for  $\text{SnO}_2$  (a = b = 4.737 Å and c = 3.186 Å) [30].

In addition, the average crystallite size of SnO<sub>2</sub> films was also calculated using the Scherrer's formula and the Williamson–Hall method (see Table 1) [31]. For both methods, the results show that the crystallite size increases with the annealing temperature, and films deposited with a pressure of  $6 \times 10^{-3}$  mbar exhibit the lower crystallite size after a thermal treatment at 500 °C, i.e., 1.7 and  $1.4 \pm 0.1$  nm for C6 and I6 thin films, respectively.



**Figure 2.** (a) XRD patterns of SnO<sub>2</sub> thin films sputter deposited on glass substrates before and after an annealing treatment in ambient air for 48 h at 2 different temperatures (350 and 500 °C). (b) XPS O1s, Sn3d, and C1s spectral windows of the I6 SnO<sub>2</sub> thin film sputter deposited on (100) Si substrate after an annealing treatment in ambient air at 500 °C for 48 h.

**Table 1.** Crystallographic properties, surface chemical composition, and porosity data of  $SnO_2$  thin film.

		Lat Parameters	Lattice rameters (±0.003 Å)Average Crystallite Size (±0.1 nm)Surface Concentration 		face ntration at. %)	Refractive Index at 470 nm (±0.01)	Packing Density (±2%)		
		a = b	c	Scherrer	Williamson-Hall	0	Sn		
C3	25 °C	4.783	3.217	3.0	2.8	-	-	1.97	100
	350 °C	4.780	3.217	4.8	4.6	-	-	1.91	97
	500 °C	4.761	3.186	6.9	6.7	71.1	28.9	1.81	93
C6	25 °C	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.91	-
	350 °C	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.93	-
	500 °C	4.782	3.189	1.7	1.3	67.6	32.4	1.85	92
	25 °C	4.774	3.203	4.6	5.1	-	-	1.91	97
13	350 °C	4.766	3.196	5.6	5.7	-	-	1.89	95
	500 °C	4.756	3.191	5.4	5.1	67.3	32.7	1.83	91
I6	25 °C	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.80	-
	350 °C	4.766	3.190	-	-	-	-	1.79	89
	500 °C	4.749	3.192	1.4	1.4	67.6	32.4	1.73	84

The surface chemistry of  $SnO_2$  thin films deposited on (100) Si substrates was also investigated by XPS after a thermal treatment at 500 °C for 48 h in ambient air. All XPS spectra showed the presence of oxygen, tin, and carbon. A similar surface chemistry was observed for all films (see Figure S1a in the Supplementary Materials file). Figure 2b presents an example of the Sn3d, O1s, and C1s core line decomposition obtained from the I6 thin-film sample. The evident contribution of the XPS C1s peak is attributed to the carbon contamination adsorbed on the surface of the films from the ambient air and during the annealing treatment. The decomposition of the O1s confirmed that it is built-up essentially as a mixture of three components. The main component at 530.81 eV is related to O-Sn<sup>2+</sup> and O-Sn<sup>4+</sup> bindings. Two additional components can be noticed at a binding energy of 533.22 and 532.09 eV, corresponding to O=C and -O-H bindings, respectively. These species at the near surface of  $SnO_2$  materials and after exposure to air come from partially ionized –OH groups originating from dissociated atmospheric water and adsorbed CO/CO<sub>2</sub> from the ambient air [32]. The XPS Sn3d spectral line is narrow and symmetrical. The difference between the binding energy of 3d5/2 level for SnO and SnO<sub>2</sub> is very low (486.6 and 486.9 eV, respectively) but, for all samples, the shape of the MNN Auger transition for Sn is close to that observed for  $SnO_2$  (see Figure S1b) [33]. It is worth noting that there is no contribution

of metallic Sn (Sn<sup>0</sup>) at the binding energy about 485 eV. This finding indicates that all films were completely oxidized after a thermal treatment at 500  $^{\circ}$ C in ambient air for 48 h.

The relative surface concentrations of Sn and O, expressed in at. %, agree with the formula of SnO<sub>2</sub> composition (see Table 1). It is worth noting that the oxygen concentration is probably slightly overestimated due to the presence of contaminants at the near surface of the films.

#### 3.2. Film Growth

In this section, we present results concerning the influence of experimental deposition conditions on the porosity of  $SnO_2$  thin films, through the films, and on their surface.

#### 3.2.1. Through the Films

Figure 3a shows the transmittance and reflectance spectra of films deposited on glass and (100) Si substrates, respectively, before and after an annealing treatment at 350 and 500 °C for 48 h. All films show a sharp absorption around 300 nm and exhibit a high transmission in the visible and near-infrared region. Films deposited at  $3 \times 10^{-3}$  mbar (C3 and I3) show distinct interference fringe patterns in the optical transmittance spectra, indicating homogeneous growth. On the other hand, films deposited at  $6 \times 10^{-3}$  mbar (C6 and I6) show minor interference fringes, indicating inhomogeneity in the films. As mentioned in the work of Ohlídal et al. [34], this inhomogeneity is due to the surficial and inner roughness of the films. From Figure 3a, it can be underlined that there is no absorption edge shift for the C3 film whatever the annealing temperature. This indicates that there are less defects in C3 thin film in comparison with other films. The absence of interference fringes makes the determination of refractive indices from methods based on the adjustment of envelopes impossible [35].



**Figure 3.** (a) Transmittance and reflectance spectra of  $SnO_2$  thin films sputter deposited on glass and (100) Si substrates before and after an annealing treatment in air for 48 h and at 2 different temperatures (350 and 500 °C). (b) Evolution of the calculated refractive index vs. wavelength for all films at various temperatures.

Consequently, using the three-phase Cauchy dispersion model (k = 0) showed in Equation (1), refractive indices can be calculated from the measured reflectance spectra (see again Figure 3a) [36].

$$\mathbf{n}(\lambda) = \mathbf{A} + \frac{\mathbf{B}}{\lambda^2} + \frac{\mathbf{C}}{\lambda^4} \tag{1}$$

Fitting constants A, B, and C were calculated from the transmittance spectrum of C3 film and are estimated at 1.592,  $7.44 \times 10^4$  nm<sup>2</sup>, and  $-4.558 \times 10^9$  nm<sup>4</sup>, respectively. These fitting constants were used for the determination of the refractive indices for all films.

Figure 3b shows the evolution of the refractive indices as a function of the wavelength for each thin film, before and after the annealing treatment. The values of the refractive

indices at 470 nm for all films annealed at every temperature are reported in Table 1. It is worth noting that these values were found to be lower than the corresponding value for bulk tin oxide at  $\lambda = 470$  nm (n = 2 for bulk SnO<sub>2</sub> [37]). The refractive index was found to be lower for inclined columns ( $\alpha = 80^{\circ}$ ) and for films deposited at high pressure ( $6 \times 10^{-3}$  mbar), which indicates higher porosity present inside these films [38]. In addition, after a thermal treatment, the value of the refractive index decreases for all films, indicating that the porosity of the films evolves with the annealing temperature. From the determined refractive indices at 470 nm and lattice constants previously calculated from XRD results (see Table 1), the packing density p was evaluated for all SnO<sub>2</sub> thin films using Equation (2) [39]. The calculated values are gathered in Table 1.

$$n_{f} = \frac{(1-p)n_{v}^{2} + (1+p)n_{v}^{4}n_{b}^{2}}{(1+p)n_{v}^{2} + (1-p)n_{b}^{2}} + \frac{5}{2} \left(\frac{n_{b}^{2} - 1}{n_{b}C_{b}}\right) (C_{b} - C_{f})$$
(2)

 $n_f$  is the film refractive index at 470 nm,  $n_b$  the refractive index of bulk SnO<sub>2</sub> ( $n_b = 2$ ),  $n_v$  the refractive index of void ( $n_v = 1$ ),  $C_b$  the lattice parameter for bulk SnO<sub>2</sub> ( $C_b = 3.186$  Å),  $C_f$  the lattice parameter for porous SnO<sub>2</sub>, and p the packing density of the film.

For all studied films, the packing density p decreases with the annealing temperature, which indicates an increase in the porosity inside the films. The evolution of the packing density is in line with the tendencies observed for the refractive index, i.e., the inner porosity gradually rises between normal and inclined columns. The values of the inner porosities obtained in this work are in agreement with those found in the study of Bagga et al. [40], where the porosity of nanostructured SnO<sub>2</sub> thin films varied from 23% to 9%. Moreover, the porosity is favored with a higher deposition pressure, whatever the films deposited (normal or inclined columns). For example, the packing index is estimated to be around 91% (i.e., 9% inner porosity) for the inclined columns deposited at  $3 \times 10^{-3}$  mbar compared with 84% (i.e., 16% inner porosity) for the same structure at  $6 \times 10^{-3}$  mbar.

#### 3.2.2. Surface Porosity

Gas sensing being surface state dependent, the surface porosity of each thin film was also investigated using SEM images. Pore characterization, including surface porosity and pore-size distribution of each film, was evaluated after a post-treatment of binary SEM images using both ImageJ and MATLAB software. Figure 4 depicts, for each thin film, the surface porosity determined by ImageJ versus the annealing temperature. The inserts show examples of the binary images obtained after the post-image treatment for the I6 film, as-deposited, and after two different annealing temperatures for 48 h (350 and 500  $^{\circ}$ C).



**Figure 4.** Percent of the total surface porosity vs. annealing temperature. The inserts show binary SEM images for I6 films (analyzed surface around  $0.75 \ \mu m^2$ ).

For all films, the analyzed surface was around  $0.75 \ \mu m^2$ , which constitutes a good representation of the total surface. A comparison between all films as deposited, whatever the annealing temperature, shows that by increasing the deposition pressure and tilting the substrate holder, the surface porosity increases significantly. To summarize, the surface porosity increases according to the series: C3 < I3 < C6 < I6. By annealing the films at 500 °C, with an intermediate temperature at 350 °C, the growth of crystallites causes tensile stress, which creates a more porous surface. Moreover, many studies showed that the annealing procedure increases the length and width of already existing pores. They become interconnected and form bigger pores that affect the surface morphology massively [41,42]. In addition, the cooling phase occurring when the temperature drops from 500 to 25 °C in a short time (a few minutes) induces supplementary strain due to the mismatch of the expansion coefficients between the film and the substrate, leading to the formation of additional cracks on the surface [43].

The pore-size distribution (PSD) was also investigated using Otsu's method. This algorithm allows automatic multilevel thresholding of binarized SEM images. The pore segmentation was then obtained based on the lowest standard deviation of intensity in each segment. In addition, we used the MATLAB code developed by Rabbani et al. to determine the pore sizes [44]. This code was adapted to our analysis by adjusting the different parameters used for the thresholding method. Figure 5a displays an example of the PSD and the corresponding boxplot showing the interquartile range median, minimum, and maximum for the I6 thin film annealed at 500 °C for 48 h in ambient air.



**Figure 5.** (a) Pore-size distribution and boxplot calculated for the I6 film annealed at 500 °C. (b) Boxplots showing the evolution of the pore size for all  $\text{SnO}_2$  thin films sputter deposited before and after an annealing treatment in air for 48 h and at 2 different temperatures (350 and 500 °C).

The pore-size distribution of I6 exhibits a multimodal distribution extending from ca. 1 to 20 nm, with the majority of the pores being in the mesopore range (i.e., width > 2 nm). Figure S2 demonstrates that the PSDs of all films are right-skewed, regardless of the deposition conditions and the annealing temperature. After a thermal treatment at 500 °C for 48 h, C6, I3, and I6 films exhibit multimodal distributions, indicating wider pore formation in the mesopore range. On the contrary, irrespective of the annealing treatment, C3 film presents a narrow unimodal PSD, with the larger pores being less than 7 nm. Figure 5b summarizes the corresponding boxplots calculated from the PSDs of all films. It was noticed that the pore-size median increases continuously with the annealing temperature, especially for oblique films. This rise is noticeably higher for I6 film, with a pore-size median going from 3.4 nm to 6.0 nm at 350 °C and 500 °C, respectively.

This porosity assessment shows that a simple study of the total surface porosity can be misleading, as in some cases the porosity consists mostly of small and non-accessible pores.

By combining the porosity results obtained from the inner and surface characterization, we obtained a better understanding of the evolution of the morphology of each tin oxide thin film. Comparatively, I6 thin film annealed at 500 °C offers the highest inner porosity (16%) and surface porosity (around 31%), as well as a wide range of pore sizes (1–20 nm). These textural properties prove to be of great interest for gas sensing applications since the diffusion of pollutants inside the material will be favored. It should be noted that the growth of the nanostructured columns, which is correlated to the porous structure of the films, is preserved regardless of the substrate used (Si, glass or alumina platforms).

After having evaluated the porous structure of the films, gas sensing performances were investigated.

# 3.3. Sensing Performances

# 3.3.1. Benzene Detection

The gas sensing properties of metal-oxide-based gas sensors are highly affected by the operating temperature, which generally ranges from 300 to 500 °C. Figure S3 represents the typical electrical responses of the four sensors at various sensing temperatures and under 900 ppb of benzene for 2 min. Each benzene exposition was replicated three times. Figure 6a displays the evolution of the relative conductance versus the sensitive surface temperature for each gas sensor (under 900 ppb of benzene for 2 min).



**Figure 6.** (a) Evolution of the normalized response as a function of the sensitive surface temperature for each gas sensor under 900 ppb of benzene. (b) Real-time response of each gas sensor for various benzene concentrations (sensing temperatures equal to 500, 300, 350, and 400 °C for C3, C6, I3, and I6 sensors, respectively). (c) Normalized response of the SnO<sub>2</sub>-based gas sensors as a function of the benzene concentration. For all tests, 2 min. exposition time, 15 min. recovery time, and 100 mL min<sup>-1</sup> flow rate were applied.

It is evident that the I6-based gas sensor showed a substantial change in the response as a function of metal-oxide temperature. The optimized temperatures, corresponding to the sensor's temperature showing a maximal conductance under pollutant, were estimated at 500, 300, 350, and 400 °C for C3, C6, I3, and I6 sensors, respectively.

The detection mechanism of benzene with  $SnO_2$ -based gas sensors can be explained by considering that the chemisorbed oxygen species in the form O<sup>-</sup> and O<sup>2-</sup> are dominating for sensing layer temperatures above 200 °C [45]. Since  $SnO_2$  is an n-type semiconductor, and benzene a reducing compound, an oxidation mechanism of benzene molecules occurs at the sensor surface according to the successive reactions [46]:

$$C_6H_{6 (gas)} \leftrightarrow C_6H_{6 (ads)} \tag{3}$$

$$C_6H_{6 (ads)} + 15 O_{(ads)}^- \rightarrow 6 CO_{2(gas)} + 3 H_2O_{(gas)} + 15 e_{c.b.}^-$$
 (4)

$$C_6H_{6 (ads)} + 15 O^{2-}_{(ads)} \rightarrow 6 CO_{2(gas)} + 3 H_2O_{(gas)} + 30 e^-_{cb.}$$
 (5)

c.b. is the conduction band of the material.

These reactions explain the increase in the conductance when  $SnO_2$  is in contact with benzene. Figure 6b plots the impulse response/recovery curves of each sensor at their respective optimized temperature for various concentrations of benzene mixed in air. The insert shows a zoom of the impulse response/recovery curves for I6 sensor at very low benzene concentrations. The sensors were stabilized in nearly dry air (8% RH at 25  $^{\circ}$ C) for 15 min. and then exposed to different benzene concentrations for 2 min. Each benzene concentration was chosen randomly and replicated twice in order to evaluate both the repeatability and the stability of each sensor. From Figure 6b, it can be easily found that there is a good correspondence between benzene concentrations and the dynamic response of each sensor. All sensors present an excellent repeatability and stability over time since the amplitude of the responses is in line with the benzene concentrations. The corresponding responses calculated from the change in the conductance of each sensor as a function of the benzene concentration are plotted in Figure 6c. It can be noticed that the I6 sensor exhibits the highest response in comparison with the other ones, even though the difference in the deposition conditions (incidence angle and argon sputtering pressure), C6, and I3 sensors show similar responses to benzene.

The limit of detection (LOD) was defined by the concentration at which the amplitude of the signal was three times higher than the signal/noise ratio (S = 0.002/N > 3). For benzene, the LOD was estimated at 100 ppb for C3, 65 ppb for I3, and 50 ppb for C6 sensors, while the LOD achieved for I6 sensor was around 30 ppb. From these results, it is interesting to note that not only the architecture of the film but also the deposition pressure are directly connected to the sensing performances. According to results obtained on the morphology and structure of the synthesized materials, the good sensing performances of the I6 coating is mainly due to the high inner and surface porosity, the wide range of pore sizes, and also to the small crystallite size (1.4 nm). The sensitivity of MOS gas sensors increases when the crystallite size is less than the double of the thickness of the space-charge layer (L) produced around the surface of the crystallites due to the chemisorbed oxygens. For SnO<sub>2</sub>-based material, it is admitted that the space-charge layer L is equal to 3 nm [47]. In the present study, the average crystallite size obtained by XRD for all annealed thin films is lower than 2L and, more particularly for the I6-sensitive surface, the crystallite size is far lower.

# 3.3.2. BTEX Discrimination

Since benzene is not the only air pollutant, we also studied the cross-sensitivity of sensors for various VOCs (i.e., toluene, ethylbenzene, and ortho- and para-xylene). Figure 7a depicts the normalized responses of each sensor to around 100 ppb of each compound diluted in air and analyzed separately (i.e., not in a mixture).



**Figure 7.** (a) Sensors' response to various BTEX at a concentration of 100 ppb. (b) Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) scores using responses of the  $4 \text{ SnO}_2$ -based gas sensors. For all tests, 2 min. exposition time, 15 min. recovery time, and 100 mL min<sup>-1</sup> flow rate were applied.

For these experiments, sensing temperates were maintained to 500, 300, 350, and 400 °C for C3, C6, I3, and I6 sensors, respectively. As expected, manufactured sensors are sensitive to all VOCs as long as each compound can react with the sensitive surface according to the following redox reactions:

$$C_{x}H_{y(gas)} \leftrightarrow C_{x}H_{y(ads)}$$
(6)

$$C_{x}H_{y (ads)} + (2x + \frac{y}{2})O_{(ads)}^{-} \rightarrow x CO_{2(gas)} + \frac{y}{2} H_{2}O_{(gas)} + (2x + \frac{y}{2}) \cdot e_{c.b.}^{-}$$
(7)

$$C_{x}H_{y\ (ads)} + (2x + \frac{y}{2}) O_{(ads)}^{2-} \rightarrow x CO_{2(gas)} + \frac{y}{2} H_{2}O_{(gas)} + (4x + y) e_{c.b.}^{-}$$
 (8)

In other words, this confirms that metal-oxide-based gas sensors are not selective, and it is not possible to identify one chemical compound in a mixture using a single gas sensor.

Nevertheless, classifying the species can be achieved by using a Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) that can map multidimensional data onto two- or three-dimensional axes [48]. This method uses linear combinations of sensors' response to predict the class of a given observation (chemical nature of compound). In order to obtain greater precision on the discrimination of these VOCs compounds, we combined the normalized response and sensing kinetics (response and recovery times) of each sensor (i.e., C3, C6, I3, and I6) after exposition to VOCs (Figure S4). Figure 7b represents the LDA scores using the experimental data measured using the responses of the four sensors manufactured in this study and under BTEX. Plots confirm the ability to cluster points according to the chemical nature of species. The within-class distance of each VOC compound class in LDA are well-gathered. This indicates that combining sensing responses of the four gas sensors improves the discrimination of BTEX with concentrations ranging from 50 to 900 ppb.

Table 2 reports some of the literature's data on the gas sensing performances of various metal-oxide-based gas sensor arrays towards BTEX detection in synthetic air.

Materials	Number of Sensors Used	BTEX Concentrations Tested	Ref.
SnO <sub>2</sub>	4	50–900 ppb (BTEX)	This study
NiO, WO <sub>3</sub> , SnO <sub>2</sub>	3	30–80 ppm (BTX)	[49]
SnO <sub>2</sub> NPs/cobalt-porphyrin, SnO <sub>2</sub> NPs/zinc-porphyrin, SnO <sub>2</sub> NPs/nickel-porphyrin and ZnO NPs/cobalt-porphyrin	4	1–9 ppm (BTEX)	[50]
SnO <sub>2</sub> with several additives, including Pt, Pd, CuO, LaO, ScO, TiO, WO or ZnO	10	benzene 50 ppm toluene 500 ppm	[51]

Table 2. A comparison of MOS-based gas sensor arrays for the detection of BTEX in air.

It should be also kept in mind that all results were obtained by analyzing compounds separately and in nearly dry air. By combining the responses from the four sensors fabricated in the present work, we obtain better sensing performances compared with those reported in the literature. It is worth noting that all sensor arrays reported in Table 2 are composed of several sensitive layers made with different doped or undoped materials (SnO<sub>2</sub>, WO<sub>3</sub>, etc.). The use of sensitive layers made of various materials makes it difficult to manufacture sensor arrays. Furthermore, the limit of detection of these arrays is around a few ppm, which is much higher than the concentrations of BTEX found in air (a few ppb). In our study, we showed that by modifying only the deposition conditions of the films (sputtering pressure and deposition angle), it is possible to obtain an efficient sensor array made of the same sensitive material (undoped SnO<sub>2</sub> here) for the discrimination of BTEX even at low concentrations (50 ppb). Consequently, this leads to an easier manufacturing procedure.

#### 4. Conclusions

In this study, we used the conventional and Glancing Angle Deposition (GLAD) reactive sputtering method to prepare nanostructured tin-oxide-based sensitive films for gas sensor applications. The effect of the deposition parameters of SnO<sub>2</sub> films was studied in detail, and it was found that the intergranular porosity can be tuned by adjusting the pressure and deposition angle. All sensors exhibited excellent stability and repeatability to benzene at a concentration of 900 ppb. The excellent sensing performances of the I6 sensor (deposition angle of  $80^{\circ}$  with a sputtering pressure of  $6 \times 10^{-3}$  mbar) were mainly attributed to high inner and surface porosity (16 and 31 %, respectively), a wide range of pore sizes (1–20 nm), and small crystallite size (1.4 nm). With these deposition conditions, it is possible to reach a limit of detection close to 30 ppb with an operating temperature of 400 °C. Despite the lack of intrinsic selectivity of each gas sensor taken individually, a chemical signature was obtained for the discrimination of a BTEX mixture by collectively considering the gas sensing responses from the four gas sensors developed in this study. We showed that it is possible to obtain a sensor array by using diverse undoped nanostructured SnO<sub>2</sub>-sensitive films. In summary, the GLAD method seems to be very promising for the development of gas sensor arrays and has great potential for exploring high-performance gas sensors for VOC detection.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/chemosensors10100426/s1, Figure S1: (a) XPS O1s, Sn3d, and C1s spectral windows of the C3, C6, and I3 SnO<sub>2</sub> thin films and (b) experimental Sn MNN Auger spectra for C3, C6, I3, and I6 SnO<sub>2</sub> thin films. All films were sputter deposited on (110) Si substrates and annealed in ambient air at 500 °C for 48 h; Figure S2: Pore-size distributions (PSDs) of all films sputter deposited on (100) Si substrates, before and after annealing treatments at 350 and 500 °C for 48 h in ambient air. All PSDs were calculated using MATLAB software; Figure S3: Dynamic normalized response of the SnO<sub>2</sub>-based gas sensors (C3, C6, I3, and I6) as a function of the sensing temperature under 900 ppb of benzene. For all tests, 2 min. exposition time, 15 min. recovery time, and 100 mL min<sup>-1</sup> flow rate were applied. Each benzene exposition was replicated 3 times; Figure S4: Dynamic normalized response of the SnO<sub>2</sub>-based gas sensors (C3, C6, I3, and I6) for different concentrations of (a) toluene, (b) ethylbenzene, (c) p-xylene, and (d) o-xylene. For all tests, 2 min. exposition time, 15 min. recovery time, and 100 mL min<sup>-1</sup> flow rate were applied.

**Author Contributions:** Investigation, methodology, data curation, and software, A.E.M.; investigation, M.A.P.Y., A.K. and O.H.; formal analysis and validation, N.M.; resources, F.B.; funding acquisition, supervision, conceptualization, and writing—review and editing, J.-B.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Bourgogne Franche-Comté Region, the European fund FEDER, and the EUR EIPHI (contract ANR-17-EURE-0002) through the project DECOLAIR.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to express their gratitude to the Bourgogne Franche-Comté Region, the European fund FEDER, and the EUR EIPHI (contract ANR-17-EURE-0002) for financial support through the project DECOLAIR. This work was partially supported by the French RENATECH network and its FEMTO-ST technological facility.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- Spinelle, L.; Gerboles, M.; Kok, G.; Persijn, S.; Sauerwald, T. Review of Portable and Low-Cost Sensors for the Ambient Air Monitoring of Benzene and Other Volatile Organic Compounds. *Sensors* 2017, *17*, 1520. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dhall, S.; Mehta, B.R.; Tyagi, A.K.; Sood, K. A Review on Environmental Gas Sensors: Materials and Technologies. *Sens. Int.* 2021, 2, 100116. [CrossRef]
- Shimizu, Y.; Jono, A.; Hyodo, T.; Egashira, M. Preparation of Large Mesoporous SnO<sub>2</sub> Powder for Gas Sensor Application. Sens. Actuators B Chem. 2005, 108, 56–61. [CrossRef]
- 4. Korotcenkov, G.; Han, S.-D.; Cho, B.K.; Brinzari, V. Grain Size Effects in Sensor Response of Nanostructured SnO<sub>2</sub>- and In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-Based Conductometric Thin Film Gas Sensor. *Crit. Rev. Solid State Mater. Sci.* **2009**, *34*, 1–17. [CrossRef]
- Kong, Y.; Li, Y.; Cui, X.; Su, L.; Ma, D.; Lai, T.; Yao, L.; Xiao, X.; Wang, Y. SnO<sub>2</sub> Nanostructured Materials Used as Gas Sensors for the Detection of Hazardous and Flammable Gases: A Review. *Nano Mater. Sci.* 2021, *in press.* [CrossRef]
- 6. Sriram, S.R.; Parne, S.; Vaddadi, V.S.C.S.; Edla, D.; Nagaraju, P.; Avala, R.R.; Yelsani, V.; Sontu, U.B. Nanostructured WO3 Based Gas Sensors: A Short Review. *Sens. Rev.* 2021, *41*, 406–424. [CrossRef]
- 7. Pant, B.R.; Jayatissa, A.H. Gas Sensor Application of Zinc Oxide. In *Chemical Methods for Processing Nanomaterials*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2021; ISBN 978-0-429-02318-7.
- 8. Tian, X.; Cui, X.; Lai, T.; Ren, J.; Yang, Z.; Xiao, M.; Wang, B.; Xiao, X.; Wang, Y. Gas Sensors Based on TiO<sub>2</sub> Nanostructured Materials for the Detection of Hazardous Gases: A Review. *Nano Mater. Sci.* **2021**, *3*, 390–403. [CrossRef]
- Seekaew, Y.; Wisitsoraat, A.; Phokharatkul, D.; Wongchoosuk, C. Room Temperature Toluene Gas Sensor Based on TiO<sub>2</sub> Nanoparticles Decorated 3D Graphene-Carbon Nanotube Nanostructures. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2019, 279, 69–78. [CrossRef]
- 10. Subbiah, D.K.; Mani, G.K.; Babu, K.J.; Das, A.; Balaguru Rayappan, J.B. Nanostructured ZnO on Cotton Fabrics—A Novel Flexible Gas Sensor & UV Filter. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2018, 194, 372–382. [CrossRef]
- Nemec, P.; Hotový, I.; Andok, R.; Kostič, I. Increased Sensitivity of a Gas Sensor by Controlled Extension of TiO<sub>2</sub> Active Area. *AIP Conf. Proc.* 2018, 1996, 020032. [CrossRef]
- 12. Atanasova, G.; Dikovska, A.O.; Dilova, T.; Georgieva, B.; Avdeev, G.V.; Stefanov, P.; Nedyalkov, N.N. Metal-Oxide Nanostructures Produced by PLD in Open Air for Gas Sensor Applications. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2019**, 470, 861–869. [CrossRef]
- Singh, A.; Sharma, A.; Tomar, M.; Gupta, V. Tunable Nanostructured Columnar Growth of SnO<sub>2</sub> for Efficient Detection of CO Gas. Nanotechnology 2018, 29, 065502. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Nemec, P.; Hotovy, I.; Rehacek, V.; Andok, R. TiO<sub>2</sub> Sensoric Structures with Controlled Extension of Their Active Area by Electron-Beam Lithography and Reactive Ion Etching Techniques. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **2021**, *2411*, 060003. [CrossRef]
- 15. Bairagi, S.; Järrendahl, K.; Eriksson, F.; Hultman, L.; Birch, J.; Hsiao, C.-L. Glancing Angle Deposition and Growth Mechanism of Inclined AlN Nanostructures Using Reactive Magnetron Sputtering. *Coatings* **2020**, *10*, 768. [CrossRef]
- El Beainou, R.; Garcia-Valenzuela, A.; Raschetti, M.; Cote, J.-M.; Alvarez, R.; Palmero, A.; Potin, V.; Martin, N. A 4-View Imaging to Reveal Microstructural Differences in Obliquely Sputter-Deposited Tungsten Films. *Mater. Lett.* 2020, 264, 127381. [CrossRef]
- 17. Shin, S.J.; Bayu Aji, L.B.; Bae, J.H.; Engwall, A.M.; Nielsen, M.H.; Hammons, J.A.; Zuo, X.B.; Lee, B.; Lepro, X.; Mirkarimi, P.B.; et al. Oblique Angle Deposition of Boron Carbide Films by Magnetron Sputtering. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2021**, *130*, 125305. [CrossRef]

- Liedtke, S.; Grüner, C.; Lotnyk, A.; Rauschenbach, B. Glancing Angle Deposition of Sculptured Thin Metal Films at Room Temperature. *Nanotechnology* 2017, 28, 385604. [CrossRef]
- Pedrosa, P.; Ferreira, A.; Martin, N.; Yazdi, M.A.P.; Billard, A.; Lanceros-Méndez, S.; Vaz, F. Nano-Sculptured Janus-like TiAg Thin Films Obliquely Deposited by GLAD Co-Sputtering for Temperature Sensing. *Nanotechnology* 2018, 29, 355706. [CrossRef]
- Sakkas, C.; Rauch, J.-Y.; Cote, J.-M.; Tissot, V.; Gavoille, J.; Martin, N. Tuning the Optical Properties of WO3 Films Exhibiting a Zigzag Columnar Microstructure. *Coatings* 2021, 11, 438. [CrossRef]
- 21. Rydosz, A.; Dyndał, K.; Andrysiewicz, W.; Grochala, D.; Marszałek, K. GLAD Magnetron Sputtered Ultra-Thin Copper Oxide Films for Gas-Sensing Application. *Coatings* **2020**, *10*, 378. [CrossRef]
- 22. Luo, P.; Xie, M.; Luo, J.; Kan, H.; Wei, Q. Nitric Oxide Sensors Using Nanospiral ZnO Thin Film Deposited by GLAD for Application to Exhaled Human Breath. *RSC Adv.* 2020, *10*, 14877–14884. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Song, Y.G.; Shim, Y.-S.; Han, S.D.; Lee, H.R.; Ju, B.-K.; Kang, C.Y. Metal Oxide Nanocolumns for Extremely Sensitive Gas Sensors. *J. Sens. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, 25, 184–188. [CrossRef]
- 24. Kang, M.; Cho, I.; Park, J.; Jeong, J.; Lee, K.; Lee, B.; Henriquez, D.D.O.; Yoon, K.; Park, I. High Accuracy Real-Time Multi-Gas Identification by a Batch-Uniform Gas Sensor Array and Deep Learning Algorithm. *ACS Sens.* **2022**, *7*, 430–440. [CrossRef]
- 25. Davidson, C.J.; Hannigan, J.H.; Bowen, S.E. Effects of Inhaled Combined Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes (BTEX): Toward an Environmental Exposure Model. *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* **2021**, *81*, 103518. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xu, X.; Arab Pour Yazdi, M.; Sanchez, J.-B.; Billard, A.; Berger, F.; Martin, N. Exploiting the Dodecane and Ozone Sensing Capabilities of Nanostructured Tungsten Oxide Films. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2018, 266, 773–783. [CrossRef]
- Pedrosa, P.; Ferreira, A.; Cote, J.-M.; Martin, N.; Yazdi, M.A.P.; Billard, A.; Lanceros-Mendez, S.; Vaz, F. Influence of the Sputtering Pressure on the Morphological Features and Electrical Resistivity Anisotropy of Nanostructured Titanium Films. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2017, 420, 681–690. [CrossRef]
- Fairley, N.; Fernandez, V.; Richard-Plouet, M.; Guillot-Deudon, C.; Walton, J.; Smith, E.; Flahaut, D.; Greiner, M.; Biesinger, M.; Tougaard, S.; et al. Systematic and Collaborative Approach to Problem Solving Using X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy. *Appl. Surf. Sci. Adv.* 2021, *5*, 100112. [CrossRef]
- 29. Chen, L.-C.; Chen, C.-C.; Sung, Y.-T.; Hsu, Y.-Y. Oblique-Angle Sputtering Effects on Characteristics of Nanocolumnar Structure Anisotropic Indium Tin Oxide Films. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2009, *156*, H471. [CrossRef]
- 30. Bolzan, A.A.; Fong, C.; Kennedy, B.J.; Howard, C.J. Structural Studies of Rutile-Type Metal Dioxides. *Acta Crystallogr. B* 1997, 53, 373–380. [CrossRef]
- Mustapha, S.; Ndamitso, M.M.; Abdulkareem, A.S.; Tijani, J.O.; Shuaib, D.T.; Mohammed, A.K.; Sumaila, A. Comparative Study of Crystallite Size Using Williamson-Hall and Debye-Scherrer Plots for ZnO Nanoparticles. *Adv. Nat. Sci. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.* 2019, 10, 045013. [CrossRef]
- 32. Güzelçimen, F.; Tanören, B.; Çetinkaya, Ç.; Kaya, M.D.; Efkere, H.İ.; Özen, Y.; Bingöl, D.; Sirkeci, M.; Kınacı, B.; Ünlü, M.B.; et al. The Effect of Thickness on Surface Structure of Rf Sputtered TiO<sub>2</sub> Thin Films by XPS, SEM/EDS, AFM and SAM. *Vacuum* 2020, 182, 109766. [CrossRef]
- 33. Kövér, L.; Kovács, Z.; Sanjinés, R.; Moretti, G.; Cserny, I.; Margaritondo, G.; Pálinkás, J.; Adachi, H. Electronic Structure of Tin Oxides: High-Resolution Study of XPS and Auger Spectra. *Surf. Interface Anal.* **1995**, *23*, 461–466. [CrossRef]
- Ohlídal, I.; Vohánka, J.; Čermák, M. Optics of Inhomogeneous Thin Films with Defects: Application to Optical Characterization. Coatings 2020, 11, 22. [CrossRef]
- 35. Sánchez-González, J.; Díaz-Parralejo, A.; Ortiz, A.L.; Guiberteau, F. Determination of Optical Properties in Nanostructured Thin Films Using the Swanepoel Method. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2006, 252, 6013–6017. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Z.-Y.; Zhang, R.-J.; Lu, H.-L.; Chen, X.; Sun, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Wei, Y.-F.; Xu, J.-P.; Wang, S.-Y.; Zheng, Y.-X.; et al. The Impact of Thickness and Thermal Annealing on Refractive Index for Aluminum Oxide Thin Films Deposited by Atomic Layer Deposition. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* 2015, 10, 46. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Raoult, E.; Bodeux, R.; Jutteau, S.; Rives, S.; Yaiche, A.; Coutancier, D.; Rousset, J.; Collin, S. Optical characterizations and modelling of semitransparent perovskite solar cells for tandem applications. In Proceedings of the 36th European Photovoltaic Solar Energy Conference and Exhibition, Marseille, France, 9–13 September 2019; pp. 757–763. [CrossRef]
- Robbie, K.; Brett, M.J. Sculptured Thin Films and Glancing Angle Deposition: Growth Mechanics and Applications. J. Vac. Sci. Technol. Vac. Surf. Films 1997, 15, 1460–1465. [CrossRef]
- Sharma, A.; Tomar, M.; Gupta, V. SnO<sub>2</sub> Thin Film Sensor with Enhanced Response for NO<sub>2</sub> Gas at Lower Temperatures. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2011, 156, 743–752. [CrossRef]
- 40. Bagga, S.; Akhtar, J.; Mishra, S. Influence of Porosity on the Properties of Nanostructured Tin Oxide Thin Film. *Mater. Res. Express* **2018**, *5*, 116406. [CrossRef]
- 41. Tang, Z.; Chan, P.C.H.; Sharma, R.K.; Yan, G.; Hsing, I.-M.; Sin, J.K.O. Investigation and Control of Microcracks in Tin Oxide Gas Sensing Thin-Films. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2001**, *79*, 39–47. [CrossRef]
- 42. Sonder, E.; Levinson, L.M.; Katz, W. Role of Short-circuiting Pathways in Reduced ZnO Varistors. J. Appl. Phys. 1985, 58, 4420–4425. [CrossRef]
- Filipovic, L.; Selberherr, S. Performance and Stress Analysis of Metal Oxide Films for CMOS-Integrated Gas Sensors. Sensors 2015, 15, 7206–7227. [CrossRef]

- 44. Rabbani, A.; Salehi, S. Dynamic Modeling of the Formation Damage and Mud Cake Deposition Using Filtration Theories Coupled with SEM Image Processing. J. Nat. Gas Sci. Eng. 2017, 42, 157–168. [CrossRef]
- 45. Kohl, D. Surface Processes in the Detection of Reducing Gases with SnO<sub>2</sub>-Based Devices. *Sens. Actuators* **1989**, *18*, 71–113. [CrossRef]
- Mirzaei, A.; Kim, J.-H.; Kim, H.W.; Kim, S.S. Resistive-Based Gas Sensors for Detection of Benzene, Toluene and Xylene (BTX) Gases: A Review. J. Mater. Chem. C 2018, 6, 4342–4370. [CrossRef]
- 47. Xu, C.; Tamaki, J.; Miura, N.; Yamazoe, N. Grain Size Effects on Gas Sensitivity of Porous SnO<sub>2</sub>-Based Elements. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **1991**, *3*, 147–155. [CrossRef]
- 48. Akbar, M.A.; Ait Si Ali, A.; Amira, A.; Bensaali, F.; Benammar, M.; Hassan, M.; Bermak, A. An Empirical Study for PCA- and LDA-Based Feature Reduction for Gas Identification. *IEEE Sens. J.* **2016**, *16*, 5734–5746. [CrossRef]
- 49. Liu, H.; Meng, G.; Deng, Z.; Nagashima, K.; Wang, S.; Dai, T.; Li, L.; Yanagida, T.; Fang, X. Discriminating BTX Molecules by the Nonselective Metal Oxide Sensor-Based Smart Sensing System. *ACS Sens.* **2021**, *6*, 4167–4175. [CrossRef]
- 50. Cho, B.; Lee, K.; Pyo, S.; Kim, J. Fabrication and Characterization of VOC Sensor Array Based on SnO<sub>2</sub> and ZnO Nanoparticles Functionalized by Metalloporphyrins. *Micro Nano Syst. Lett.* **2018**, *6*, 10. [CrossRef]
- Lee, D.-S.; Kim, Y.T.; Huh, J.-S.; Lee, D.-D. Fabrication and Characteristics of SnO<sub>2</sub> Gas Sensor Array for Volatile Organic Compounds Recognition. *Thin Solid Films* 2002, 416, 271–278. [CrossRef]