

checkCIF/PLATON report

Structure factors have been supplied for datablock(s) alet_0m_a

THIS REPORT IS FOR GUIDANCE ONLY. IF USED AS PART OF A REVIEW PROCEDURE FOR PUBLICATION, IT SHOULD NOT REPLACE THE EXPERTISE OF AN EXPERIENCED CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC REFEREE.

No syntax errors found. CIF dictionary Interpreting this report

Datablock: alet_0m_a

Bond precision:	C-C = 0.0042 A	Wavelength=1.54178	
Cell:	a=12.7424(6)	b=7.3373(3)	c=15.3561(7)
	alpha=90	beta=114.107(1)	gamma=90
Temperature:	143 K		
	Calculated	Reported	
Volume	1310.50(10)	1310.50(10)	
Space group	P 21/c	P 1 21/c 1	
Hall group	-P 2ybc	-P 2ybc	
Moiety formula	C9 H18 Cl In N2 S2	0.33(C9 H18 Cl In N2 S2)	
Sum formula	C9 H18 Cl In N2 S2	C3 H6 Cl0.33 In0.33 N0.67 S0.67	
Mr	368.64	122.88	
Dx,g cm-3	1.868	1.868	
Z	4	12	
Mu (mm-1)	19.030	19.030	
F000	736.0	736.0	
F000'	741.78		
h,k,lmax	15,9,18	15,9,18	
Nref	2589	2567	
Tmin,Tmax	0.153,0.149	0.377,0.754	
Tmin'	0.015		

Correction method= # Reported T Limits: Tmin=0.377 Tmax=0.754
AbsCorr = NONE

Data completeness= 0.992 Theta(max)= 72.423

R(reflections)= 0.0275(2556) wR2(reflections)= 0.0720(2567)

S = 1.198 Npar= 137

The following ALERTS were generated. Each ALERT has the format

test-name_ALERT_alert-type_alert-level.

Click on the hyperlinks for more details of the test.

● Alert level C

ABSTY03_ALERT_1_C The `_exptl_absorpt_correction_type` has been given as none.

However values have been given for `Tmin` and `Tmax`. Remove these if an absorption correction has not been applied.

From the CIF: `_exptl_absorpt_correction_T_min` 0.377

From the CIF: `_exptl_absorpt_correction_T_max` 0.754

PLAT250_ALERT_2_C	Large U3/U1 Ratio for Average U(i,j) Tensor	2.2	Note
PLAT911_ALERT_3_C	Missing FCF Refl Between <code>Thmin</code> & <code>STh/L=</code> 0.600	18	Report
PLAT913_ALERT_3_C	Missing # of Very Strong Reflections in FCF	4	Note
PLAT934_ALERT_3_C	Number of (<code>Iobs-Icalc</code>)/ <code>SigmaW</code> > 10 Outliers	1	Check

● Alert level G

FORMU01_ALERT_1_G There is a discrepancy between the atom counts in the

`_chemical_formula_sum` and `_chemical_formula_moiety`. This is usually due to the moiety formula being in the wrong format.

Atom count from `_chemical_formula_sum`: C3 H6 Cl0.33 In0.33 N0.67 S0.

Atom count from `_chemical_formula_moiety`: C2.97 H5.94 Cl0.33 In0.33 N0.

CELLZ01_ALERT_1_G Difference between formula and atom_site contents detected.

CELLZ01_ALERT_1_G ALERT: check formula stoichiometry or atom site occupancies.

From the CIF: `_cell_formula_units_Z` 12

From the CIF: `_chemical_formula_sum` C3 H6 Cl0.33 In0.33 N0.67 S0.67

TEST: Compare cell contents of formula and atom_site data

atom	Z*formula	cif sites	diff
C	36.00	36.00	0.00
H	72.00	72.00	0.00
Cl	3.96	4.00	-0.04
In	3.96	4.00	-0.04
N	8.04	8.00	0.04
S	8.04	8.00	0.04

PLAT042_ALERT_1_G	Calc. and Reported MoietyFormula Strings Differ	Please	Check
PLAT045_ALERT_1_G	Calculated and Reported Z Differ by a Factor ...	0.33	Check
PLAT793_ALERT_4_G	Model has Chirality at N1 (Centro SPGR)	S	Verify
PLAT793_ALERT_4_G	Model has Chirality at N2 (Centro SPGR)	R	Verify
PLAT883_ALERT_1_G	No Info/Value for <code>_atom_sites_solution_primary</code>	Please	Do !
PLAT912_ALERT_4_G	Missing # of FCF Reflections Above <code>STh/L=</code> 0.600	4	Note
PLAT978_ALERT_2_G	Number C-C Bonds with Positive Residual Density.	3	Info

0 **ALERT level A** = Most likely a serious problem - resolve or explain
0 **ALERT level B** = A potentially serious problem, consider carefully
5 **ALERT level C** = Check. Ensure it is not caused by an omission or oversight
10 **ALERT level G** = General information/check it is not something unexpected

7 ALERT type 1 CIF construction/syntax error, inconsistent or missing data
2 ALERT type 2 Indicator that the structure model may be wrong or deficient
3 ALERT type 3 Indicator that the structure quality may be low
3 ALERT type 4 Improvement, methodology, query or suggestion
0 ALERT type 5 Informative message, check

It is advisable to attempt to resolve as many as possible of the alerts in all categories. Often the minor alerts point to easily fixed oversights, errors and omissions in your CIF or refinement strategy, so attention to these fine details can be worthwhile. In order to resolve some of the more serious problems it may be necessary to carry out additional measurements or structure refinements. However, the purpose of your study may justify the reported deviations and the more serious of these should normally be commented upon in the discussion or experimental section of a paper or in the "special_details" fields of the CIF. checkCIF was carefully designed to identify outliers and unusual parameters, but every test has its limitations and alerts that are not important in a particular case may appear. Conversely, the absence of alerts does not guarantee there are no aspects of the results needing attention. It is up to the individual to critically assess their own results and, if necessary, seek expert advice.

Publication of your CIF in IUCr journals

A basic structural check has been run on your CIF. These basic checks will be run on all CIFs submitted for publication in IUCr journals (*Acta Crystallographica*, *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation*); however, if you intend to submit to *Acta Crystallographica Section C* or *E* or *IUCrData*, you should make sure that full publication checks are run on the final version of your CIF prior to submission.

Publication of your CIF in other journals

Please refer to the *Notes for Authors* of the relevant journal for any special instructions relating to CIF submission.

PLATON version of 03/05/2019; check.def file version of 29/04/2019

