



Article Microfungi Associated with Peach Branch Diseases in China

Ying Zhou ^{1,2,3,†}^(D), Ishara S. Manawasinghe ^{4,†}^(D), Zhizheng He ², Wei Zhang ², Mei Liu ², Jinyan Song ², Shifang Li ^{1,*}^(D), Zaifeng Fan ³^(D) and Jiye Yan ^{2,*}

- State Key Laboratory for Biology of Plant Diseases and Insect Pests, Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100193, China; zhouying@ippbaafs.cn
- ² Beijing Key Laboratory of Environment Friendly Management on Fruit Diseases and Pests in North China, Key Laboratory of Environment Friendly Management on Fruit and Vegetable Pests in North China (Co-Construction by Ministry and Province), Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Institute of Plant Protection, Beijing Academy of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences, Beijing 100097, China; 1873039722z@gmail.com (Z.H.); zhwei1125@163.com (W.Z.); liumeidmw@163.com (M.L.); 17866929429@163.com (J.S.)
- ³ College of Plant Protection, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China; fanzf@cau.edu.cn
- ⁴ Innovative Institute for Plant Health/Key Laboratory of Green Prevention and Control on Fruits and Vegetables in South China, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Zhongkai University of Agriculture and Engineering, Guangzhou 510225, China; ishara9017@gmail.com
- * Correspondence: sfli@ippcaas.cn (S.L.); jiyeyan@vip.163.com (J.Y.)
- [†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Peach (*Prunus persica* L.) is one of the most important and oldest stone fruits grown in China. Even though *P. persica* is one of the most commonly grown stone fruits in China, little is known about the biodiversity of microfungi associated with peach branch diseases. In the present study, samples were collected from a wide range of peach growing areas in China, and fungal pathogens associated with peach branch diseases were isolated. In total, 85 isolates were obtained and further classified into nine genera and 10 species. Most of the isolates belonged to *Botryosphaeriaceae* (46), including *Botryosphaeria, Diplodia, Neofusicoccum, Phaeobotryon,* and *Lasiodiplodia* species; *Ascochyta, Didymella,* and *Nothophoma* species representing *Didymellaceae* were also identified. Herein, we introduce *Ascochyta prunus* and *Lasiodiplodia pruni* as novel species. In addition, we report the first records of *Nothophoma pruni, Neofusicoccum occulatum,* and *Phaeobotryon rhois* on peach worldwide, and *Didymella glomerata, Nothophoma quercina,* and *Phaeoacremonium scolyti* are the first records from China. This research is the first comprehensive investigation to explore the microfungi associated with peach branch disease in China. Future studies are necessary to understand the pathogenicity and disease epidemiology of these identified species.

Keywords: diversity; peach diseases; morphology; phylogenetic analyses; new species; new records

1. Introduction

Peach (*Prunus persica* L.) belongs to the family *Rosaceae* and is an important stone fruit that contains vitamins, flavonols, sugars, and catechins [1]. Peaches are rich in dietary fibres that provide health benefits [2]. They are consumed as fresh fruits and in processed foods such as jam and beverages. *Prunus* species were first domesticated and cultivated in northwestern China [3] and originated in China as long ago as 3300–2500 BC according to archaeological evidence [4]. According to the Food and Agricultural Organization 2019-United Nations (FAOSTAT), China is the top peach-producing country, with a production of 15.8 million tons in 2019, which accounted for 57% of the global production.

In China, the genetic diversity of peach is high, comprising more than 396 peach cultivars [5]. Peach cultivation areas in China are mainly located from the subtropical southern to the northern region and range from warm to cold and dry [6]. Peach trees are perennial plants that can grow up to 21 feet. Although the lifespan of peach plants



Citation: Zhou, Y.; Manawasinghe, I.S.; He, Z.; Zhang, W.; Liu, M.; Song, J.; Li, S.; Fan, Z.; Yan, J. Microfungi Associated with Peach Branch Diseases in China. *J. Fungi* **2024**, *10*, 217. https://doi.org/10.3390/jof 10030217

Academic Editor: Zhi-Yuan Chen

Received: 9 February 2024 Revised: 24 February 2024 Accepted: 2 March 2024 Published: 15 March 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). is eight to ten years in orchards, it can reach 25 years [7]. Therefore, it is necessary to understand the biotic and abiotic factors affecting the vigour and yield of peach trees. Among various peach pests and diseases, fungal species are the dominant causative agents of diseases [8], such as brown rot caused by *Monilinia fructicola* [9]; gummosis caused by *Botryosphaeria dothidea* [10]; peach scab caused by *Venturia carpophila* [11]; peach leaf curl caused by *Taphrina deformans* [12]; and Phytophthora root and crown rot caused by *Phytophthora* spp. [13]. Worldwide, peach branch diseases including gummosis trunk, trunk canker, twig canker, twig spots, and shoot blight have been commonly observed, particularly in regions where stress factors are prevalent [14,15]. To date, there has been no comprehensive study on microfungi associated with peach branch diseases in China.

Even though peach fruits are among the most common stone fruits grown in China, few studies have been conducted to determine the diversity of microfungi associated with different peach cultivation regions in China. On this basis, the present study aimed to collect peach disease samples from a wide range of growing areas in China and to isolate and identify common fungal pathogens causing peach disease.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sample Collection and Fungal Isolation

Diseased trunk, branch, and twig samples were collected from 20 peach orchards in 2020 and 2022 in Beijing, Hebei Province, Gansu Province, Liaoning Province, Guizhou Province, Sichuan Province, Yunnan Province, and Anhui Province in China. The disease samples were mainly collected from plants with typical dieback symptoms, such as gummosis, canker, twig canker spots, and shoot blight (Figure 1). The samples were cut into 0.5×0.5 cm pieces, surface sterilized for 1 min in 75% ethanol, rinsed for 2 min in distilled water, and blotted dry on sterilized filter paper. Then, the samples were transferred onto potato dextrose agar (PDA; 200 g potato, 20 g dextrose, and 20 g agar per L) plates and incubated at 25 °C to obtain pure cultures. Pure cultures were obtained via both single-tip isolation and single-spore isolation. The purified isolates were preserved on PDA slants at 4 °C [16].



Figure 1. Disease symptoms on twigs, branches, and trunks of *Prunus persica* L. in the field. (A): Gummosis trunk; (B): trunk canker; (C,D): twig canker; (E): twig spots; and (F): shoot blight.

2.2. Morphological and Cultural Characterization

The isolates were incubated on PDA at 25 °C or on malt extract agar (MEA; 30 g malt extract, 5 g mycological peptone, and 15 g agar/L), on oatmeal agar (OA; 40 g oatmeal and 5 g agar/L) under near-ultraviolet (UV) light (12 h light/12 h dark) when it was necessary, or on pine needle agar (PNA) [17] to induce sporulation. Colony diameters were measured after 5–7 days of incubation, and the culture characteristics were determined after 14 days [18]. Colony colours were recorded based on the colour charts of Rayner [19]. The

micromorphological structures of mature conidiomata, conidia, and conidiogenous cells were studied on PDA, OA, and MEA [20,21]. Observations were conducted with an Axio-Cam 506 colour Imager Z2 photographic microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, Oberkochen, Germany). Morphological features such as conidial length, width, and size were measured (at least 30/40 per isolate) with a ZEN Pro 2012 (Carl Zeiss Microscopy). The structure of the mature pycnidial wall was observed using microtome sections of 6–10 µm in thickness, which were prepared with a Leica CM 1950 freezing microtome (Leica Biosystems, Nussloch, Germany) and mounted in lactic acid [20–22]. All pure cultures obtained in this study were deposited in the culture collection of the Institute of Plant Protection, Beijing Academy of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences (JZB), China. All herbarium material including holotypes of novel species were deposited in the herbarium of the Institute of Plant Protection, Beijing Academy of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences (JZBH), China, as dry cultures.

2.3. DNA Extraction, PCR Amplification, and Sequencing

Fresh mycelia were scraped from the strains on PDA plates that were grown for seven days at 25 °C and collected in 1.5 mL centrifuge tubes. Genomic DNA was extracted using a TIANcombi DNA Lyse&Det PCR Kit (TIANGEN Biotech Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was carried out using selected genes and primers (Tables 1 and 2). The 25 μ L volume of each PCR mixture included 12.5 μ L of 2× Taq PCR MasterMix (Beijing Bomede Gene Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China), 10.5 μ L of ddH₂O, 0.5 μ L each of forward and reverse primer (Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, China), and 1 μ L of DNA template. The thermal cycler conditions were as follows: initial denaturation for 3 min at 95 °C; 34 cycles of denaturation for 30 s at 95 °C, annealing for 30 s at 58 °C (the internal transcribed spacer region [ITS]), 56 °C (β -tubulin [*tub2*]; actin [*act*]), 54 °C (RNA polymerase II second largest subunit [*rpb2*]; partial translation elongation factor 1-alpha[*tef1*]), or 52 °C (28S large subunit of nuclear ribosomal RNA [LSU]); elongation at 72 °C; and a final extension for 10 min at 72 °C. The PCR products were assessed using agarose gel electrophoresis after staining with ethidium bromide and sequenced at Beijing Qingke Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China).

2.4. Phylogenetic Analyses

For all the isolates obtained in this study, sequence quality was assured by checking the chromatograms using BioEdit 7.0.9.0. All sequences were subjected to BLAST searches in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLASTn) v. 2.15.0 (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) for preliminary identification of isolates. Based on the BLAST results, we identified our isolates as belonging to nine genera, *Ascochyta, Didymella, Nothophoma, Botryosphaeria, Diplodia, Neofusicoccum, Phaeobotryon, Lasiodiplodia,* and *Phaeoacremonium*. Reference sequences for phylogenetic analyses were retrieved from GenBank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/), following the recently updated taxonomic literature (Supplementary Table S1). The sequence dataset of each genus was aligned with MAFFT v. 7 (https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/). BioEdit 7.0.9.0 was used to improve the alignment manually when necessary, such as trimming.

For the phylogenetic analysis of *Didymellaceae* species (*Ascochyta, Didymella*, and *Nothophoma*), gene regions were concatenated for the analyses in the order, ITS, LSU *rpb2*, and *tub2* [23]. For *Botryosphaeriaceae* species (*Botryosphaeria*, *Diplodia*, *Lasiodiplodia*, and *Neofusicoccum*), gene regions were concatenated for the analyses in the order, ITS, *tef1*, and *tub2*, and for *Phaeobotryon* species, the combination of ITS, LSU, and *tef1* was used [24]. For *Phaeoacremonium* species, the *act* and *tub2* concatenated dataset was used [25]. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted using the maximum likelihood (ML) method implemented in RAxML [26,27], the maximum parsimony (MP) method in PAUP v. 4.0b10 [28], and Bayesian posterior probability analysis (BYPP) in MrBayes v.3.2.7a [29].

The ML analyses were performed with RAxML–HPC2 on XSEDE (8.2.12) [27,28] on the CIPRES Science Gateway platform [30] with 1000 nonparametric bootstrapping replicates, and the GTR + GAMMA was the nucleotide evolution model. Bayesian inference (BI) was performed in MrBayes v.3.2.7a [29] on the XSEDE tool. The evolution model was tested by using jModelTest2 on XSEDE in the CIPRES Gateway. MrBayes analyses were run for 1,000,000 generations, sampling the trees at every 100th generation. From the 10,000 trees obtained, the first 2000 representing the burn-in phase were discarded. The remaining 8000 trees were used to calculate posterior probabilities in the majority rule consensus tree.

In PAUP, tree stability was evaluated by 1000 bootstrap replications. Branches of zero length were collapsed, and all multiple most parsimonious trees were saved. Parameters, including tree–length (TL), consistency index (CI), retention index (RI), relative consistency index (RC), and homoplasy index (HI) were calculated. Differences between the trees inferred under different optimality criteria were evaluated using Kishino–Hasegawa tests (KHT). Phylogenetic trees were visualized in FigTree v1.4. The names of the isolates from the present study are marked in red in the trees. ML and MP bootstrap support values greater than 50% (BT) and Bayesian posterior probabilities (PPs) greater than 0.70 are given at the nodes.

Table 1. Gene regions and related primers used for molecular analysis.

Genes	Primers	Sequence (5'–3')	References		
ITS	ITS5	GGAAGTAAAAGTCGTAACAAGG	De Hoog and Gerrits van den Ende (1998) [31]		
ISU	LROR	ACCCGCTGAACTTAAGC	Vilgalys and Hester (1990) [33]		
130	LR5	TCCTGAGGGAAACTTCG	Rehner and Samuels (1994) [34]		
rpb2	RPB2-5F RPB2-7cR	CCCATRGCTTGYTTRCCCAT	Sung et al. (2007) [35] Liu et al. (1999) [36]		
tef1	EF1-688F	CGGTCACTTGATCTACAAGTGC	Alves et al. (2008) [37]		
	EF1-1251R	CCTCGAACTCACCAGTACCG			
tub2	EF1-986R	TACTTGAAGAAGTTCGAGAAGG	Carbone and Kohn (1999) [38]		
	Bt2a	GGTAACCAAATCGGTGCTGCTTTC	Glass and Donaldson (1995) [39]		
	T1	AACATGCGTGAGAGATTGTAAGT	O'Donnell and Cigelnik (1997) [40]		
	Btub2Fd	GTBCACCTYCARACCGGYCARTG	Woudenberg et al. (2009) [41]		
act	ACT-512F	ATGTGCAAGGCCGGTTTCGC			
	ACT-783R	TACGAGTCCTTCTGGCCCAT	Carbone and Kohn (1999) [38]		

Table 2. Selected genes and primers for PCR amplification of each genus.

Family	Genera	ITS	LSU	rpb2	tef1	act	tub2
Didymellaceae	Ascochyta	ITS4/ITS5	LR0R/LR5	RPB2-5F2/ RPB2-7cR	-	-	Btub2Fd/ Btub4Rd
	Didymella	ITS4/ITS5	LR0R/LR5	RPB2-5F2/ RPB2-7cR	-	-	Btub2Fd/ Btub4Rd
	Nothophoma	ITS4/ITS5	LR0R/LR5	RPB2-5F2/ RPB2-7cR	-	-	Btub2Fd/ Btub4Rd
Botryosphaeriaceae	Botryosphaeria	ITS4/ITS5	-	-	EF1-728F/ EF1-986R	-	Bt2a/Bt2b
	Diplodia	ITS4/ITS5	-	-	EF1-728F/ EF1-986R	-	Bt2a/Bt2b
	Neofusicoccum	ITS4/ITS5	-	-	EF1-728F/ EF1-986R		Bt2a/Bt2b
	Phaeobotryon	ITS4/ITS5	LROR/LR5	-	EF1-728F/ EF1-986R	-	-
	Lasiodiplodia	ITS4/ITS5	-	-	EF1-688F/ EF1-1251R	-	T1/Bt2b
Togniniaceae	Phaeoacremonium	-	-	-	-	ACT-512F/ ACT-783R	Bt2a /Bt2b

In the present study, a total of 85 isolates were obtained. These isolates were further identified as belonging to three families and nine genera: *Ascochyta* (eight isolates), *Didymella* (six isolates), *Nothophoma* (22 isolates), *Botryosphaeria* (33 isolates), *Diplodia* (four isolates), *Neofusicoccum* (three isolates), *Phaeobotryon* (three isolates), *Lasiodiplodia* (three isolates), and *Phaeoacremonium* (three isolates). For all identified taxa, updated phylogenetic trees, species descriptions, and illustrations are given. All identified taxa are listed based on the current outline of fungi [23].

Phylogenetic Analysis and Morphological Characterization

Dothideomycetes O.E. Erikss. & Winka.

For the currently accepted treatment of Dothideomycetes, we followed Hongsanan et al. [24]. *Didymellaceae* Gruyter, Aveskamp & Verkley, Mycological Research 113 (4): 516 (2009). *Didymellaceae* is a species-rich family that exhibits a global distribution pattern [42].
Furthermore, forty-four genera and more than 5400 species are accepted in *Didymellaceae* [23]. In the present study, we isolated and identified species belonging to three *Didymellaceae* genera, namely, *Ascochyta* (eight isolates), *Didymella* (six isolates), and *Nothophoma* (22 isolates).

Ascochyta Lib., Plantae Cryptogamicae quas in Arduenna collegit M.A. Libert Fasc. 1: 8 (1830).

Ascochyta is a prominent genus that encompasses not only pathogens but also saprophytic and endophytic fungi that exist on a wide range of substrates [43–47]. The combined dataset of LSU, ITS, rpb2, and tub2 ingroup isolates from 15 species consisted of 2276 characters (891 for LSU, 490 for ITS, 596 for *rpb2*, and 299 for *tub2*), including alignment gaps. Based on the results of jModel test for BI, TrN + I was determined to be the best model for the LSU dataset, TIM2ef + I was determined to be the best model for the ITS dataset, TIM3 + I + G was determined to be the best model for the *rpb2* dataset, and TIM3 + G was determined to be the best model for the *tub2* dataset. *Didymella aeria* (CGMCC 3.18353) and Didymella sinensis (CGMCC 3.18348) were used as outgroup taxa. The best-scoring ML tree with a final likelihood value of -5834.327678 is shown in Figure 2. The matrix had 281 distinct alignment patterns, with 12.84% undetermined characters or gaps. The parameters for the model of the combined dataset were as follows: estimated base frequencies, A = 0.239085, C = 0.240356, G = 0.275806, T = 0.244754; substitution rates, AC = 1.072413, AG = 4.489167, AT = 1.459809, CG = 0.739866, CT = 13.212760, and GT = 1.000000; and gamma distribution shape parameter $\alpha = 0.020000$. According to the results of the multilocus phylogenetic analysis, eight isolates from *P. persica* in this study were clustered into an independent branch with 92% bootstrap support and 1.0 BYPP (Figure 2).

Ascochyta prunus Y. Zhou, W. Zhang & J.Y. Yan, sp. nov., (Figure 3).

MycoBank number: MB851694.

Etymology—The name refers to the host genus, Prunus.

Holotype—JZBH380109.

Associated with twig canker and branch canker in *Prunus persica*. **Sexual morph**: not observed. **Asexual morph**: *Conidiomata* pycnidial, solitary or aggregated; (sub) globose or flask-shaped; glabrous; semi-immersed in or superficial on the agar; ostiolate, 104–225 $(-30) \times 95-185 (-20) \mu m$. *Ostiole* single. The *pycnidial wall* is pseudoparenchymatous and composed of oblong to isodiametric cells, 2–4 layers, and 6–11 μm thick, with 2–3 layers pigmented. *Conidiogenous cells* 4–8 × 3–6 μm (av. = 6.1 × 4.9 μm , n = 30), phialidic, hyaline, smooth, (sub) globose, ampulliform to lageniform, without pigmented layers. *Conidia* 3.9–6.5 × 2.2–3.5 μm (av. = 5.5 × 2.9 μm , n = 50), greatly variable in shape and size, oblong, ovoid, or broad ellipsoidal, smooth and thin-walled, aseptate.



Figure 2. Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogram reconstructed from the combined sequences of LSU, ITS, *rpb2*, and *tub2* of *Ascochyta* species. Bootstrap support values for ML and maximum parsimony (MP) greater than 50% and Bayesian posterior probabilities greater than 0.70 are indicated above the branches as ML BS/PP/MP BS. The scale bar represents the expected number of changes per site. The tree is rooted with *Didymella sinensis* (CGMCC 3.18348) and *Didymella aeria* (CGMCC 3.18353). The novel species proposed are indicated in red font, and the type specimens are indicated in bold.



Figure 3. *Ascochyta prunus* (JZB380109, Ex-type) (**A**,**B**) Colony on OA (front and reverse) (**C**,**D**) Colony on MEA (front and reverse) (**E**,**F**) Colony on PDA (front and reverse) (**G**) Pycnidia forming on OA. (**H**) Pycnidium. (**I**) Section through the pycnidium. (**J**) Section of the pycnidial wall. (**K**–**M**) Conidiogenous cells. (**N**) Conidia. Scale bars: (**H**) = 100 μ m; (**I**) = 50 μ m; and (**J**–**N**) = 5 μ m.

Culture characteristics—Colonies on OA were 80–81 mm in diameter after 7 days, margin regular, covered by floccose aerial mycelia, dense, white; reverse black. Colonies grown on MEA had an 84–85 mm diameter after 7 days; margin regular, aerial mycelia sparse, flattened, light grey to white, with some radial line near the centre, reverse concolourous. Colonies on PDA were similar to those on OA but somewhat slower growing, with a 74–76 mm diameter after 7 days, covered by floccose aerial mycelia that were whiter and denser than those on OA, reverse olivaceous (Figure 3).

Material examined—Changping and Miyun Districts, Beijing municipality, China, from twig canker and branch canker of *Prunus persica*, May 2020 and May 2021. Y Zhou. W Zhang, (holotype JZBH380109 as dry culture, paratype JZBH380110-JZBH380116 as dry cultures); ex type living cultures JZB380109, ex paratype JZB380110-JZB380116.

Notes—In the phylogenetic analysis of the present study, eight isolates from *Prunus* developed a distinct lineage from other known *Ascochyta* species with 100% ML, 99% MP bootstrap, and 1.00 BYPP values. Phylogenetically, our isolates showed close affinity to *A. pisi*, but they can be distinguished by their conidial length, whereas our isolates developed smaller conidia (3.9–6.5 µm) than *A. pisi* (7–16 µm, CBS 122785; 10–16 µm, CBS 122751) [21,48]. The nucleotide differences between JZB380109 and *A. pisi* (CBS 126.54) are LSU: 1.13% (1/880 bp), ITS: 2.46% (12/487 bp), *rpb2*: 13.88% (126/886 bp), and *tub2*: 4.50% (15/333 bp). Based on molecular phylogeny and morphology, herein we introduce isolates from this study as *Ascochyta pruni*, a new species from China.

Didymella Sacc., Michelia 2 (6): 57 (1880).

Didymella was established by Saccardo in 1880, with the description of *Didymella exigua* [42]. These species are plant pathogens and saprobes on a wide range of hosts [42]. In the present study, six isolates were identified as belonging to *Didymella*. The combined dataset of LSU, ITS, *rpb2*, and *tub2* with 13 species as ingroup consisted of 2239 characters (854 for LSU, 488 for ITS, 597 for *rpb2*, and 300 for *tub2*, including alignment gaps). TrN + I was determined to be the best model for the LSU dataset, TIM2ef + I was determined to be the best model for the *rpb2* dataset, and TrN + I was determined to be the best model for the *tub2* dataset. *Ascochyta boeremae* (CBS 373.84) and *Ascochyta fabae* (CBS 524.77) were used as outgroup taxa.

The best-scoring ML tree with a final likelihood value of -5647.047275 is shown in Figure 4. The matrix had 242 distinct alignment patterns, with 1.88% undetermined characters or gaps. The parameters for the model of the combined dataset were as follows: estimated base frequencies: A = 0.236386, C = 0.243959, G = 0.279575, and T = 0.240080; substitution rates: AC = 1.269086, AG = 4.594322, AT = 1.024640, CG = 0.692657, CT = 14.061872, and GT = 1.000000; and gamma distribution shape parameter α = 0.020000. According to the results of the phylogenetic analyses of this study, our strains were clustered together with *Didymella glomerata*, with 98% ML and 1.00 BYPP values (Figure 4).

Didymella glomerata (Corda) Qian Chen & L. Cai, Stud. Mycol. 82: 176 (2015) (Figure 5).

MycoBank number: MB814105;

 \equiv *Phoma glomerata* (Corda) Wollenw. & Hochapfel, Z. Parasitenk. 8: 592. 1936.

≡ Peyronellaea glomerata (Corda) Goid. ex Togliani, Ann. Sperim. Agrar. III 6: 93. 1952.

Associated with twig canker in *Prunus persica*. **Sexual morph**: not observed. **Asexual morph**: *Conidiomata pycnidial*, solitary or aggregated, globose or subglobose, $100-240 \times 80-220 \mu m$ diameter, glabrous, semi-immersed in or superficial on the agar, papillate, with 1 ostiole. *Pycnidial wall*, pseudoparenchymatous and composed of iso-diametric cells, 3–7 layers, outer layers pigmented. *Conidiogenous cells* 5–10 × 5–9 μm (av. = 8.7 × 7.6 μm , n = 30), phialidic, hyaline, smooth, mostly ampulliform, sometimes (sub) globose. *Conidia* 5–8 × 2.5–3.5 μm (av. = 7.1 × 3.6 μm , n = 50), greatly variable in shape and size, oblong, ovoid or obovate, smooth- and thin-walled, aseptate, partially guttulate.



Figure 4. Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogram reconstructed from the combined sequences of LSU, ITS, *rpb2*, and *tub2* of *Didymella* isolates. Bootstrap support values for ML and maximum parsimony (MP) greater than 50% and Bayesian posterior probabilities greater than 0.70 are indicated above the branches as ML BS/PP/MP BS. The scale bar represents the expected number of changes per site. The tree is rooted with *Ascochyta fabae* (CBS 524.77) and *Ascochyta boeremae* (CBS 373.84). Isolates from this study are marked in red, and the type specimens are indicated in bold.



Figure 5. *Didymella glomerata* (JZB380117) (**A**,**B**) Colony on OA (front and reverse) (**C**,**D**) Colony on MEA (front and reverse) (**E**,**F**) Colony on PDA (front and reverse) (**G**) Pycnidia forming on OA. (**H**) Pycnidium. (**I**) Section through the pycnidium. (**J**) Section of the pycnidial wall. (**K**,**L**) Conidiogenous cells. (**M**) Conidia. Scale bars: (**H**,**I**)= 50 μ m; (**J**) = 10 μ m; and (**K**–**M**) = 5 μ m.

Culture characteristics—Colonies on OA, 55–57 mm in diameter after 7 days, margin regular, smoky grey to grey olivaceous, white near the margin, covered by fluffy, dense, white to grey aerial mycelia; reverse concolourous and white near the margin. Colonies on MEA, 68–69 mm in diameter after 7 days, margin regular, covered by floccose, white and greenish olivaceous aerial mycelia, reverse concolourous, white near the margin. Colonies on PDA, 76–79 mm in diameter after 7 days, similar to those on MEA but somewhat faster growing and sparser, reverse concolourous, white margin narrower than those on OA and MEA.

Material examined—Changping and Miyun Districts, Beijing municipality, China, from the twig canker of *Prunus persica*, Aug. 2021. Y Zhou.; Living cultures JZB380117–JZB380122.

Notes—Six isolates from twig spot and gummosis trunk of peach (*Prunus persica* L.) in this study were phylogenetically related to *Didymella glomerata* (Figure 4). *Didymella glomerata*, known to cause diseases in dicots and conifers, is generally found in the rhizosphere flora but has recently been identified as a cause of stem canker in peach trees, damping off and root necrosis in fennel, and stem rot in coriander [49–52]. This is the first report of this fungus on *Prunus persica* in China.

Nothophoma Qian Chen & L. Cai, Stud. Mycol. 82: 212 (2015).

This genus was described by Chen et al. [21] and typified with *Nothophoma infossa*. There are 23 accepted species in this genus (Index Fungorum 2023). For the taxonomic treatments of this genus, we followed Keirnan et al. [53]. The combined dataset of LSU, ITS, *rpb2*, and *tub2* ingroup isolates from seven species consisted of 2228 characters (848 for LSU, 485 for ITS, 596 for *rpb2*, and 299 for *tub2*, including alignment gaps). TrN was determined to be the best model for the LSU dataset, K80 was determined to be the best model for the LSU dataset, K80 was determined to be the best model for *tub2*. *Didymella protuberans* (CBS 391.93) and *Didymella protuberans* (CBS 381.96) were used as outgroup taxa.

The best-scoring ML tree with a final likelihood value of -4754.240240 is given in Figure 6. The matrix had 180 distinct alignment patterns, with 6.46% undetermined characters or gaps. The parameters for the model of the combined dataset were as follows: estimated base frequencies, A = 0.237873, C = 0.242414, G = 0.279069, and T = 0.240643; substitution rates, AC = 1.232725 and AG = 3.271098; AT = 1.025485; CG = 0.670080; CT = 11.394298; and GT = 1.000000; and gamma distribution shape parameter α = 0.020000 (Figure 6).

Nothophoma pruni Chethana, J.Y. Yan, X.H. Li & K.D. Hyde, Mycosphere 10 (1): 520 (2019) Figure 7.

MycoBank number: MB828518.

Associated with twig spot and gummosis trunk of *Prunus persica*. **Sexual morph**: not observed. **Asexual morph**: *Conidiomata pycnidial*, solitary or aggregated on agar, globose to irregularly shaped, black, and ostiolate, measuring $63-240 \times 60-230 \mu m$, single and conspicuous. *Pycnidial wall* pale brown, pseudoparenchymatous, composed of isodiametric cells, 3–6 layers, 1–2 outer layers slightly pigmented. *Conidiogenous cells* are phialidic, hyaline, doliiform to ampulliform, and variable in size. *Conidia* 4–7 × 3–4.7 μm (av. = 5.9 × 3.8 μm , n = 50), variable in shape and size, cylindrical to obovoid or oblong, thin-walled, smooth, aseptate, hyaline.

Culture characteristics—Colonies on OA 57–60 mm in diameter after 7 days with regular margins. Aerial mycelium white, floccose to woolly. Immersed mycelium grey–green olivaceous to deep olivaceous near the colony centre and grey near the margin; reverse concolourous. Colonies on MEA 36–41 mm in diameter after 7 days, margin regular. Aerial mycelia covering the whole colony, compact, white to pale grey; reverse concentric circles of different colours, orange to yellow. Colonies on PDA, 60–66 mm in diameter after 7 days, aerial mycelium sparse, white to grey–green; reverse deep brown, grey near the margin.

Material examined—Pinggu, Changping, and Haidian districts, Beijing municipality, China, from twig spot and gummosis trunk of *Prunus persica*. Mar and Jul 2021. Y Zhou,

DL Ma, Y Li.; living cultures JZB380123, JZB380125-JZB380132, and JZB380135. Apr 2021. Y Zhou, DL Ma, Y Li; living culture JZB380124.

Notes—In the present study, 11 isolates from twig spots and gummosis trunks of peach were phylogenetically closely related to *Nothophoma pruni* (Figure 6). *Nothophoma pruni* has been reported as saprobic on diseased leaves of *Prunus avium* [54]. This is the first report of this fungus on the host *Prunus persica* worldwide.

Nothophoma quercina Qian Chen & L. Cai. in Qian Chen and L. Cai, Stud. Mycology 82: 213 (2015) Figure 8.



Figure 6. Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogram reconstructed from the combined sequences of LSU, ITS, *rpb2*, and *tub2* of *Nothophoma* isolates. Bootstrap support values for ML and maximum parsimony (MP) greater than 50% and Bayesian posterior probabilities greater than 0.70 are indicated above the branches as ML BS/PP/MP BS. The scale bar represents the expected number of changes per site. The tree is rooted with *Didymella protuberans* (CBS 391.93) and *Didymella protuberans* (CBS 381.96). Isolates from this study are marked in red, and the type specimens are indicated in bold.



Figure 7. *Nothophoma pruni* (JZB380123) (**A**,**B**) Colony on OA (front and reverse) (**C**,**D**) Colony on MEA (front and reverse) (**E**,**F**) Colony on PDA (front and reverse) (**G**) Pycnidia forming on OA. (**H**) Pycnidium. (**I**) Section through the pycnidium. (**J**–**K**) Section of the pycnidial wall. (**L**) Conidia. Scale bars: (**H**) = 100 μ m; (**I**–**K**) = 50 μ m; and (**L**) = 5 μ m.



Figure 8. *Nothophoma quercina* (JZB380133) (**A**,**B**) Colony on OA (front and reverse) (**C**,**D**) Colony on MEA (front and reverse) (**E**,**F**) Colony on PDA (front and reverse) (**G**) Pycnidia forming on OA. (**H**) Pycnidium. (**I**) Section through the pycnidium. (**J**) Section of the pycnidial wall. (**K**–**N**) Conidiogenous cells. (**O**) Conidia. Scale bars: (**H**) = 100 μ m; (**I**–**N**) = 50 μ m; and (**O**) = 5 μ m.

MycoBank number: MB814086.

Associated with shoot blight and gummosis in *Prunus persica*. **Sexual morph**: not observed. **Asexual morph**: *Conidiomata pycnidial*, solitary or aggregated on agar, globose, or peroblate to suboblate, measuring $130-320 \times 120-270 \mu m$ with a single, conspicuous, nonpapillate ostiole. *Pycnidial wall* pale brown, pseudoparenchymatous, composed of isodiametric cells, 3–5 layers, and 1–2 outer layers that are slightly pigmented. *Conidiogenous cells*, 6–10 × 4–8 µm (av. = 8.3 × 6.3 µm, n = 30), phialidic, hyaline, smooth, doliiform to ampulliform, variable in size. *Conidia* 4–6 × 3–5 µm (av. = 5.0 × 3.9 µm, n = 50), variable in shape and size, subglobose to oval or obtuse, thin-walled, smooth, aseptate, initially hyaline, light brown when mature.

Culture characteristics—Colonies on OA were 61–69 mm in diameter after 7 days with regular margins. Aerial mycelium white, floccose to woolly. Immersed mycelium grey–green olivaceous to light olivaceous near the colony centre and white near the margin; reverse concolourous. Colonies on MEA were 55–75 mm in diameter after 7 days, margins regular. Aerial mycelia covering the whole colony were compact, white to pale grey, with some radially furrowed zones; reverse concentric circles of different colours, orange to yellow and light yellow near the margin. Colonies on PDA were 65–68 mm in diameter after 7 days, margins regular, covered by floccose, white and greenish olivaceous aerial mycelia, reverse concolourous, light green near the margin.

Material examined—Pinggu and Haidian districts, Beijing municipality, China, from shoot blight and gummosis trunk of *Prunus persica*. May, Jul 2020 and Mar, Jul 2021. Y. Zhou, DL. Ma, and Y. Li, living cultures JZB380133, JZB380134, and JZB380136-JZB380144.

Notes—In this study, 11 isolates obtained from shoot blight and gummosis trunk of peach (*Prunus persica*) were phylogenetically closely related to *Nothophoma quercina* (Figure 6). Morphologically, our isolates share the same characteristics as given in the type species description [21]. *Nothophoma quercina* has been reported as the main pathogen causing branch blight [55,56]. This is the first report of this fungus infecting the host *Prunus persica* in China.

Dothidiomycetes families incertae sedis.

For taxonomic treatments, we followed Hongsanan et al. [24].

Botryosphaeriaceae Theiss. & Syd. Annales Mycologici 16 (1–2): 16 (1918).

Botryosphaeriaceae includes diverse pathogenic members that are classified as plant opportunistic fungal pathogens [57,58]. Species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* cause gummosis and shoot blight disease in peach [59–63]. These species are also important pathogens of grapevines and are associated with a variety of diseases [64]. Additionally, more than 20 species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* have been reported to cause *Botryosphaeria* dieback [65]. For taxonomic treatments, we followed Hongsanan et al. [24] and Wu et al. [66].

Botryosphaeria Ces. & De Not., Comment. Soc. Crittog. Ital. 1 (4): 211 (1863) [MB#635].

For the taxonomic treatment of this genus, we followed Zhang et al. [67]. The combined dataset of ITS, *tef1*, and *tub2* ingroup isolates from nine species consisted of 1436 characters (611 for ITS, 362 for *tef1*, and 463 for *tub2*, including alignment gaps). TrN + G was determined to be the best model for the ITS dataset, TPM2uf + I was the best model for the *tef1* dataset, and TIM3 + G was the best model for the *tub2* dataset. *Diplodia corticola* (CBS 112546) and *Diplodia corticola* (CBS 112549) were used as outgroup taxa.

The best-scoring ML tree with a final likelihood value of -3605.559999 is shown in Figure 9. The matrix had 327 distinct alignment patterns, 16.87% of which were undetermined characters or gaps. The parameters for the model of the combined dataset were as follows: estimated base frequencies, A = 0.216286, C = 0.301284, G = 0.257502, and T = 0.224928; substitution rates, AC = 1.420115, AG = 2.115530, AT = 1.012019, CG = 1.284585, CT = 3.876955, and GT = 1.000000; and gamma distribution shape parameter α = 0.319888 (Figure 9).



Figure 9. Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogram reconstructed from the combined ITS, *tef1*, and *tub2* sequences of *Botryosphaeria* isolates. Bootstrap support values for ML and maximum parsimony (MP) greater than 50% and Bayesian posterior probabilities greater than 0.70 are indicated above the branches as ML BS/BPP/MP BS. The scale bar represents the expected number of changes per site. The tree is rooted with *Diplodia corticola* (CBS 112546) and *Diplodia corticola* (CBS 112549). Isolates from this study are marked in red. and the type specimens are indicated in bold.



Botryosphaeria dothidea (Moug.) Ces. & De Not., Comm. Soc. crittog. Ital. 1(fasc. 4): 212 (1863) (Figure 10).

Figure 10. *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (JZB310251). (**A**,**B**) Colony on PDA (front and reverse); (**C**,**D**): Appearance of conidiomata on PNA; (**E**,**F**) Conidiogenous cells; (**G**) Conidia; Scale bars: (**E**–**G**) = 20 μm.

MycoBank number: MB183247;

Basionym: Sphaeria dothidea Moug., In: Fries, Syst. Mycol. (Lundae) 2(2): 423. 1823.

- = Botryosphaeria berengeriana De Not., Sfer. Ital. 82. 1863 [1864].
- = Fusicoccum aesculi Corda, In: Sturm, Deutschl. Fl., Abth. 3, 2: 111. 1829.
- = Sphaeria coronillae Desm., Annls Sci. Nat., Bot., sér. 2 13: 188. 1840.
- \equiv *Macrophoma coronillae* (Desm.) Höhn., Ber. Deutsch. Bot. Ges. 28:479. 1910.
- \equiv *Macrophomopsis coronillae* (Desm.) Petr., Annls mycol. 22(1/2): 108. 1924.
- \equiv Dothiorella coronillae (Desm.) Petr., Sydowia 16(1–6): 188. 1963.

 \equiv *Fusicoccum coronillae* (Desm.) Vanev. & Aa, In: van der Aa & Vanev, A Revision of the Species Described in Phyllosticta (Utrecht): 192. 2002.

= Phyllosticta divergens Sacc., Malpighia 5: 274. 1891.

Associated with Prunus persica branch canker. **Sexual morph**: not observed. **Asexual morph**: *Conidiomata* pycnidial, solitary, globose to ovoid, dark brown to black, embedded in needle tissue, semi-immersed to superficial, with a central ostiole. *Conidiogenous cells* holoblastic, discrete, hyaline, cylindrical to lageniform, phialidic with periclinal thickening, $11-18 \times 2-4 \mu m$ (av. = $16.1 \times 3.1 \mu m$, n = 30). Paraphyses not observed. *Conidia* hyaline, thin-walled, smooth with granular contents, aseptate, narrowly or irregularly fusoid, base subtruncate to bluntly rounded, apex subobtuse, $18-28 \times 4-7 \mu m$ (av. = $23.9 \times 5.8 \mu m$, n = 50; L/W = 4.1).

Culture characteristics—Colonies on PDA had fluffy aerial mycelia with irregular margins, with appressed moderately dense mycelial mats that were initially white and then smoky grey to dark olivaceous, covering the dish after 5 days at 25 °C in the dark.

Material examined—Pinggu, Changping, and Haidian districts, Beijing municipality, China, from branch canker of *Prunus persica*, May 2020, May 2021, and July 2021. Y Zhou & Z.Z Zhi; living cultures JZB310240-JZB310243, JZB310245-JZB310258, and JZB310267-JZB310276; Hebei Province, Qianghuangdao City, Changli County, from branch canker of *Prunus persica*, September 2021. Y Zhou, living cultures JZB310261, JZB310262, and JZB310263; Guizhou Province, Guiyang City, Kaiyang County, from branch canker of *Prunus persica*, Apr. 2021. Y Zhou & Y Li, living cultures JZB310244, JZB310254, JZB310255, JZB310264, JZB310265, and JZB310266; and Sichuan Province, Mianyang City, from gummosis trunk of *Prunus persica*, September 2021. Y Zhou & JH Jiang, living cultures JZB310259, JZB310260.

Note—In the phylogenetic analysis of the present study, 15 isolates from branch canker and gummosis trunk of peach from four provinces in China were clustered together with *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (Figure 9). Further, these isolates were morphologically similar to those given in the type species description. *Botryosphaeria dothidea* is an opportunistic pathogen with a wide host range [68]. It has been reported to cause shoot blight [63], and it is also related to gummosis-causing agents [62].

Diplodia Fr., Ann. Sci. Nat., Bot. Sér. 2, 1: 302 (1834).

For the taxonomic treatment of this genus, we followed Zhang et al. [67]. The *combined* dataset of ITS, *tef1*, and *tub2* ingroup isolates of 13 species consisted of 1261 characters (540 for ITS, 300 for *tef1*, and 421 for *tub2*, including alignment gaps). TPM3 + I + G was determined to be the best model for ITS, TrN + G was determined to be the best model for *tef1*, and TrN + I + G was the best model for the *tub2* dataset. *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* (CBS 164.96) was used as the outgroup taxon.

The best-scoring ML tree with a final likelihood value of -3415.055016 is given in Figure 11. The matrix had 270 distinct alignment patterns, with 7.54% undetermined characters or gaps. The parameters for the model of the combined dataset were as follows: estimated base frequencies, A = 0.200294, C = 0.314841, G = 0.255463, and T = 0.229402; substitution rates, AC = 0.879909, AG = 2.534595, AT = 1.049732, CG = 1.056783, CT = 5.084602, and GT = 1.000000; and gamma distribution shape parameter α = 0.104584 (Figure 11).



Figure 11. Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogram reconstructed from the combined ITS, *tef1*, and *tub2* sequences of *Diplodia* isolates. Bootstrap support values for ML and maximum parsimony (MP) greater than 50% and Bayesian posterior probabilities greater than 0.70 are indicated above the branches as ML BS/BPP/MP BS. The scale bar represents the expected number of changes per site. The tree is rooted with *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* (CBS 164.96). Isolates from this study are marked in red, and the type specimens are indicated in bold.



Diplodia seriata De Not., Mém. R. Accad. Sci. Torino, Ser. 27: 26 (1845) Figure 12.

Figure 12. *Diplodia seriata* (JZB310241) (**A**,**B**) Colony on PDA (front and reverse); (**C**) Appearance of conidiomata on PDA; (**D**,**E**) Conidiogenous cells; (**F**,**G**) Conidia; Scale bars: (**D**–**F**) = 10 μ m and (**G**) = 5 μ m.

MycoBank number: MB180468.

Associated with twig spots on *Prunus persica*. **Sexual morph**: not observed. **Asexual morph**: *Conidiomata pycnidial*, solitary, globose to ovoid, dark brown to black, embedded, semi-immersed to superficial. *Conidiogenous cells* hyaline, smooth, thin-walled, and discrete, producing a single conidia at the tip, proliferating internally and giving rise to periclinal thickening or proliferating concurrently, forming 2–3 annellations, 8–15 × 3–6 µm (av. = $11.7 \times 4.6 \mu m$, n = 30). *Conidia* hyaline, thin-walled, smooth, aseptate, ovoid, apex subobtuse, becoming brown when mature, $19-28 \times 9-12 \mu m$ (av. = $23.2 \times 10.4 \mu m$, n = 50; L/W = 2.2).

Culture characteristics—Colonies on PDA had fluffy aerial mycelia with irregular margins, appressed moderately dense mycelial mats and smoky grey to dark olivaceous, covering the dish after 5 days at 25 °C in the dark.

Material examined—Pinggu district, Beijing municipality, China, from twig spots on *Prunus persica*, April 2020. Y Zhou (living culture JZB310240-JZB31043).

Notes—In the present study, we examined the morphology and phylogeny of samples of peach twig spots from China and identified these isolates as *Diplodia seriata* (Figure 11). *Diplodia seriata* (syn. *B. obtusa*) has been reported in many countries and is recognized as an important pathogen of stone, pome, and soft fruit trees, causing cankers, leaf spots, and black fruit rot [69–72].

Neofusicoccum Crous, Slippers & A.J.L. Phillips, Stud. Mycol. 55: 247 (2006).

Neofusicoccum was introduced by Crous et al. [73] as a species that is morphologically similar to but phylogenetically distinct from *Botryosphaeria* and thus could no longer be included in that genus. For the taxonomic treatment of this genus, we followed Zhang et al. [67]. The *combined* dataset of ITS, *tef1*, and *tub2* included 24 ingroup isolates from 11 species and consisted of 1406 characters 542 for ITS, 441 for *tef1*, and 423 for *tub2*, including alignment gaps. TIM1 + I was determined to be the best model for the ITS dataset, HKY + G was determined to be the best model for the *tub2* dataset. *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS 115476) was used as the outgroup taxon.

The best-scoring ML tree with a final likelihood value of -2311.055412 is given in Figure 13. The matrix had 101 distinct alignment patterns, with 8.20% undetermined characters or gaps. The parameters for the model of the combined dataset were as

follows: estimated base frequencies, A = 0.204892, C = 0.316100, G = 0.266872, and T = 0.212136; substitution rates, AC = 0.804456, AG = 7.315164, AT = 3.072031, CG = 1.357888, CT = 9.437879, and GT = 1.000000; and gamma distribution shape parameter α = 1.010866 (Figure 13).



Figure 13. Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogram reconstructed from the combined ITS, *tef1*, and *tub2* sequences of *Neofusicoccum* isolates. Bootstrap support values for ML and maximum parsimony (MP) greater than 50% and Bayesian posterior probabilities greater than 0.70 are indicated above the branches as ML BS/BPP/MP BS. The scale bar represents the expected number of changes per site. The tree is rooted with *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (CBS 115476). Isolates from this study are marked in red, and the type specimens are indicated in bold.

Neofusicoccum occulatum Sakalidis & T. Burgess, Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 60 (3): 333–344 (2010) (Figure 14).

MycoBank number: MB518777.

Associated with twig canker on *Prunus persica*. **Sexual morph**: not observed. **Asexual morph**: *Conidiomata* pycnidial, produced on PDA, solitary, globose to ovoid, dark brown to black, 924–2566 µm. *Conidiogenous cells* discrete, hyaline, cylindrical to lageniform, 7–25 × 1.6–3.4 µm (av. = $12.8 \times 2.5 \mu m$, n = 30). *Conidia*, hyaline, smooth, fusiform to ellipsoidal with an obtuse apex, thin-walled, septate, $14–21 \times 6–10 \mu m$ (av. = $18 \times 7 \mu m$, n = 50; L/W = 2.5).



Figure 14. *Neofusicoccum occulatum* (JZB3120010) (**A**,**B**) Colony on PDA (front and reverse); (**C**,**D**) appearance of conidiomata on PDA; (**E**) conidia developing on conidiogenous cells; (**F**,**G**) conidia; Scale bars: (**E**) = $20 \ \mu$ m; (**F**) = $5 \ \mu$ m; and (**G**) = $10 \ \mu$ m.

Culture characteristics—Colony on PDA superficial, grey, fluffy, reverse dark brown to black, and colonies covering the 90 mm diameter Petri dish were incubated for 5 days in the dark at $25 \degree$ C.

Material examined—Changping district, Beijing municipality, China, from the twig canker of *Prunus persica*, Aug. 2021. Y Zhou (living cultures JZB3600010- JZB3600012).

Notes—In the phylogenetic analysis of the present study, three isolates obtained from *Prunus* clustered together with the *Neofusicoccum occulatum* type species (CBS128008). Morphologically, our isolates have similar characteristics to the *Ne. occulatum* type species [74], thus we identified our isolates as *Ne. occulatum*. *Neofusicoccum occulatum* was reported as the pathogen causing shoot blight in *Platycladus orientalis* [74]. In the present study, we presented the morphology and phylogeny of peach twig canker samples from China and identified these isolates as *Neofusicoccum occulatum* (Figures 13 and 14).

Phaeobotryon Theiss. & Syd., Annales Mycologici 13 (3-4): 664 (1915).

Phaeobotryon was introduced by Theiss. and Syd. to accommodate *Dothidae cercidis* as *Phaeobotryon cercidis* and the species which are phylogenetically and morphologically distinguished from the other genera in *Botryosphaeriaceae* [57,75]. For the taxonomic treatment of this genus, we followed Zhang et al. [67]. The *combined* dataset of ITS, LSU, and *tef1* from 21 ingroup isolates of seven species consisted of 1272 characters (449 for ITS, 558 for LSU, and 265 for *tef1*, including alignment gaps). TIM1ef + I was determined to be the best model for the ITS dataset, TrN + I for the LSU dataset, and HKY + G was determined to be the best model for the *tef1* dataset. *Barriopsis iraniana* (CBS 124698) was used as the outgroup taxon.

The best-scoring ML tree with a final likelihood value of -2724.623212 is given in Figure 15. The matrix had 144 distinct alignment patterns, 19.13% of which were undetermined characters or gaps. The parameters for the model of the combined dataset were as follows: estimated base frequencies, A = 0.225969, C = 0.265270, G = 0.277126, and T = 0.231634; substitution rates, AC = 0.866717, AG = 2.192592, AT = 0.532373, CG = 0.670797, CT = 5.651745, and GT = 1.000000; and gamma distribution shape parameter α = 0.836999 (Figure 15).

Phaeobotryon rhois C.M. Tian, X.L. Fan & K.D. Hyde, Phytotaxa 205(2): 95 (2015) (Figure 16).



Figure 15. Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogram reconstructed from the combined sequences of ITS, LSU, and *tef1* of *Phaeobotryon* isolates. Bootstrap support values for ML and maximum parsimony (MP) greater than 50% and Bayesian posterior probabilities greater than 0.70 are indicated above the branches as ML BS/BPP/MP BS. The scale bar represents the expected number of changes per site. The tree is rooted with *Barriopsis iraniana* CBS 124698. Isolates from this study are marked in red, and the type specimens are indicated in bold.



Figure 16. *Phaeobotryon rhois* (JZB3600007) (**A**,**B**) Colony on PDA (front and reverse); (**C**,**D**) Appearance of conidiomata on PDA; (**E**) Germinating conidia; (**F**,**G**) Conidia developing on conidiogenous cells; (**H**–**J**) Conidia; Scale bars: (**E**) = 20 μ m; (**F**) = 10 μ m; (**G**) = 20 μ m; (**H**,**I**) = 5 μ m; and (**J**) = 10 μ m.

MycoBank number: MB 811599; Facesoffungi number: FoF 00596.

Associated with twig canker of *Prunus persica* **Sexual morph**: not observed. **Asexual morph**: *Conidiomata* pycnidial, produced on PDA, solitary, globose to ovoid, dark brown to black, 274.73–1155 μ m. *Conidiogenous cells* discrete, hyaline, cylindrical to lageniform, 6–18 × 3–7 μ m (av. = 13.3 × 4.3 μ m, n = 30). *Conidia* ellipsoid to oblong or subcylindrical or obovoid, smooth to verruculose, moderately thick-walled, guttulate, ends rounded, initial hyaline, aseptate, becoming brown, 1-septate when mature, 18–29 × 10–16 μ m (av. = 25 × 13 μ m, n = 50, L/W = 1.9).

Culture characteristics—The colonies were originally white and produced dark green to black pigments after they had been incubated for 7–10 days. The texture was felty with an appressed mycelial mat and fluffy aerial mycelia near the centre, with regular edges. Colonies reached the 90 mm diameter of a Petri dish after 5 days in the dark at 25 $^{\circ}$ C.

Material examined—Pinggu district, Beijing municipality, China, from the twig canker of *Prunus persica*, Aug. 2021. Y Zhou, DL Ma, and ZZ He, living cultures JZB3600007-JZB3600009.

Notes—In the present study, we examined the morphology and phylogeny of peach twig canker samples from China and identified these isolates as *Phaeobotryon rhois* (Figure 16). *Phaeobotryon rhois* is known to cause canker and dieback disease in *Rhus typhina* in China [76]. This is the first report of *P. rhois* being associated with twig canker disease in peach.

Lasiodiplodia Ellis & Everh., Bot. Gaz. 21: 92 (1896).

Lasiodiplodia species are cosmopolitan and have an extensive host and geographical range. They are pathogenic on economically important fruit crops [57]. For the taxonomic treatment of this genus, we followed Zhang et al. [67] and Xia et al. [77]. The combined ITS, *tef1*, and *tub2* dataset of 79 ingroup strains from 43 species consisted of 1158 characters, (415 for ITS, 332 for *tef1*, and 411 for *tub2*, including alignment gaps). TVM + I was determined to be the best model for the ITS dataset, HKY + I + G was determined to be the best model for the *tub2* dataset. *Diplodia seriata* (CBS 112555) and *Diplodia mutila* (CMW 7060) were used as the outgroup taxon.

The best-scoring ML tree with a final likelihood value of -5119.098465 is given in Figure 17. The matrix had 357 distinct alignment patterns, 13.73% of which were undetermined characters or gaps. The parameters for the model of the combined dataset were as follows: estimated base frequencies, A = 0.209134, C = 0.307908, G = 0.255624, and T = 0.227335; substitution rates, AC = 1.006140, AG = 3.800814, AT = 1.344431, CG = 0.991903, CT = 5.144516, and GT = 1.000000; and gamma distribution shape parameter α = 0.798124 (Figure 17).

Lasiodiplodia pruni Y. Zhou, W. Zhang & J.Y. Yan, sp. nov., (Figure 18).

MycoBank number: MB 852445.

Etymology—The name refers to the host genus, Prunus.

Holotype-JZBH3130029.

Associated with gummosis trunk in *Prunus persica*. **Sexual morph**: not observed. **Asexual morph**: *Conidiomata* pycnidial produced on PDA, superficial or rarely semi-immersed, black, solitary, globose to subglobose with a central ostiole, with or without papilla. *Conidiogenous cells* $10-23 \times 3-6.8 \mu m$ (av. = $17.7 \times 6.6 \mu m$, n =30) hyaline, smooth, cylindrical, holoblastic, *Conidia* initially hyaline, thick-walled, had a wall 0.6–1.1 μm thick, ellipsoid to ovoid with a rounded or slightly tapered apex, $12.8-16.4 \times 6.4-9.2 \mu m$ (av. = $14.7 \times 7.8 \mu m$, n = 50, L/W = 1.8), then turn brown with a median septum and longitudinal striations when mature, $11.9-17.1 \times 6.6-8.7 \mu m$ (av. $14.2 \times 7.6 \mu m$, n = 50, L/W = 1.87).



0.02

Figure 17. Cont.



0.02

Figure 17. Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogram reconstructed from the combined sequences of ITS, *tef1*, and *tub2* of *Lasiodiplodia* isolates. Bootstrap support values for ML and maximum parsimony (MP) greater than 50% and Bayesian posterior probabilities greater than 0.70 are indicated above the branches as ML BS/BPP/MP BS. The scale bar represents the expected number of changes per site. The tree is rooted with *Diplodia seriata* (CBS 112555) and *Diplodia mutila* (CMW 7060). Isolates from this study are marked in red, and the type specimens are indicated in bold.



Figure 18. *Lasiodiplodia pruni* (JZB3130029, ex type) (**A**,**B**) Colony on PDA (front and reverse); (**C**) Appearance of conidiomata on PDA; (**D**) Conidia developing on conidiogenous cells; (**E**,**F**) Young, hyaline conidia; (**G**) Mature, brown, 1-septate conidia; Scale bars: (**D**) = 20 μ m and (**E**–**G**) = 10 μ m.

Culture characteristics—Colonies on PDA had fluffy aerial mycelia, with an appressed mycelial mat that was sparse to moderately dense, a few cottony aerial mycelia reaching the lid of the Petri dish, irregular margins, and smoky grey; additionally, colonies reached the 90 mm diameter Petri dish after 3 days in the dark at 25 °C.

Materials examined—China, Anhui Province, Liuan City, gummosis trunk of peach (*Prunus persica* L.). Sep. 2023, Y Zhou, (holotype JZBH3130029 as dry culture and JZBH3130030 and JZBH3130031 as dry cultures); ex-type living culture JZB3130029, living cultures JZBH3130030 and JZB3130031.

Notes—In the phylogenetic analysis, three isolates from the present study developed a particular sister relationship with *L. acacia* with 88% ML, 81% MP bootstrap, and 1.00 BYPP values. Morphologically our isolates are different from *L. acaciae*, by conidial sizes where our isolates develop smaller conidia (av. = $14.2 \times 7.6 \mu$ m) than *L. acaciae* (CBS 136434) (av. = $27.3 \times 12.9 \mu$ m) [67]. The nucleotide differences between JZB3130029 and *L. acaciae* (CBS 136434) were ITS: 0.47% (2/420 bp), *tef1*: 3.15% (14/444 bp), and *tub2*: 0% (0/447 bp). Based on the phylogenetic analysis and morphology, we introduce our isolates as *Lasiodiplo-dia pruni*, a novel species from China.

Togniniaceae Réblová, L. Mostert, W. Gams & Crous, Stud. Mycol. 50(2): 540 (2004).

Phaeoacremonium W. Gams, Crous & M.J. Wingf., Mycologia 88 (5): 789 (1996). [MB#27679]. Phaeoacremonium comprises common pathogens that cause stem and branch diseases in a wide range of woody hosts [78]. In 2021, P. minimum was first reported as a pathogen causing esca disease in China [79]. In this study, we followed Ye et al. [23] for taxonomic treatments.

The *combined* dataset of *act* and *tub2* contained 25 ingroup isolates from 12 species and consisted of 880 characters (259 for *act* and 621 for *tub2*, including alignment gaps). HKY + G was determined to be the best model for the act dataset, and TPM2uf + G was the best model for the *tub2* dataset. *Pleurostomophora richardsiae* (CBS 270.33) was used as the outgroup taxon. The best-scoring ML tree with a final likelihood value of -4698.584463 is given in Figure 19. The matrix had 391 distinct alignment patterns, with 6.55% undetermined characters or gaps. The parameters for the model of the combined dataset were as follows: estimated base frequencies, A = 0.204162, C = 0.311249, G = 0.238989, and T = 0.245600; substitution rates, AC = 1.158313, AG = 4.407917, AT = 1.364022, CG = 0.995655, CT = 4.976526, and GT = 1.000000; and gamma distribution shape parameter α = 0.505328 (Figure 19).



Figure 19. Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogram reconstructed from the combined sequences of *act* and *tub2* from *Phaeoacremonium* isolates. Bootstrap support values for ML and maximum parsimony (MP) greater than 50% and Bayesian posterior probabilities greater than 0.70 are indicated above the branches as ML BS/BPP/MP BS. The scale bar represents the expected number of changes per site. The tree is rooted with *Pleurostomophora richardsiae* (CBS 270.33). Isolates from this study are marked in red, and the type specimens are indicated in bold.

Phaeoacremonium scolyti L. Mostert, Summerb. & Crous, J. Clin. Microbiol. 43 (4): 1763 (2005) (Figure 20).



Figure 20. *Phaeoacremonium scolyti* (JZB3190015) (**A**,**B**) Colony on PDA (front and reverse); (**C**) Conidia on PDA; (**D**) Type I phialides; (**E**) Type II phialides; (**F**) Type III phialides; Scale bars: (**C**–**F**) = 10 μm.

MycoBank number: MB357048.

Associated with gummosis trunk of *Prunus persica*. **Sexual morph**: not observed. **Asexual morph**: Mycelia consist of branched septate hyphae. *Conidiophores* mostly short and usually unbranched, subcylindrical to navicular. Type I phialides cylindrical and occasionally swollen at $2.4-7.1 \times 1.0-1.9 \mu m$ (av. $5.29 \times 1.38 \mu m$, n = 30). Type II phialides predominant, elongate-ampulliform, attenuated or constricted at the base, or navicular tapering towards the apex, $7-14 \times 1.5-2.7 \mu m$ (av. $9.28 \times 1.89 \mu m$, n = 30); type III phialides subcylindrical, subulate to elongate-ampulliform, $13-25 \times 1.5-2.5 \mu m$ (av. $17.46 \times 1.94 \mu m$, n = 30), tapering gradually to the apex. *Conidia* oblong-ellipsoidal or obvoid, occasionally reniform or allantoid, $2.0-4.8 \times 1.1-3.0 \mu m$ (av. $3.20 \times 1.92 \mu m$, n = 50, L/W=1.67).

Culture characteristics—colonies on PDA were flat, felty to woolly, with irregular edges at a radius of 10–12 mm after 9 days at 25 °C; after 9 days, the cells became pinkish white to hyaline/translucent.

Materials examined—China, Liaoning Province, Huludao City, gummosis trunk of *Prunus persica* L., Sep. 2022, Y Zhou, living cultures JZB3190015-JZB3190017.

Notes—Three isolates obtained from gummosis trunk disease of peach (*Prunus persica*) were phylogenetically closely related to *P. scolyti*. *P. scolyti* is also known as *V. vinifera* in South Africa [80,81]. This fungus had the broadest host range and was found on the *Prunus* species sampled [82].

4. Discussion

China is the world's largest producer of peach. The peach orchards in China are commonly affected by cankers, leaf spots, and fruit rot diseases. However, compared to fruit rot and other fruit diseases, trunk disease is usually disregarded even though trunk diseases directly affect the lifespan of the plant. In the present study, we focused on trunk, branch, and twig-inhabiting fungi, and 85 isolates were obtained from diseased peach trunks, branches, and twigs. The isolates were identified as 10 fungal species belonging to nine genera using phenotypic characteristics and a multilocus phylogeny. Among them, two species were identified as new, three species were reported on peach in China for the first time, and four species were reported on peach for the first time worldwide. Among these isolates, *Didymellaceae* and *Botryosphaeriaceae* were the most common taxa, and *Didymellaceae* (42.4%) and *Botryosphaeriaceae* (54.1%) accounted for more than 90% of the total isolates.

Didymellaceae is a species-rich family that features a diverse range of fungi that showcase global distribution patterns. Additionally, many of these fungi are economically important plant pathogens [42]. We isolated and identified species belonging to three *Didymellaceae* genera, and *Nothophoma* was the most frequently isolated genus. Species belonging to this genus are pathogens, endophytes, and saprobes on economically important crops and forest trees [54]. *Nothophoma quercina* (syn. *Phoma fungicola*) was the main pathogen causing branch blight [55,56]. This fungus produces abundant pycnidia on plant residues, which can subsequently become the primary source of infection. Under favourable conditions, such as cloudy and cool weather (moisture above 70% and temperature between 20 and 25 °C), the fungus can immediately asexually reproduce and kill the host [83]. *Nothophoma pruni* has been reported to be a saprobe on diseased leaves of *Prunus avium* [54]. In this study, we first isolated *Nothophoma pruni* from twig spot and gummosis trunk samples of peach from around the world. *N. quercina* was first isolated from shoot blight and gummosis trunk samples of peach in China.

Ascochyta was introduced by Libert in 1830, with *A. pisi* described as a type species [84]. Some of the species have been reported as plant pathogens; *Ascochyta syringae* causes Ascochyta blight of lilac (*Syringa vulgaris*) in America, Australia, and Europe [46], and it has been isolated mostly from soil [47]. In the present study, *A. prunus* was isolated from twig canker and branch canker samples of *P. persica* as a novel species. *Ascochyta prunus* was distinguished by its conidial length compared to *A. pisi* (CBS 122785) and *A. pisi* (CBS 122751).

Didymella glomerata (former name *Phoma glomerata*) is a globally distributed soil fungus that has been isolated from various plants (more than 100 host plant genera). Generally, it is considered a secondary invasive or opportunistic pathogen [18]. *Didymella glomerata* is associated with stem canker of peach, damping off, and root necrosis in fennel and stem rot of coriander [49–52]. It has also been reported to be a mycoparasite of powdery mildew [85]. *Didymella glomerata* as *P. glomerata* has been recorded as an endophytic fungus from Korean pine (*Pinus koraiensis*) leaves [86]. It has also been associated with the pea "Ascochyta blight complex" in Australia [87]. In this study, we first isolated *D. glomerata* from twig spot and gummosis trunk samples from peach worldwide.

Botryosphaeriaceae harbours a collection of fungi that exhibit considerable diversity in terms of morphology; these fungi include endophytic, pathogenic, and saprobic variants that primarily affect woody plants. The frequency with which these fungi are involved with plant diseases is substantial. Most species of Botryosphaeria are considered latent plant pathogens that cause dieback, cankers, gummosis, leaf spots, or fruit rot on many woody plants, including pear, grape, mango, olive, eucalyptus, maple, oak, and almond [57]. These species are important pathogens of peach and are associated with a series of diseases, including gummosis [59–61] and shoot blight [63]. Botryosphaeria dothidea is one of the most common species of Botryosphaeriaceae and has been reported in hundreds of plant species worldwide [57]. According to a previous study, B. dothidea causes perennial cankers in peach tree trunks, branches, and shoots [57]. Gummosis is a common disease of peach that was first observed in the 1970s in Fort Valley, GA, and the causal agent was first identified as B. dothidea [59]. A subsequent report revealed that B. dothidea, B. rhodina, and B. obtusa cause peach tree gummosis in Georgia [60]. Chen [61] first reported the occurrence of B. dothidea causing gummosis of peach trees in China. Wang reported that Lasiodiplodia theobromae or Diplodia seriata also cause peach tree gummosis in China [62]. In the present study, we isolated *B. dothidea* from samples collected from four provinces in China, which presented branch canker and gummosis trunk symptoms.

Diplodia is a common pathogen on a wide range of hosts. Diplodia seriata is associated with olive plants in Tunisia [69] and Croatia [70], and in Uruguay, it was isolated from grapevine [71], apple [72], and peach [88]. Diplodia mutila was reported as a new record for olive in Uruguay. Previously, this species was isolated only from pear [89]. In the present study, we isolated D. seriata from peach twig spot samples. Neofusicoccum occulatum was reported as the pathogen causing shoot blight in *Platycladus orientalis* [74]. Ma et al. [90] first reported that N. occulatum was associated with Dendrobium chrysanthum. Neofusicoccum occulatum was reported as a new record on olives worldwide [89]. It was also described in Australia to affect Eucalyptus and Wollemia nobilis [91] and subsequently found in blueberry [92]. In this study, we isolated N. occulatum from twig canker of peach. Phaeobotryon was introduced by Theissen & Sydow [75]. Phaeobotryon rhois was previously reported as a pathogen associated with cankers on *Rhus typhinain* in northwestern China [76]. Zhu et al. reported this species from peach on Mount Dongling, Beijing, China [93]. In addition, Phaeobotryon rhois has been reported from various hosts including Dioscoreanipponica, *Platycladus orientalis*, and *Rhamnus davurica* [94]. In this study, we extended its host range to peach.

Lasiodiplodia is commonly associated with diseases of agricultural and forestry crops and has a wide global distribution. This genus is typified by *L. theobromae*. In the present study, we introduce a novel species closely related to *L. acacia*. Zhang et al. [67] reported *L. acacie*, which was isolated for the first time from *Acacia sp*. Identification and characterization of *Lasiodiplodia* species have become challenging recently. Previous studies have mentioned that morphology and phylogeny play an important role in species delineation [37,77]. In addition, some studies have proposed that *Lasiodiplodia* species might have host specificity as well [77]. However, pathogenicity assays are required to understand the relationship of the novel species with the gummosis disease in peach.

Phaeoacremonium is associated with stunted growth and dieback in various woody hosts. Damm et al. [82] isolated *Phaeoacremonium* species from necrotic woody tissue of

Prunus spp. (plum, peach, nectarine, and apricot) from the growing areas of different stone fruits in South Africa. In this study, we isolated *P. scolyti* from peach with gummosis trunk disease.

Based on the results above, it is evident that peach trunk disease is a complex disease that might be caused by different fungal species. These fungal taxa may have varying impacts on peach. However, future studies are required to understand the infection mechanisms and co-infection of these species that lead to peach trunk disease in China. A similar observation has been reported for grapevine woody pathogens. Kraus [95] reported that grapevine wood is a highly complex habitat, with the simultaneous presence of plant pathogens and beneficial, potentially protective fungi. Pathogenic fungi can shift their biotrophic mode from pathogenic to saprotrophic and can become active again under favourable conditions, thus serving as the primary source of inoculation within a vineyard [96]. Therefore, it is important to conduct pathogenicity tests to discern the roles of saprotrophs, endophytes, and pathogens and to investigate the interactions between different communities. Rather than focusing on a single peach branch disease, our research included a comprehensive examination of the various fungi responsible for causing the main symptoms of peach branch diseases throughout China in recent years. More comprehensive field investigations and pathogenicity tests will be implemented in the future.

Overall, early detection and development of management strategies for the correct species identification are important in plant pathology [97]. The present study allowed us to gain a better understanding of the fungal communities associated with peach branch diseases and their roles in the ecosystem. Our results revealed that there was a high diversity of fungi associated with peach branch diseases, with each disease type being dominated by a specific set of fungal species. Additionally, our study revealed that some fungi were present in multiple disease types, suggesting that they may play a role in the progression of multiple diseases. These findings highlight the intricate and complex nature of fungal communities associated with peach branch diseases and emphasize the need for further investigation to fully understand the ecological roles and interactions of fungal communities in peach orchard ecosystems.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/jof10030217/s1, Table S1: Strains used in phylogenetic analyses and their GenBank accession numbers.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Y.Z.; resources, Y.Z., J.S., W.Z. and M.L.; investigation, Y.Z., Z.H. and J.S.; data curation, Y.Z.; writing—original draft preparation, Y.Z.; and writing—review and editing, Y.Z., I.S.M., S.L., Z.F. and J.Y. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Beijing Municipal Science and Technology Project (Z201100008020014) and the Outstanding Scientist Grant of the Beijing Academy of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences (JKZX202204).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: All sequence data are available in NCBI GenBank following the accession numbers in the manuscript.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank Fei Yu, Yong Wang, Wen-Tao Yan, Xiao-Juan An, Yue-Hui Wang, and Ji-Hong Jiang for their support during the survey and disease specimen collection.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Pakbin, B.; Razavi, S.H.; Mahmoudi, R.; Gajarbeygi, P. Producing probiotic peach juice. *Biotechnol. Health Sci.* 2014, 1, e24683. [CrossRef]
- Liao, X.X.; Greenspan, P.; Pegg, B.P. Characterizing the phenolic constituents and antioxidant capacity of georgia peaches. *Food Chem.* 2018, 271, 345–353. [CrossRef]
- 3. Faust, M.; Béla, T. Origin and dissemination of peach. Hortic. Rev. 2010, 17, 331–379.
- 4. Li, H.L. The domestication of plants in china: Ecogeographical considerations. In *The Origins of Chinese Civilization;* Keightley, D.N., Ed.; University of California Press: Berkeley, CA, USA, 1983; pp. 21–64.
- 5. Yu, M.L.; Wang, L.R.; Wang, Z.Q.; Peng, F.T.; Zhang, F.; Ye, Z.W. Fruit scientific research in New China in the past 70 years: Peach. *J. Fruit Sci.* **2019**, *36*, 1283–1291.
- 6. Wang, L.R.; Zhu, G.R.; Fang, W.C. Peach Genetic Resource in China; China Agriculture Press: Beijing, China, 2012; pp. 201–212.
- Gomez, L.; Vercambre, G.; Jordan, M.O. Spatial-temporal management of nitrogen and carbon on the peach tree (*Prunus persicae* L. Batsch.). *Sci. Hortic.* 2020, 273, 109613. [CrossRef]
- 8. Byrne, D.H.; Raseira, M.B.; Bassi, D.; Piagnani, M.C.; Gasic, K.; Reighard, G.L.; Moreno, M.A.; Pérez, S. Peach. In *Fruit Breeding*; Springer Science & Business Media: New York, NY, USA, 2012; pp. 505–569.
- 9. Zhou, T.; Schneider, K.E.; Li, X.Z. Development of biocontrol agents from food microbial isolates for controlling post-harvest peach brown rot caused by *Monilinia fructicola*. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2008**, *126*, 180–185. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Li, H.Y.; Cao, R.B.; Mu, Y.T. In vitro inhibition of *Botryosphaeria dothidea* and *Lasiodiplodia theobromae*, and chemical control of gummosis disease of Japanese apricot and peach trees in Zhejiang Province, China. *Crop Prot.* **1995**, *14*, 187–191. [CrossRef]
- Chen, C.; Bock, C.H.; Wood, B.W. Draft genome sequence of Venturia *carpophila*, the causal agent of peach scab. *Stand. Genom. Sci.* 2017, 12, 68. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Tavares, S.; Inácio, J.; Fonseca, Á.; Oliveira, C. Direct detection of *Taphrina deformans* on peach trees using molecular methods. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **2004**, *110*, 973–982. [CrossRef]
- 13. Guzmán, G.; Latorre, B.A.; Torres, R.; Wilcox, W.F. Relative susceptibility of peach rootstocks to crown gall and Phytophthora root and crown rot in Chile. *Cienc. E Investig. Agrar.* **2007**, *34*, 31–40.
- 14. Luo, C.X.; Schnabel, G.; Hu, M.; Cal, A.D. Global distribution and management of peach diseases. *Phytopathol. Res.* **2022**, *4*, 30. [CrossRef]
- 15. Adaskaveg, J.E.; Schnabel, G.; Förster, H. Diseases of peach caused by fungi and fungal-like organisms: Biology, epidemiology and management. In *The Peach: Botany, Production and Uses*; CABI Publishing: Wallingford, UK, 2008; pp. 352–406.
- Senanayake, I.C.; Rathnayaka, A.R.; Marasinghe, D.S.; Calabon, M.S.; Gentekaki, E.; Lee, H.B.; Hurdeal, V.G.; Pem, D.; Dissanayake, L.S.; Wijesinghe, S.N.; et al. Morphological approaches in studying fungi: Collection, examination, isolation, sporulation and preservation. *Mycosphere* 2020, *11*, 2678–2754. [CrossRef]
- 17. Smith, H.; Wingfield, M.J.; Coutinho, T.A.; Crous, P.W. *Sphaeropsis sapinea* and *Botryosphaeria dothidea* endophytic in *Pinus* spp. and *Eucalyptus* spp. in South Africa. *S. Afr. J. Bot.* **1996**, *62*, 86–88. [CrossRef]
- 18. Boerema, G.H.; De Gruyer, J.D.; Noordeloos, M.E.; Hamers, M.C.E. *Phoma Identification Manual. Differentiation of Specific and Infra-Specific taxa in Culture*; CABI Publishing: Cambridge, MA, USA; Wallingford, UK, 2004; pp. 14–18.
- Rayner, R.W. A Mycological Colour Chart; Commonwealth Mycological Institute and British Mycological Society: Surrey, UK, 1970; p. 34.
- Aveskamp, M.M.; Gruyter, J.D.; Woudenberg, J.H.C.; Verkley, G.J.M.; Crous, P.W. Highlights of the Didymellaceae: A polyphasic approach to characterize *Phoma* and related pleosporalean genera. *Stud. Mycol.* 2010, 65, 1–60. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chen, Q.; Jiang, J.R.; Zhang, G.Z.; Cai, L.; Crous, P.W. Resolving the *Phoma* enigma. *Stud. Mycol.* 2015, 82, 137–217. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chen, Q.; Hou, L.W.; Duan, W.J.; Crous, P.W.; Cai, L. Didymellaceae revisited. Stud. Mycol. 2017, 87, 105–159. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Wijayawardene, N.N.; Hyde, K.D.; Dai, D.Q.; Sánchez-García, M.; Goto, B.T.; Saxena, R.K.; Erdoğdu, M.; Selçuk, F.; Rajeshkumar, K.C.; Aptroot, A.; et al. Outline of Fungi and fungus-like taxa—2021. *Mycosphere* **2022**, *13*, 53–453. [CrossRef]
- Hongsanan, S.; Hyde, K.D.; Phookamsak, R.; Wanasinghe, D.N.; Eric, H.C.M.; Sarma, V.V.; Lücking, R.; Boonmee, S.; Bhat, J.D.; Liu, N.G.; et al. Refined families of *Dothideomycetes*: Orders and families incertae sedis in *Dothideomycetes*. *Fungal Divers*. 2020, 105, 17–318. [CrossRef]
- 25. Ye, Q.T.; Jia, J.Y.; Manawasinghe, I.S.; Li, X.H.; Zhang, W.; Mugnai, L.; Wu, X.H.; Hyde, K.D.; Yan, J.Y. *Fomitiporia punicata* and *Phaeoacremonium minimum* associated with Esca complex of grapevine in China. *Phytopathol. Res.* **2021**, *3*, 11. [CrossRef]
- 26. Stamatakis, A.; Hoover, P.; Rougemont, J. A rapid bootstrap algorithm for the RAxML web servers. *Syst. Biol.* **2008**, *57*, 758–771. [CrossRef]
- 27. Stamatakis, A. RAxML version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **2013**, *30*, 1312–1313. [CrossRef]
- 28. Swofford, D.L. PAUP*: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and Other Methods), Version 4.0b10; Sinauer and Associates: Sunderland, MA, USA, 2002.
- 29. Ronquist, F.; Huelsenbeck, J.P. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference un-der mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 2003, 19, 1572–1574. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 30. Miller, M.A.; Pfeiffer, W.; Schwartz, T. Creating the CIPRES science gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. In *Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE)*; IEEE Computer Society: Washington, DC, USA, 2010; pp. 1–7.
- De Hoog, G.S.; Gerrits van den Ende, A.H.G. Molecular diagnostics of clinical strains of filamentous asidiomycetes. *Mycoses* 1998, 41, 183–189. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. White, T.J.; Bruns, T.; Lee, S.; Taylor, J. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. *PCR Protoc. Guide Methods Appl.* **1990**, *18*, 315–322.
- 33. Vilgalys, R.; Hester, M. Rapid genetic identification and mapping of enzymatically amplified ribosomal DNA from several *Cryptococcus* species. J. Bacteriol **1990**, 172, 4238–4246. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Rehner, S.A.; Samuels, G.J. Taxonomy and phylogeny of Gliocladium analysed from nuclear large subunit ribosomal DNA sequences. *Mycol. Res.* **1994**, *98*, 625–634. [CrossRef]
- Sung, G.H.; Sung, J.M.; Hywel-Jones, N.L.; Spatafora, J.W. A multi-gene phylogeny of Clavicipitaceae (Ascomycota, Fungi): Identification of localized incongruence using a combinational bootstrap approach. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 2007, 44, 1204–1223. [CrossRef]
- Liu, Y.J.; Whelen, S.; Hall, B.D. Phylogenetic relationships among ascomycetes evidence from an RNA polymerase II subunit. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 1999, 16, 1799–1808. [CrossRef]
- Alves, A.; Crous, P.W.; Correia, A.; Phillips, A.J.L. Morphological and molecular data reveal cryptic speciation in *Lasiodiplodia* theobromae. Fungal Divers. 2008, 28, 1–13.
- 38. Carbone, I.; Kohn, L.M. A method for designing primer sets for speciation studies in filamentous ascomycetes. *Mycologia* **1999**, *91*, 553–556. [CrossRef]
- 39. Glass, N.L.; Donaldson, G.C. Development of primer sets designed for use with the PCR to amplify conserved genes from filamentous ascomycetes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1995**, *61*, 1323–1330. [CrossRef]
- 40. O'Donnell, K.; Cigelnik, E. Two divergent intragenomic rDNA ITS2 types within a monophyletic lineage of the fungus *Fusarium* are Nonorthologous. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **1997**, *7*, 103–116. [CrossRef]
- 41. Woudenberg, J.H.C.; Aveskamp, M.M.; Gruyter, J.D.; Spiers, A.G.; Crous, P.W. Multiple *Didymella teleomorphs* are linked to the *Phoma clematidina* morphotype. *Persoonia* **2009**, *22*, 56–62. [CrossRef]
- Valenzuela-Lopez, N.; Cano-Lira, J.F.; Guarro, J.; Sutton, D.A.; Wiederhold, N.; Crous, P.W.; Stchigel, A.M. Coelomycetous Dothideomycetes with emphasis on the families Cucurbitariaceae and Didymellaceae. *Stud. Mycol.* 2018, 90, 1–69. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gossen, B.D.; Morrall, R.A.A. Transmission of *Ascochyta* lentis from infected lentil seed and plant residue. *Can. J. Plant Pathol.* 1986, *8*, 28–32. [CrossRef]
- Davidson, J.A.; Kimber, R.B.E. Integrated disease management of *Ascochyta* blight in pulse crops. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 2007, 119, 99–110. [CrossRef]
- Tivoli, B.; Banniza, S. Comparison of the epidemiology of *Ascochyta* blights on grain legumes. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 2007, 119, 59–76. [CrossRef]
- Farr, D.F.; Rossman, A.Y. Fungal Databases, Systematic Mycology and Microbiology Laboratory. ARS, USDA. 2019. Available online: http://nt.ars-grin.gov/fungaldatabases/ (accessed on 1 March 2024).
- 47. Hou, L.; Hernández-Restrepo, M.; Groenewald, J.Z.; Cai, L.; Crous, P.W. Citizen science project reveals high diversity in *Didymellaceae* (Pleosporales, Dothideomycetes). *MycoKeys* **2020**, *65*, 49–99. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chilvers, M.I.; Rogers, J.D.; Dugan, F.M.; Stewart, J.E.; Chen, W.; Peever, T.L. *Didymella pisi* sp. nov., the teleomorph of *Ascochyta pisi*. *Mycol. Res.* 2009, 113, 391–400. [CrossRef]
- 49. Lahoz, E.; Caiazzo, R.; Fanigliulo, A.; Comes, S.; Crescenzi, A. *Phoma glomerata* as causal agent of crown rot disease of fennel in southern Italy. *Commun. Agric. Appl. Biol. Sci.* 2007, 72, 875–878. [PubMed]
- 50. Aghapour, B.; Fotouhifar, K.B.; Ahmadpour, A.; Ghazanfari, K. First report of leaf spot disease on *Ficus elastica* caused by *Phoma glomerata* in Iran. *Australas. Plant Dis. Notes* **2009**, *4*, 82–83. [CrossRef]
- 51. Thomidis, T.; Michailides, T.J.; Exadaktylou, E. *Phoma glomerata* (Corda) Wollenw. & Hochapfel a new threat causing cankers on shoots of peach trees in Greece. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **2011**, *131*, 171–178.
- 52. Rodeva, R.; Carrieri, R.; Stoyanova, Z.; Dacheva, S.; Lahoz, E.; Fanigliulo, A.; Crescenzi, A. New report of *Phoma glomerata* on *Coriandrum sativum* L. *Commun. Agric. Appl. Biol. Sci.* **2013**, *78*, 617–620. [PubMed]
- 53. Keirnan, E.C.; Tan, Y.P.; Laurence, M.H.; Mertin, A.A.; Liew, E.C.Y.; Summerell, B.A.; Shivas, R.G. Cryptic diversity found in Didymellaceae from Australian native legumes. *MycoKeys* **2021**, *78*, 1–20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chethana, K.W.T.; Jayawardene, R.S.; Zhang, W.; Zhou, Y.Y.; Liu, M.; Hyde, K.D.; Li, X.H.; Wang, J.; Zhang, K.C.; Yan, J.Y. Molecular characterization and pathogenicity of fungal taxa associated with cherry leaf spot disease. *Mycosphere* 2019, 10, 490–530. [CrossRef]
- 55. Chen, S.F.; Morgan, D.P.; Michailides, T.J. First report of *Phoma fungicola* associated with stem canker and fruit blight of pistachio in Arizona. *J. Plant Pathol.* **2013**, *95*, 447–452.
- 56. Triki, M.A.; Gharbi, Y.; Bouazizi, E.; Cheff, M.; Krid, S.; Feki, F.A.; Bouhamed, J. First report of branch blight of almond trees caused by *Nothophoma quercina* in Tunisia. *J. Plant Pathol.* **2019**, 101, 1277. [CrossRef]
- 57. Phillips, A.J.L.; Alves, A.; Abdollahzadeh, J.; Slippers, B.; Wingfield, M.J.; Groenewald, J.Z.; Crous, P.W. The Botryosphaeriaceae, genera and species known from culture. *Stud. Mycol.* **2013**, *76*, 51–167. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 58. Chethana, K.W.T.; Li, X.H.; Zhang, W.; Hyde, K.D.; Yan, J.Y. Trail of decryption of molecular research on Botryosphaeriaceae in woody plants. *Phytopathol. Mediterr.* 2016, *55*, 147–171.
- 59. Weaver, D.J. A gummosis disease of peach trees caused by Botryosphaeria dothidea. Phytopathology 1974, 64, 1429–1432. [CrossRef]
- 60. Britton, K.O. Three species of Botryosphaeria cause peach tree gummosis in georgia. Plant Dis. 1982, 66, 1120. [CrossRef]
- 61. Chen, X.Z. Studies on the gummosis of peach (*Prunus persica*) caused by *Botryosphaeria dothidea*. *Acta Phytopathol. Sin.* **1985**, *15*, 53–57.
- 62. Wang, F.; Zhao, L.; Li, G.H.; Huang, J.B.; Hsiang, T. Identification and characterization of *Bofryosphaeria* spp. causing gummosis of peach trees in hubei province, central china. *Plant Dis.* **2011**, *95*, 1378–1384. [CrossRef]
- 63. Tian, Y.L.; Zhao, Y.Q.; Sun, T.; Wang, L.; Liu, J.; Ma, X.F.; Hu, B.S. Identification and characterization of *Phomopsis amygdali* and *Botryosphaeria dothidea* associated with peach shoot blight in yangshan, china. *Plant Dis.* **2018**, *102*, 2511–2518. [CrossRef]
- 64. Urbez-Torres, J.R. The status of Botryosphaeriaceae species infecting grapevines. *Phytopathol. Mediterr.* 2011, 50, 5–45.
- 65. Gramaje, D.; Rbez-Torres, J.R.; Sosnowski, M.R. Managing grapevine trunk diseases with respect to etiology and epidemiology: Current strategies and future prospects. *Plant Dis.* **2018**, *102*, 12–39. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wu, L.N.; Li, X.H.; Zhang, W.; Zhou, Y.Y.; Yan, J.Y. Identification and biological characteristics of *Lasiodiplodia citricola* causing Botryosphaeria dieback of grapes in Beijing. Acta Phytopathol. Sin. 2021, 52, 1003–1005. (In Chinese)
- 67. Zhang, W.; Groenewald, J.Z.; Lombard, L.; Schumacher, R.K.; Phillips, A.J.L.; Crous, P.W. Evaluating species in *Botryosphaeriales*. *Persoonia-Mol. Phylogeny Evol. Fungi* **2021**, *46*, 63–115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Marsberg, A.; Kemler, M.; Jami, F.; Nagel, J.H.; Postma-Smidt, A.; Naidoo, S.; Wingfield, M.J.; Crous, P.W.; Spatafora, J.W.; Hesse, C.N.; et al. *Botryosphaeria dothidea*: A latent pathogen of global importance to woody plant health. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 2017, 18, 477–488. [CrossRef]
- 69. Chattaoui, M.; Rhouma, A.; Msallem, M.; Pérez, M.; Moral, J.; Trapero, A. First Report of *Botryosphaeria obtusa* as causal agent of olive tree branch dieback in Tunisia. *Plant Dis.* **2012**, *96*, 905. [CrossRef]
- 70. Kaliterna, J.; Milicevic, T.; Ivic, D.; Bencic, D.; Mesic, A. First report of *Diplodia seriata* as causal agent of olive dieback in Croatia. *Plant Dis.* **2012**, *96*, 290. [CrossRef]
- Abreo, E.; Martínez, S.; Bettucci, L.; Lupo, S. Characterization of Botryosphaeriaceae species associated with grapevines in Uruguay. *Australas. Plant Pathol.* 2013, 42, 241–249. [CrossRef]
- 72. Delgado, L.; Mondino, P.; Alaniz, S. *Botryosphariaceae* species associated with stem canker, die-back and fruit rot on apple in Uruguay. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **2016**, *146*, 637–655. [CrossRef]
- 73. Crous, P.W.; Slippers, B.; Wingfield, M.J.; Rheeder, J.; Marasas, W.F.O.; Philips, A.J.L.; Alves, A.; Burgess, T.; Barber, P.; Groenewald, J.Z. Phylogenetic lineages in the *Botryosphaeriaceae*. *Stud. Mycol.* **2006**, *55*, 235–254. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liu, Z.Z.; Qi, Y.K.; Lv, J.; Zhang, Y.J.; Zhang, W.; Liu, Y.; Fu, C.C.; Liu, Y.; Liu, B.Y.; Wang, Q.H. Identification and Pathogenicity of Neofusicoccum occulatum the Agent Shoot Blight of Platycladus orientalis in Shandong Province, China. For. Res. 2022, 35, 197–204.
- 75. Theissen, F.; Sydow, H. Die Dothideales. Kritisch-systematische Originaluntersuchungen. Ann. Mycol. 1915, 13, 147–746.
- Fan, X.L.; Hyde, K.D.; Liu, J.K.; Liang, Y.M.; Tian, C.M. Multigene phylogeny and morphology reveal *Phaeobotryon rhois* sp. nov. (*Botryosphaeriales, Ascomycota*). *Phytotaxa* 2015, 205, 90–98. [CrossRef]
- 77. Xia, G.; Manawasinghe, I.S.; Phillips, A.J.L.; You, C.; Jayawardena, R.S.; Luo, M.; Hyde, K.D. *Lasiodiplodia fici* sp. Nov., Causing Leaf Spot on Ficus altissima in China. *Pathogens* **2022**, *11*, 840. [CrossRef]
- Gramaje, D.; Mostert, L.; Groenewald, J.Z.; Crous, P.W. *Phaeoacremonium*: From esca disease to *phaeohyphomycosis*. *Fungal Biol.* 2015, 119, 759–783. [CrossRef]
- 79. Ye, Q.T.; Manawasinghe, I.S.; Zhang, W.; Mugnai, L.; Hyde, K.D.; Li, X.H.; Yan, J.Y. First report of *Phaeoacremonium minimum* associated with grapevine trunk diseases in China. *Plant Dis.* **2020**, *104*, 1259. [CrossRef]
- Mostert, L.; Groenewald, J.Z.; Summerbell, R.C.; Robert, V.; Sutton, D.A.; Padhye, A.A.; Crous, P.W. Species of *Phaeoacremonium* associated with infections in humans and environmental reservoirs in infected woordy plants. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2005, 43, 1752–1767. [CrossRef]
- Mostert, L.; Crous, P.W.; Groenewald, J.Z.; Gams, W.; Summerbell, R.C. *Togninia (Calosphaeriales)* is confirmed as teleomorph of *Phaeoacremonium* by means of morphology, sexual compatibility, and DNA phylogeny. *Mycologia* 2003, 95, 646–659. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 82. Damm, U.; Mostert, L.; Crous, P.W.; Fourie, P.H. Novel *Phaeoacremonium* species associated with necrotic wood of prunus trees. *Persoonia* 2008, 20, 87–102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 83. Deb, D.; Khan, A.; Dey, N. Phoma diseases: Epidemiology and Control. Plant Pathol. 2020, 1, 1203–1217. [CrossRef]
- 84. Boerema, G.H.; Bollen, G.J. Conidiogenesis and conidial septation as differentiating criteria between *Phoma* and *Ascochyta*. *Persoonia-Mol. Phylogeny Evol. Fungi* **1975**, *8*, 111–444.
- 85. Sullivan, R.F.; White, J.F. *Phoma glomerata* as a mycoparasite of powdery mildew. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2000**, *66*, 425–427. [CrossRef]
- 86. Deng, J.X.; Paul, N.C.; Li, M.J.; Seo, E.Y.; Sung, G.H.; Yu, S.H. Molecular characterization and morphology of two endophytic *Peyronellaea* species from *Pinus koraiensis* in Korea. *Mycobiology* **2011**, *39*, 266–271. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 87. Tran, H.S.; You, M.P.; Lanoiselet, V.; Khan, T.N.; Barbetti, M.J. First report of *Phoma glomerata* associated with the *Ascochyta* blight complex on feld pea (*Pisum satioum*) in Australia. *Plant Dis.* **2014**, *98*, 427. [CrossRef]

- 88. Sessa, L.; Abreo, E.; Bettucci, L.; Lupo, S. Botryosphaeriaceae species associated with wood diseases of stone and pome fruits trees: Symptoms and virulence across different hosts in Uruguay. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **2016**, *146*, 519–530. [CrossRef]
- 89. Hernánde-Rodríguez, L.; Mondino-Hintz, P.; Alaniz-Ferro, S. Diversity of Botryosphaeriaceae species causing stem canker and fruit rot in olive trees in Uruguay. *J. Phytopathol.* **2022**, *170*, 264–277. [CrossRef]
- Ma, X.Y.; Hyde, K.D.; Phillips, A.J.L.; Kang, J.C.; Chomnunti, P.; Doilom, M. Three new host records of endophytic *neofusicoccum* species reported from *dendrobium* orchids. *Phytotaxa* 2021, 494, 193–207. [CrossRef]
- 91. Sakalidis, M.L.; Hardy, G.E.; Burgess, T.I. Use of the genealogical sorting index (GSI) to delineate species boundaries in the *Neofusicoccum parvum-Neofusicoccum ribis* species complex. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **2011**, *60*, 333–344. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Scarlett, K.A.; Shuttleworth, L.A.; Collins, D.; Rothwell, C.T.; Guest, D.I.; Daniel, R. *Botryosphaeriales* associated with stem blight and dieback of blueberry (Vaccinium spp.) in New South Wales and Western Australia. *Australas. Plant Pathol.* 2019, 48, 45–57. [CrossRef]
- 93. Zhu, H.Y.; Tian, C.M.; Fan, X.L. Studies of botryosphaerialean fungiassociated with canker and dieback of tree hosts in Dongling Mountain of China. *Phytotaxa* 2018, 348, 63–76. [CrossRef]
- Pan, M.; Zhu, H.Y.; Bezerra, J.D.P.; Guido, B.; Tian, C.M.; Fan, X.L. Botryosphaerialean fungi causing canker and dieback of tree hostsfrom Mount Yudu in China. *Mycol. Prog.* 2019, 18, 1341–1361. [CrossRef]
- 95. Kraus, C.; Voegele, R.T.; Fischer, M. Temporal development of the culturable, endophytic fungal community in healthy grapevine branches and occurrence of GTD-associated fungi. *Microb. Ecol.* **2019**, *77*, 866–876. [CrossRef]
- Jayawardena, R.S.; Purahong, W.; Zhang, W.; Wubet, T.; Li, X.; Liu, M.; Zhao, W.; Hyde, K.D.; Liu, J.; Yan, J. Biodiversity of fungi on *Vitis vinifera* L. revealed by traditional and high-resolution culture-independent approaches. *Fungal Divers.* 2018, 90, 1–84. [CrossRef]
- Manawasinghe, I.S.; Phillips, A.J.L.; Xu, J.; Balasuriya, A.; Hyde, K.D.; Tępień, L.; Harischandra, D.L.; Karunarathna, A.; Yan, J.; Weerasinghe, J.; et al. Defining a species in plant pathology: Beyond the species level. *Fungal Divers.* 2021, 109, 267–282. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.