

Table S1. The list of scientific reports analyzed by the Natural Language Processing

Number	Title	Journal	Authors/year
1	Role of Sensory Evaluation in Consumer Acceptance of Plant-Based Meat Analogs and Meat Extenders: A Scoping Review	<i>Food</i>	Fiorentini et al. (2020)
2	Drivers and Inhibitors in the Acceptance of Meat Alternatives: The Case of Plant and Insect-Based Proteins	<i>Food</i>	De Koning et al. (2020)
3	Nutritional, Microbial, and Sensory Evaluation of Complementary Foods Made from Blends of Orange-Fleshed Sweet Potato and Edible Insects	<i>Food</i>	Agbemaflle et al. (2020)
4	Impact of Fermentation and Phytase Treatment of Pea-Oat Protein Blend on Physicochemical, Sensory, and Nutritional Properties of Extruded Meat Analogs	<i>Food</i>	Kaleda et al. (2020)
5	Physicochemical Properties and Consumer Acceptance of Bread Enriched with Alternative Proteins	<i>Food</i>	García-Segovia et al. (2020)
6	Meat Quality Derived from High Inclusion of a Micro-Alga or Insect Meal as an Alternative Protein Source in Poultry Diets: A Pilot Study	<i>Food</i>	Altmann et al. (2018)
7	Consumer perceptions of conventional and alternative protein sources: A mixed-methods approach with meal and product framing	<i>Appetite</i>	Possidónio et al. (2021)
8	School children cooking and eating insects as part of a teaching program - Effects of cooking, insect type, tasting order and food neophobia on hedonic response	<i>Food Quality and Preference</i>	Chow et al. (2021)
9	Importance of additional information, as a complement to information coming from packaging, to promote meat substitutes: A case study on a sausage based on vegetable protein	<i>Food Quality and Preference</i>	Martin et al. (2021)
10	Structuring the meat analogue by using plant-based derived composites	<i>Journal of Food Engineering</i>	Yuliarti et al. (2021)

11	Effects of Maillard-reacted beef bone hydrolysate on the physicochemical properties of extruded meat alternatives	<i>Journal of Food Science</i>	Chiang et al. (2020)
12	Edible mushroom mycelia of <i>Pleurotus sapidus</i> as novel protein sources in a vegan boiled sausage analog system: functionality and sensory tests in comparison to commercial proteins and meat sausages	<i>European Food Research and Technology</i>	Stephan et al. (2018)
13	Towards more sustainable meat alternatives: How technical parameters affect the sensory properties of extrusion products derived from soy and algae	<i>Cleaner Production</i>	Grahl et al. (2018)
14	Block protocol for conventional profiling to sensory characterise plant protein isolates	<i>Food Quality and Preference</i>	Cosson et al. (2020)
15	Alternative protein sources in Western diets: Food product development and consumer acceptance of spirulina-filled pasta	<i>Food Quality and Preference</i>	Grahl et al. (2020)
16	Older Consumers' Readiness to Accept Alternative, More Sustainable Protein Sources in the European Union	<i>Nutrients</i>	Grasso et al. (2019)
17	Plant protein-based alternatives of reconstructed meat: Science, technology, and challenges	<i>Trends in Food Science and Technology</i>	Sha & Xiong (2020)
18	Product appropriateness, willingness to try and perceived risks of foods containing insect protein powder: A survey of US consumers	<i>International Journal of Food Science and Technology</i>	Ardoin et al. (2020)
19	Partial and total replacement of meat by plant-based proteins in chicken sausage: evaluation of mechanical, physico-chemical and sensory characteristics	<i>Journal of Food Science and Technology</i>	Kamani et al. (2019)
20	Sensory attributes of edible insects and insect-based foods - Future outlooks for enhancing consumer appeal	<i>Trends in Food Science and Technology</i>	Mishyna et al. (2020)

Supplementary File S1. PDF document text mining codes and explanation produced by Cristhiam Gurdian

```
#' ---
#' title: Text mining trial
#' author: Cristhiam Gurdian (cgurdi3@lsu.edu)
#' date: 2021-January-06
#' ---

install.packages("pdftools")
library(pdftools)
#set working directory to the folder that contains
the pdf files
#remove from the pdf names greek characters or
symbols because the lapply function will not work with
those

#create a vector of PDF file names using the
list.files function.
#The pattern argument says to only grab those files
ending with "pdf":
files <- list.files(pattern = "pdf$")#only works
if you have your working directory set to the folder
where you downloaded the PDF files
files #The "files" vector contains all the PDF file
names. we'll use this vector to automate the process
of reading in the text of the PDF files.

#The pdftools function for extracting text is
pdf_text.
#Using the lapply function, we can apply the
pdf_text function to each element in the "files" vector
and create an object called "text".

text <- lapply(files, pdf_text) #This creates a
list object with three elements, one for each document.
length(text)
#Each element in "text" is a vector that contains
the text of the PDF file.
lapply(text, length) #The length of each vector
corresponds to the number of pages in the PDF file.

####USING TEXT MINING PACKAGE FOR TEXT ANALYSIS
#First load tm package and then create a corpus,
which is a database for text.
#instead of working with the "opinions" text"
object we created earlier, we start over.

install.packages("tm")
library(tm)
corp <- Corpus(URISource(files),
               readerControl = list(reader =
readPDF))#The Corpus function creates a corpus. The
first argument to Corpus is what we want to use to
create the corpus.
#In this case, it's the vector of PDF files. To do
this, we use the URISource function to indicate that
the files vector is a URI (Uniform Resource Identifier)
source.
# we're telling the Corpus function that the vector
of file names identifies our resources.
#The second argument, readerControl, tells Corpus
which reader to use to read in the text from the PDF
files (readPDF, a tm function).
#The readerControl argument requires a list of
control parameters, one of which is reader, so we enter
list(reader = readPDF).
#Finally we save the result to an object called
"corp".
```

#Now that we have a corpus, we can create a term-document matrix, (TDM) that stores counts of terms for each document.

#The tm package provides a function to create a TDM called TermDocumentMatrix.

```
library(SnowballC)
text.tdm <- TermDocumentMatrix(corp,
                                control =
list(removePunctuation = TRUE,
stopwords = TRUE,
= TRUE,
stemming = TRUE,
removeNumbers = TRUE,
                                bounds
= list(global = c(3, Inf))))
#The first argument is our corpus. The second
argument is a list of control parameters.
#clean up the corpus before creating the TDM.
Remove punctuation, stopwords (eg, the, of, in, etc.),
convert text to lower case, stem the words,
#remove numbers, and only count words that appear
at least 3 times. We save the result to an object
called "text.tdm".
```

```
inspect(text.tdm[1:10,])#first 10 terms
```

#pdf_text function may preserve the unicode curly-quotes and em-dashes used in the PDF files.

#manually use the removePunctuation function with tm_map, both functions in the tm package.

#removePunctuation function has an argument called ucp that when set to TRUE will look for unicode punctuation.

corp <- tm_map(corp, removePunctuation, ucp = TRUE)
#re-create the TDM, this time without the
removePunctuation = TRUE argument.

```
text.tdm <- TermDocumentMatrix(corp,
                                control =
list(stopwords = TRUE,
                                tolower
= TRUE,
stemming = TRUE,
removeNumbers = TRUE,
                                bounds
= list(global = c(3, Inf))))
inspect(text.tdm[1:10,])#first 10 terms
```

#findFreqTerms function to find words that occur at least 100 times:

```
findFreqTerms(text.tdm, lowfreq = 100, highfreq = Inf)
```

#To see the counts of those words we could save the result and use it to subset the TDM.

#we have to use as.matrix to see the print out of the subsetted TDM.

```
ft <- findFreqTerms(text.tdm, lowfreq = 100,
highfreq = Inf)
as.matrix(text.tdm[ft,])
```

#To see the words

```
ft.tdm <- as.matrix(text.tdm[ft,])
sort(apply(ft.tdm, 1, sum), decreasing = TRUE)
```

Supplementary File S2. Text (TXT) document mining codes obtained from <https://www.red-gate.com/simple-talk/sql/bi/text-mining-and-sentiment-analysis-with-r/>

```
library("SnowballC")
library("RColorBrewer")
library("wordcloud")
library("syuzhet")
library("ggplot2")
library("tm")

text <- readLines(file.choose())
TextDoc <- Corpus(VectorSource(text))

toSpace <- content_transformer(function (x ,
pattern ) gsub(pattern, " ", x))
TextDoc <- tm_map(TextDoc, toSpace, "/")
TextDoc <- tm_map(TextDoc, toSpace, "@")
TextDoc <- tm_map(TextDoc, toSpace, "\\|")

TextDoc <- tm_map(TextDoc,
content_transformer(tolower))
TextDoc <- tm_map(TextDoc, removeNumbers)
TextDoc <- tm_map(TextDoc, removewords,
stopwords("english"))
TextDoc <- tm_map(TextDoc, removewords, c("s",
"company", "team"))
TextDoc <- tm_map(TextDoc, removePunctuation)
TextDoc <- tm_map(TextDoc, stripWhitespace)
TextDoc <- tm_map(TextDoc, stemDocument)

TextDoc_dtm <- TermDocumentMatrix(TextDoc)
dtm_m <- as.matrix(TextDoc_dtm)
dtm_v <- sort(rowSums(dtm_m),decreasing=TRUE)
dtm_d <- data.frame(word =
names(dtm_v),freq=dtm_v)
head(dtm_d, 50)

barplot(dtm_d[1:50,]$freq, las = 2, names.arg =
dtm_d[1:50,]$word,
col = "lightgreen", main = "Top 50 most
frequent words",
ylab = "word frequencies")

set.seed(1234)
wordcloud(words = dtm_d$word, freq = dtm_d$freq,
min.freq = 5,
max.words=100, random.order=FALSE,
rot.per=0.40,
colors=brewer.pal(8, "Dark2"))

findAssocs(TextDoc_dtm, terms =
c("insect","flavor","like"), corlimit = 0.25)

syuzhet_vector <- get_sentiment(text,
method="syuzhet")
head(syuzhet_vector)
summary(syuzhet_vector)

bing_vector <- get_sentiment(text, method="bing")
head(bing_vector)
summary(bing_vector)

afinn_vector <- get_sentiment(text,
method="afinn")
head(afinn_vector)
summary(afinn_vector)

d<-get_nrc_sentiment(text)
td<-data.frame(t(d))
td_new <- data.frame(rowSums(td[2:253]))
```

```

names(td_new)[1] <- "count"
td_new <- cbind("sentiment" = rownames(td_new),
td_new)
rownames(td_new) <- NULL
td_new2<-td_new[1:8,]
quickplot(sentiment, data=td_new2, weight=count,
geom="bar", fill=sentiment,
ylab="count")+ggtitle("Survey sentiments")

barplot(
sort(colSums(prop.table(d[, 1:8]))),
horiz = TRUE,
cex.names = 0.7,
las = 1,
main = "Emotions in Text", xlab="Percentage"
)

```

Table S2. The frequency of words in the text matrix (top 50)

Word	Frequency	Word	Frequency
Meat	531	Plantbas	97
Protein	432	Also	96
Product	404	Sourc	96
Food	356	Compar	85
Consum	264	Tabl	84
Altern	188	Expect	83
Studi	181	Content	82
Insect	179	Pea	82
Tast	167	Structure	82
Flavor	165	Present	78
Use	161	Sustain	78
Sensori	154	Howev	77
Textur	142	Mrp	77
Differ	141	High	76
Attribute	136	Spirulina	76
Can	128	Posit	73
Accept	124	Addit	73
Sampl	121	Mayb	71
Increase	116	Process	71
Effect	111	Develop	69
Like	110	Show	69
Result	107	Analogu	68
Evalua	105	Plant	67
Signific	100	Subsitut	67

Supplementary File S3. The relevance (association levels) between keywords and other words

\$meat									
altern	product	substitut	labgrown	barrier	lack	use	addit	skill	
0.62	0.51	0.50	0.44	0.42	0.37	0.36	0.36	0.36	
consumpt	process	red	studi	includ	reduc	analog	one	way	
0.35	0.35	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.33	0.33	0.33	
diet	clean	can	toward	concentr	meal	graea	bryant	also	
0.33	0.32	0.31	0.31	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.29	
term	particip	due	benefit	environment	address	chang	impact	may	
0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.28	0.28	0.28	
contain	help	lower	tofu	animalfre	enjoy	monik	pose	unfa	
0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	
assess	mrp	natur	fish	organ	seitan	frame	attract	perceiv	
0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.26	
compar	differ	ingredi	replac	behavior	label	hoek	improv	nutrit	
0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.25	
research	percept	siegrist	white	mimic					
0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25					
\$protein									
sourc	animalbas	singlecel	altern	vitro	structur	found	studi	crosslink	
0.60	0.41	0.41	0.40	0.40	0.39	0.38	0.37	0.37	
extent	gluten	dont	use	wheat	environment	contain	dairi	various	
0.37	0.36	0.35	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.33	0.33	0.33	
product	without	fibrous	belgium	process	intak	seafoodbas	consist	techniqu	
0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.29	0.29	
although	freez	lin	meatbas	deriv	grasp	salad	student	develop	
0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.28	
properti	uniqu	major	know	egg	nutrit	sustain	due	research	
0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	
wide	viscoelast	land	eat	analogu	manner	aquat	biodivers	businessusu	
0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	
emiss	freshwat	ghge	greenhous	nitrogen	terrestri	threaten	chang	impact	
0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.26	
also	form	total	muscl	requir	furthermor	meatlik	manufactur	buffer	
0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	
solubil	soy	vari	nugget	ideal	com	agreement	expand	specul	
0.26	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
globular	mung								
0.25	0.25								
\$consum									
product	research	consumpt	substitut	percept	food	studi	barrier	altern	prefer
0.47	0.38	0.37	0.37	0.36	0.35	0.34	0.34	0.33	0.33
specif	market	hoek	may	method	identifi	behavior	pathway	can	way
0.33	0.31	0.31	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.29	0.29
target	qualit	tri	toward	one	howev	find	new	develop	impact
0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.27	0.27
suggest	adopt	understand	rather	buy	diet	pasta	dutch	appeal	approach
0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.26
aim	singl	attitud	mani	academ	freeli	reliabl	roininen	alterna	tive
0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26
acknowl	edg	tailor	wellknown	disappoint	familiar	test	sourc	willing	
0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
\$insect									
ball	oatmeal	children	food	caparro	edibl	mealworm	ashmor	childhood	dunlop
0.57	0.57	0.54	0.47	0.46	0.45	0.44	0.42	0.42	0.42
familiaris	gust	haidt	hood	mccauley	medium	realfood	tinguish	troduc	rozin
0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.40
megido	willing	neophobia	respons	barbera	selfcook	may	first	recognis	chitin
0.39	0.38	0.37	0.36	0.36	0.35	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34
choi	tortilla	grasshopp	cite	cockroach	covari	eli	gmuer	guth	lensvelt
0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34
nuessli	steenbekk	ver	hedon	disgust	tri	novel	might	studi	version
0.34	0.34	0.34	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.32	0.31	0.30
bit	author	fao	eat	living	visibl	dis	implic	later	second
0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.28
explor	moder	also	rate	previous	stimuli	belgian	among	influenc	measur
0.28	0.28	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.26
futur	western	matric	chip	encourag	decosta	continu			
0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.25			

\$flavor						
mask	lemonbasil	extrud	lemon	tomato	spice	
0.62	0.59	0.55	0.54	0.49	0.47	
vegetablebas	content	enhanc	confound	contentflavor	dim	
0.46	0.44	0.43	0.42	0.42	0.42	
equidist	nonlinear	smallest	spir	spirulinaextrud	tens	
0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42	
ulina	sampl	beetging	odor	chickenflavor	crablik	
0.42	0.41	0.41	0.39	0.39	0.39	
katayama	narrow	oili	oyster	oysterlik	shred	
0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	
shredshap	shrimp	strip	stripshap	volunt	wilson	
0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	
basil	complement	cuellarbermfadez	foreseen	geosmin	glutam	
0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	
methylisoborneol	mib	milovanoviucu	propriat	spirulinarel	steepest	
0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	
spici	chicken	control	intens	soybas	beefbon	
0.38	0.38	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	
unflavor	pasta	linear	mustyearthi	meati	four	
0.37	0.37	0.37	0.36	0.35	0.35	
earthi	aroma	pepper	bake	salti	shape	
0.35	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	
compar	season	descript	analog	test	beefi	
0.33	0.33	0.33	0.32	0.32	0.32	
beeflik	crush	fortifi	fullmeat	grassi	justright	
0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	
modif	pastri	peanut	ppc	puff	roll	
0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	
smoke	stuf	versus	overal	resembl	provid	
0.32	0.32	0.32	0.31	0.31	0.31	
lipid	peanutbas	term	formul	spread	substanti	
0.31	0.31	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	
perform	level	aim	pathway	musti	use	
0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.28	
addit	beef	best	minc	fri	assess	
0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.27	
tsp	base	bit	encapsul	former	liquid	
0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	
pocket	qualit	quantit	though	method	scale	
0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.26	
success	three	show	insight			
0.26	0.25	0.25	0.25			

\$sensori						
breslin	keast	evalu	use	bitter	test	
0.46	0.46	0.45	0.41	0.41	0.39	
assess	properti	mechan	overall	sampl	howev	
0.39	0.38	0.38	0.37	0.37	0.37	
descript	tomato	addit	compar	panelist	analysi	
0.37	0.36	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.34	
result	base	quantifi	multidimension	control	sensat	
0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.33	0.33	
sensit	bakker	busch	clifford	considin	danguin	
0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	
delahunti	heyman	kallithraka	kfchn	neurophysiolog	pend	
0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	
precis	prolong	reitmeier	sall	septier	singh	
0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	
thoma	torrespenaranda	trice	bajec	bartoshuk	bolhui	
0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	
capsaicin	carney	cessari	chemesthet	ciceral	duffi	
0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	
file	hansen	hollowood	hort	iii	irrit	
0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	
kidd	lanier	npropylthiouracil	picker	pothesi	proxi	
0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	
pungenc	sociat	swaincampbel	taster	wish	wright	
0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	
product	agent	past	dinehart	method	understand	
0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.31	0.31	
oral	percept	character	cognit	persist	cog	
0.31	0.31	0.31	0.30	0.30	0.30	
fatigu	guichard	nitiv	omit	beetging	analog	
0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.29	
attribut	can	research	complex	hay	prescott	
0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	
instrument	score	affect	interest	interact	pathway	
0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	
combin	differ	beefbon	unflavor	liquid	reed	
0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	
webb	studi	fullmeat	mask	physiolog	lemonbasil	
0.27	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	
show	limit	better	qualiti	spi	prop	
0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
\$stextur						
properti	physiochem	nugget	analog	use	techniqu	ratio
0.49	0.47	0.43	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37
analysi	soy	instrument	howev	optim	emulsifi	capabl
0.36	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35
judg	microscopi	scan	yuliarti	structur	analogu	form
0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.34	0.34	0.33
gum	freez	layer	gluten	develop	show	capac
0.33	0.33	0.33	0.32	0.31	0.31	0.31
emuls	fibrous	ideal	mouthfeel	hydrocolloid	icgn	iotacarrageenan
0.31	0.31	0.31	0.30	0.29	0.29	0.29
analyt	creation	extrus	soup	composit	test	result
0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.28	0.28
anoth	molecul	wheat	name	crosslink	desir	term
0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.27	0.27
affect	tv	generat	includ	uniqu	sausag	sampl
0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.26
method	control	viscoelast	thicken	ppi	gel	synergist
0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26
process	commerci					
0.25	0.25					

\$accept							
vitro	eat	sourc	dont	belgium	control	sustain	singlecel
0.49	0.47	0.46	0.45	0.43	0.41	0.41	0.41
seafoodbas	analog	sampl	studi	influenc	compar	found	specul
0.41	0.40	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.37	0.37	0.37
particip	meatbas	know	grasp	salad	student	may	score
0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.34	0.34
determin	four	without	overall	manner	product	show	food
0.34	0.34	0.34	0.33	0.33	0.32	0.32	0.32
choic	meati	result	term	scale	extent	adult	surpris
0.32	0.32	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.30
contain	fullmeat	although	agreement	analysi	hedon	perform	provid
0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29
enhanc	smoke	beefbon	unflavor	barrier	liquid	research	concept
0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29
motiv	older	competit	eventu	healthpromot	industryscal	kilo	lowcost
0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29
owe	singlecellbas	slaughter	transport	vitrobas	test	addit	adequ
0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.28	0.28	0.28
level	conduct	vegetablebas	environment	price	can	colleagu	suggest
0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.27	0.27	0.27
altern	spici	agent	use	reveal	affect	explain	spice
0.27	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26
descript	unfamiliar	previous	visibl	respond			
0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.25			
\$increas							
decreas	concentr	mrp	carotenoid	sharimaabdullah	appear	chickpea	
0.46	0.44	0.44	0.39	0.39	0.38	0.38	
fact	flour	due	lowest	addit	world	chang	
0.34	0.33	0.32	0.32	0.29	0.29	0.28	
import	score	typ	flavorenhanc	hydrolyz	oppos	moist	
0.27	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	
led	colorimetr	erti	mrps	placement	broken	creas	
0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	
melton	oliv	polymer	sds	solubi	solvent	stanley	
0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	
teract	twocompon	watersolubl	fabric	hirata	intact	altern	
0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.25	
\$like							
pasta	pathway	beetging	credenc	leav	outstrip		
0.61	0.47	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42		
sound	tomato	lemonbasil	conceptu	mustyearthi	ceptual		
0.42	0.41	0.41	0.40	0.38	0.38		
compar	experie	overall	cata	met	basil		
0.33	0.32	0.31	0.30	0.30	0.30		
complement	cuellarbermfadez	foreseen	geosmin	glutam	methylisoborneol		
0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30		
mib	milovanoviucu	propriat	spirulinarel	steepest	tsp		
0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.29		
negat	higher	mask	bland	netherland	femal		
0.29	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27		
stand							
0.25							

\$plantbas							
composit	impl	makeup	satisfactori	smallscal	struc	vestment	nutrit
0.49	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.43
freez	nugget	techniqu	develop	imposs	diversif	pietsch	prevail
0.43	0.42	0.41	0.40	0.38	0.37	0.37	0.37
rear	round	sur	surround	unsus	mentat	ppn	substitut
0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.36
process	structur	mimic	animalbas	generat	altern	regular	uniqu
0.34	0.34	0.34	0.33	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32
necessarili	home	product	littl	issu	meatlik	analogu	studi
0.32	0.32	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.30	0.29
recent	date	ingredi	strategi	natur	potenti	constraint	rais
0.29	0.29	0.28	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27
use	vari	anim	health	everi	insectsbas	stigma	resourc
0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26
envisag	face	terest	uni	vers	dekker	xiong	amin
0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26
barzegar	berman	carcinogen	cheng	dioxid	excess	jiang	kamankesh
0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26
khan	kuhnl	nadeem	predispos	preserv	rahman	sahar	thylcellulos
0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26
titanium	truli	characterist	includ	conduct	specif	asia	tainabl
0.26	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
rheolog							
0.25							
\$sexpect							
assimil	deliza	macfi	actual	discrep	predict		
0.67	0.67	0.67	0.63	0.59	0.57		
dispar	distast	gativ	kehkfne	kole	latitud		
0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56		
mojet	sawyer	schifferstein	sect	sensorylik	wors		
0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56		
disconfirm	brain	frog	cardello	contrast	experi		
0.55	0.55	0.55	0.51	0.43	0.43		
hypothet	pectat	unclear	pasta	chines	magnif		
0.43	0.43	0.43	0.40	0.39	0.39		
assimila	chil	chocol	contradict	dren	feedback		
0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39		
posur	pothet	spons	switch	tioncontrast	upward		
0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39		
pathway	expectationexperi	lamb	adjust	neo	phobia		
0.38	0.37	0.36	0.35	0.35	0.35		
disappoint	familiar	credenc	leav	outstrip	sound		
0.35	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33		
presum	effect	thus	perform	german	now		
0.32	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31		
novel	crosscultur	food	reject	tan	ceptual		
0.30	0.30	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29		
lead	predictor	gap	fischer	stieger	small		
0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.27		
model	palat	enc	tuorila	postul	irrespect		
0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27		
somewhat	trast	obvious	conceptu	met	dutch		
0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.26		
resist	via						
0.25	0.25						

\$pea								
starchi	lupin	grain	chamber	broth	bott	defi	mushroomi	nition
0.54	0.54	0.53	0.53	0.53	0.52	0.51	0.51	0.51
ope	ubol	vara	varaubol	astring	powderi	dusti	green	definit
0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.50	0.50	0.49	0.45	0.44
beani	pod	nut	earthi	fvalu	resp	spectiv	attribut	crimin
0.42	0.41	0.40	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.37	0.37
tribut	attri	bute	almond	newmankeul	solut	bitter	puls	australia
0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.35	0.34	0.33	0.32
canada	cholesterol	fortif	manag	repair	satieti	sportmind	usa	musti
0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32
describ	protocol	block	discrimin	among	phenol	display	devel	dimension
0.31	0.31	0.31	0.30	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29
multi	panelist	classic	consist	degre	launch	six	alum	granular
0.29	0.28	0.28	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27
threeway	nutti	manufactur	hay	ambigu	arcot	brothi	chandrahio	drake
0.27	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26
ejszo	kaczmarska	litera	lopetcharat	malcolmsen	pars	ska	soil	szymkiewicz
0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26
troszyucu	woodi	wou	sour					
0.26	0.26	0.26	0.25					
\$spirulina								
lemonbasil	mustyearthi	mask		pasta	lemon	though		
0.64	0.61	0.54		0.53	0.49	0.48		
spirulinasoyextrud	promis	biomass		beetging	content	extrud		
0.48	0.47	0.47		0.44	0.43	0.43		
tomato	peratur	tem		grahl	fill	futur		
0.43	0.42	0.42		0.42	0.42	0.39		
turn	step	expenditur		ject	someth	stood		
0.39	0.39	0.39		0.39	0.39	0.39		
usag	basil	complement		cuellarbermfadez	foreseen	geosmin		
0.39	0.39	0.39		0.39	0.39	0.39		
glutam	methyilisoborneol	mib		milovanoviucu	propriat	spirulinaarel		
0.39	0.39	0.39		0.39	0.39	0.39		
steepest	bao	foundat		intensif	ren	zheng		
0.39	0.39	0.39		0.39	0.39	0.39		
take	microalga	even		part	pathway	firm		
0.38	0.37	0.37		0.36	0.36	0.34		
earthi	intens	serv		musti	confound	contentflavor		
0.34	0.33	0.33		0.33	0.33	0.33		
dim	equidist	nonlinear		smallest	spir	spirulinaextrud		
0.33	0.33	0.33		0.33	0.33	0.33		
tens	ulina	input		justifi	netherland	insight		
0.33	0.33	0.32		0.32	0.32	0.30		
palanisami	account	still		gain	investig	goal		
0.29	0.29	0.29		0.29	0.28	0.28		
standalon	share	deep		screw	speed	entir		
0.28	0.28	0.28		0.28	0.28	0.28		
soon	sus	preprocess		need	left	conceptu		
0.28	0.28	0.28		0.27	0.27	0.27		
odor	small	energi		accord	experienc	impli		
0.26	0.26	0.26		0.26	0.26	0.26		
key	adjust	pronounc		acolor	alga	foveral		
0.26	0.26	0.26		0.26	0.26	0.26		
influenti	omusti	beheshtipour		central	clt	flavorflavor		
0.26	0.26	0.26		0.26	0.26	0.26		
franc	gould	khosravidarani		learn	leitch	mobini		
0.26	0.26	0.26		0.26	0.26	0.26		
mortazavian	sohrabvandi	yeoman		mere				
0.26	0.26	0.26		0.26				

\$posit							
rate	familiar	present	contrast	meal	famil	iariti	intro
0.41	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36
posi	rule	may	product	ethic	multisensori	apprais	pectat
0.36	0.36	0.35	0.34	0.33	0.32	0.32	0.32
wtt	particip	context	rich	negat	individu	correl	ground
0.32	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.29	0.29	0.28	0.28
expens	actual	assimil	deliza	macfi	perceiv	food	novel
0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.27	0.27	0.27
corr	iness	tiness	dispar	distast	gativ	kehkfnen	kole
0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27
latitud	mojet	sawyer	schifferstein	sect	sensorylik	wors	are
0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27
gembaro	provi	these	verain	cracker	shake	hous	disconfirm
0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.25
chip	late	grown	basi	decid	duce	lose	hypothet
0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
unclear	contact	est	exceed				
0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25				
\$plant							
hydrolys	success	use	meatlik	muscl	nutrit	structur	
0.39	0.38	0.36	0.36	0.35	0.34	0.34	
composit	hardacr	parker	bean	improv	chand	cooker	
0.33	0.33	0.33	0.32	0.31	0.31	0.31	
eyr	gokulakrishnan	malav	ribos	silcock	talukd	tasteless	
0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	
form	loss	techniqu	select	chiang	freez	impl	
0.30	0.30	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	
makeup	satisfactori	smallscal	struc	vestment	loveday	vari	
0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.28	
aim	develop	bone	maillardreact	challeng	date	analogu	
0.28	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	
ppn	waterbind	product	materi				
0.27	0.27	0.26	0.26				