

checkCIF/PLATON report

Structure factors have been supplied for datablock(s) sggrb3

THIS REPORT IS FOR GUIDANCE ONLY. IF USED AS PART OF A REVIEW PROCEDURE FOR PUBLICATION, IT SHOULD NOT REPLACE THE EXPERTISE OF AN EXPERIENCED CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC REFEREE.

No syntax errors found. CIF dictionary Interpreting this report

Datablock: sggrb3

Bond precision: C-C = 0.0122 A Wavelength=0.71073

Cell: a=19.454(2) b=13.2430(11) c=21.905(2)
 alpha=90 beta=94.494(4) gamma=90

Temperature: 150 K

| | Calculated | Reported |
|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Volume | 5626.0(9) | 5626.2(9) |
| Space group | P 21/c | P 21/c |
| Hall group | -P 2ybc | -P 2ybc |
| Moiety formula | C24 H34 B4 Cr Mo2 O4 | C24 H38 B4 Cr Mo2 O4 |
| Sum formula | C24 H34 B4 Cr Mo2 O4 | C24 H38 B4 Cr Mo2 O4 |
| Mr | 673.63 | 677.66 |
| Dx,g cm-3 | 1.591 | 1.600 |
| Z | 8 | 8 |
| Mu (mm-1) | 1.285 | 1.285 |
| F000 | 2704.0 | 2736.0 |
| F000' | 2679.72 | |
| h,k,lmax | 25,17,28 | 25,17,28 |
| Nref | 12920 | 12768 |
| Tmin,Tmax | 0.781,0.914 | 0.672,0.874 |
| Tmin' | 0.475 | |

Correction method= # Reported T Limits: Tmin=0.672 Tmax=0.874
AbsCorr = MULTI-SCAN

Data completeness= 0.988 Theta(max)= 27.484

R(reflections)= 0.0810(10014) wR2(reflections)= 0.2013(12768)

S = 1.018 Npar= 651

The following ALERTS were generated. Each ALERT has the format
test-name_ALERT_alert-type_alert-level.
Click on the hyperlinks for more details of the test.

 **Alert level A**

PLAT971_ALERT_2_A Check Calcd Resid. Dens. 0.86A From Mo3 6.70 eA-3

Author Response: These are spurious electron density peaks in this heavy atom structure which are higher than normal due to inadequate absorption correction. However the refined model is correct with respect to the atom assignment

PLAT971_ALERT_2_A Check Calcd Resid. Dens. 0.71A From Mo4 5.89 eA-3

Author Response: These are spurious electron density peaks in this heavy atom structure which are higher than normal due to inadequate absorption correction. However the refined model is correct with respect to the atom assignment

PLAT971_ALERT_2_A Check Calcd Resid. Dens. 0.84A From Mo1 4.90 eA-3

Author Response: These are spurious electron density peaks in this heavy atom structure which are higher than normal due to inadequate absorption correction. However the refined model is correct with respect to the atom assignment

PLAT972_ALERT_2_A Check Calcd Resid. Dens. 0.75A From Mo3 -6.10 eA-3

Author Response: same as above

PLAT972_ALERT_2_A Check Calcd Resid. Dens. 0.81A From Mo4 -4.01 eA-3

Author Response: same as above

 **Alert level B**

DIFMN02_ALERT_2_B The minimum difference density is < -0.1*ZMAX*1.00
_refine_diff_density_min given = -6.070
Test value = -4.200

Author Response: These are spurious electron density peaks in this heavy atom structure which are higher than normal due to inadequate absorption correction. However the refined model is correct with respect to the atom assignment

PLAT097_ALERT_2_B Large Reported Max. (Positive) Residual Density 7.10 eA-3

Author Response: same as above

PLAT098_ALERT_2_B Large Reported Min. (Negative) Residual Density -6.07 eA-3

Author Response: same as above

PLAT910_ALERT_3_B Missing # of FCF Reflection(s) Below Theta(Min). 17 Note

Author Response: These reflections are cut by the beam-stop

PLAT971_ALERT_2_B Check Calcd Resid. Dens. 0.72A From Mo2 3.48 eA-3

Author Response: These are spurious electron density peaks in this heavy atom structure which are higher than normal due to inadequate absorption correction. However the refined model is correct with respect to the atom assignment

PLAT971_ALERT_2_B Check Calcd Resid. Dens. 0.20A From Mo3 3.03 eA-3

Author Response: These are spurious electron density peaks in this heavy atom structure which are higher than normal due to inadequate absorption correction. However the refined model is correct with respect to the atom assignment

Alert level C

DIFMN03_ALERT_1_C The minimum difference density is < -0.1*ZMAX*0.75
The relevant atom site should be identified.

DIFMX02_ALERT_1_C The maximum difference density is > 0.1*ZMAX*0.75
The relevant atom site should be identified.

| | | | |
|-------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------|
| PLAT041_ALERT_1_C | Calc. and Reported SumFormula | Strings Differ | Please Check |
| PLAT043_ALERT_1_C | Calculated and Reported Mol. Weight | Differ by .. | 4.03 Check |
| PLAT068_ALERT_1_C | Reported F000 | Differs from Calcd (or Missing)... | Please Check |
| PLAT213_ALERT_2_C | Atom B82 | has ADP max/min Ratio | 3.8 prolat |
| PLAT230_ALERT_2_C | Hirshfeld Test Diff for B81 | --B82 . | 7.0 s.u. |
| PLAT234_ALERT_4_C | Large Hirshfeld Difference B83 | --B84 . | 0.18 Ang. |
| PLAT241_ALERT_2_C | High 'MainMol' Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of | B82 | Check |
| PLAT241_ALERT_2_C | High 'MainMol' Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of | B83 | Check |
| PLAT342_ALERT_3_C | Low Bond Precision on C-C Bonds | | 0.01215 Ang. |
| PLAT906_ALERT_3_C | Large K Value in the Analysis of Variance | | 4.723 Check |
| PLAT911_ALERT_3_C | Missing FCF Refl Between Thmin & STh/L= | 0.600 | 97 Report |
| PLAT971_ALERT_2_C | Check Calcd Resid. Dens. 0.28A | From Mo4 | 2.32 eA-3 |

Author Response: These are spurious electron density peaks in this heavy atom structure which are higher than normal due to inadequate absorption correction. However the refined model is correct with respect to the atom assignment

PLAT971_ALERT_2_C Check Calcd Resid. Dens. 1.37A From B81 2.23 eA-3

Author Response: These are spurious electron density peaks in this heavy atom structure which are higher than normal due to inadequate absorption correction. However the refined model is correct with respect to the atom assignment

PLAT971_ALERT_2_C Check Calcd Resid. Dens. 1.00A From B83 1.72 eA-3

Author Response: These are spurious electron density peaks in this heavy atom structure which are higher than normal due to inadequate absorption correction. However the refined model is correct with respect to the atom assignment

PLAT972_ALERT_2_C Check Calcd Resid. Dens. 0.38A From Mo3 -2.36 eA-3

Author Response: same as above

PLAT972_ALERT_2_C Check Calcd Resid. Dens. 0.49A From Mo3 -1.94 eA-3

Author Response: same as above

PLAT972_ALERT_2_C Check Calcd Resid. Dens. 0.64A From Mo1 -1.64 eA-3

Author Response: same as above

PLAT972_ALERT_2_C Check Calcd Resid. Dens. 0.67A From Mo4 -1.62 eA-3

Author Response: same as above

PLAT973_ALERT_2_C Check Calcd Positive Resid. Density on Mo1 1.10 eA-3
PLAT977_ALERT_2_C Check Negative Difference Density on H8A -0.32 eA-3
PLAT977_ALERT_2_C Check Negative Difference Density on H19C -0.35 eA-3
PLAT977_ALERT_2_C Check Negative Difference Density on H31 -0.46 eA-3
PLAT977_ALERT_2_C Check Negative Difference Density on H67C -0.31 eA-3
PLAT977_ALERT_2_C Check Negative Difference Density on H81 -0.53 eA-3
PLAT978_ALERT_2_C Number C-C Bonds with Positive Residual Density. 0 Info

Alert level G

FORMU01_ALERT_2_G There is a discrepancy between the atom counts in the
_chemical_formula_sum and the formula from the _atom_site* data.
Atom count from _chemical_formula_sum: C24 H38 B4 Cr1 Mo2 O4
Atom count from the _atom_site data: C24 H34 B4 Cr1 Mo2 O4
CELLZ01_ALERT_1_G Difference between formula and atom_site contents detected.
CELLZ01_ALERT_1_G WARNING: H atoms missing from atom site list. Is this intentional?
From the CIF: _cell_formula_units_Z 8
From the CIF: _chemical_formula_sum C24 H38 B4 Cr Mo2 O4
TEST: Compare cell contents of formula and atom_site data

| atom | Z*formula | cif sites | diff |
|------|-----------|-----------|------|
| C | 192.00 | 192.00 | 0.00 |

| | | | | |
|----|--------|--------|-------|--|
| H | 304.00 | 272.00 | 32.00 | |
| B | 32.00 | 32.00 | 0.00 | |
| Cr | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | |
| Mo | 16.00 | 16.00 | 0.00 | |
| O | 32.00 | 32.00 | 0.00 | |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|--------------|
| PLAT042_ALERT_1_G | Calc. and Reported MoietyFormula Strings Differ | Please Check |
| PLAT083_ALERT_2_G | SHELXL Second Parameter in WGHT Unusually Large | 133.36 Why ? |
| PLAT343_ALERT_2_G | Unusual sp? Angle Range in Main Residue for | C21 Check |
| PLAT380_ALERT_4_G | Incorrectly? Oriented X(sp2)-Methyl Moiety | C18 Check |
| PLAT912_ALERT_4_G | Missing # of FCF Reflections Above STh/L= 0.600 | 40 Note |
| PLAT913_ALERT_3_G | Missing # of Very Strong Reflections in FCF . . . | 2 Note |

5 **ALERT level A** = Most likely a serious problem - resolve or explain
6 **ALERT level B** = A potentially serious problem, consider carefully
27 **ALERT level C** = Check. Ensure it is not caused by an omission or oversight
9 **ALERT level G** = General information/check it is not something unexpected

8 **ALERT type 1** CIF construction/syntax error, inconsistent or missing data
31 **ALERT type 2** Indicator that the structure model may be wrong or deficient
5 **ALERT type 3** Indicator that the structure quality may be low
3 **ALERT type 4** Improvement, methodology, query or suggestion
0 **ALERT type 5** Informative message, check

It is advisable to attempt to resolve as many as possible of the alerts in all categories. Often the minor alerts point to easily fixed oversights, errors and omissions in your CIF or refinement strategy, so attention to these fine details can be worthwhile. In order to resolve some of the more serious problems it may be necessary to carry out additional measurements or structure refinements. However, the purpose of your study may justify the reported deviations and the more serious of these should normally be commented upon in the discussion or experimental section of a paper or in the "special_details" fields of the CIF. checkCIF was carefully designed to identify outliers and unusual parameters, but every test has its limitations and alerts that are not important in a particular case may appear. Conversely, the absence of alerts does not guarantee there are no aspects of the results needing attention. It is up to the individual to critically assess their own results and, if necessary, seek expert advice.

Publication of your CIF in IUCr journals

A basic structural check has been run on your CIF. These basic checks will be run on all CIFs submitted for publication in IUCr journals (*Acta Crystallographica*, *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation*); however, if you intend to submit to *Acta Crystallographica Section C* or *E* or *IUCrData*, you should make sure that full publication checks are run on the final version of your CIF prior to submission.

Publication of your CIF in other journals

Please refer to the *Notes for Authors* of the relevant journal for any special instructions relating to CIF submission.

