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## S1. Powder X-ray Diffraction

Table S1. Data of pattern-matching refinement of compound 2.

| Space group | $P-1$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $a(\AA)$ | $10.87(2)$ |
| $b(\AA)$ | $11.11(2)$ |
| $c(\AA)$ | $13.26(3)$ |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $105.28(2)$ |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $94.22(3)$ |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $93.65(2)$ |
| $V / \AA^{3}$ | $1534(3)$ |



Figure S1. Pattern-matching analysis and crystalline parameters of the polycrystalline sample of compound 2.

Table S2. Data of pattern-matching refinement of compound 3.

| Space group | $P-1$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $a(\AA)$ | $10.85(2)$ |
| $b(\AA)$ | $11.04(4)$ |
| $c(\AA)$ | $13.21(2)$ |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $105.01(2)$ |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $94.90(4)$ |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $93.90(4)$ |
| $V / \AA^{3}$ | $1516(5)$ |



Figure S2. Pattern-matching analysis and crystalline parameters of the polycrystalline sample of compound 3.

Table S3. Data of pattern-matching refinement of compound 4.

| Space group | $P-1$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $a(\AA)$ | $10.86(2)$ |
| $b(\AA)$ | $11.03(4)$ |
| $c(\AA)$ | $13.20(2)$ |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $105.20(2)$ |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $94.38(4)$ |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $93.75(4)$ |
| $V / \AA^{3}$ | $1515(5)$ |



Figure S3. Pattern-matching analysis and crystalline parameters of the polycrystalline sample of compound 4.

## S2. Additional structural data



Figure S4. Captures of the 3D framework of compound 1 showing the voids present that are occupied by lattice DMF molecules. Two different views are shown: along $b$ axis (left) and along $a$ axis (right).


Figure S5. Captures of the 3D framework of compounds 2-4 showing the connected voids present. Two different views are shown: along $c$ axis (left) and along $a$ axis (right).

## S3. Interpretation of void content from SQUEEZE analysis.

Compound $\mathbf{1}$ consists of a MOF structure that contains lattice solvent molecules that crystallize in a highly disordered arrangement so as to be correctly solved. Therefore, the final refinement was performed with SQUEEZE routine and used to calculate the void space and the electron count. The report shows that the void content is of $296 \AA^{3}$ and 85 electrons, so taking into account that $\mathrm{Z}=2$ in this crystal structure, and that DMF was used as solvent, it may be assumed that the voids contain 1 DMF molecule per formula unit.

## S4. Continuous Shape Measures Calculations

Table S4. Continuous Shape Measures Calculations for herein described compound 1, and previously reported $\quad\left\{\left[\mathrm{Nd}(\mathrm{ant})_{1.5}(\mathrm{DMF})_{2}\right] \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}, \quad\left\{\left[\mathrm{Dy}(\mathrm{ant})_{1.5}(\mathrm{DMF})_{2}\right] \cdot(\mathrm{DMF})\right\}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad$ and $\quad\left\{\left[\mathrm{Dy}_{2}(\mathrm{ant})_{2}\left(\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}-\right.\right.\right.$ bdc)(DMF) $\left.\left.)_{4}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{DMF} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}[1]$.

| EP-9 | 1 D9h | Enneagon |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| OPY-9 | $2 \mathrm{C} 8 v$ | Octagonal pyramid |
| HBPY-9 | 3 D7h | Heptagonal bipyramid |
| JTC-9 | 4 C3v | Johnson triangular cupola J3 |
| JCCU-9 | $5 \mathrm{C} 4 v$ | Capped cube J8 |
| CCU-9 | 6 C4v | Spherical-relaxed capped cube |
| JCSAPR-9 | 7 C4v | Capped square antiprism J10 |
| CSAPR-9 | 8 C4v | Spherical capped square antiprism |
| JTCTPR-9 | 9 D3h | Tricapped trigonal prism J51 |
| TCTPR-9 | 10 D3h | Spherical tricapped trigonal prism |
| JTDIC-9 | 11 C3v | Tridiminished icosahedron J63 |
| HH-9 | 12 C2v | Hula-hoop |
| MFF-9 | 13 Cs | Muffin |


|  | EP-9 | OPY-9 | HBPY-9 | JTC-9 | JCCU-9 | CCU-9 | JCSAPR-9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound 1 | 33.434 | 23.029 | 14.845 | 15.317 | 10.405 | 8.982 | 3.912 |
| \{[Nd(ant) $\left.\mathbf{1}_{\text {. }}(\text { DMF })_{2}\right] \cdot($ DMF $\left.)\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | 34.783 | 21.924 | 15.736 | 15.076 | 10.725 | 9.232 | 2.904 |
| $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Dy}(\text { ant })_{1.5}(\text { DMF })_{2}\right] \cdot(\text { DMF) }\}_{\text {n }}\right.$ | 34.945 | 21.692 | 16.081 | 15.053 | 10.692 | 9.178 | 2.629 |


|  | CSAPR-9 | JTCTPR-9 | TCTPR-9 | JTDIC-9 | HH-9 | MFF-9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound 1 | 2.658 | 4.719 | 3.195 | 12.344 | 8.281 | 2.251 |
| $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Nd}(\text { ant })_{1.5}\left(\text { DMF) }{ }_{2}\right] \cdot \text { (DMF) }\right\}_{\text {n }}\right.$ | 2.052 | 4.937 | 2.449 | 12.596 | 8.906 | 1.835 |
| $\left\{\left[\text { Dy(ant) } 1.5 \text { (DMF) }{ }_{2}\right] \cdot \text { (DMF) }\right\}_{\text {n }}$ | 1.858 | 4.628 | 2.338 | 12.484 | 8.935 | 1.675 |

Table S5. Continuous Shape Measures Calculations for the isostructural Dy-based analogue [1] of compounds 2-4 due to the lack of single crystals of these specimens. The Tb (2), Ho (3) and Er (4) compounds are expected to have similar coordination environments to that of Dy in both materials.

| OP-8 | 1 D8h | Octagon |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HPY-8 | 2 C7v | Heptagonal pyramid |
| HBPY-8 | 3 D6h | Hexagonal bipyramid |
| CU-8 | 4 Oh | Cube |
| SAPR-8 | 5 D4d | Square antiprism |
| TDD-8 | 6 D2d | Triangular dodecahedron |
| JGBF-8 | 7 D2d | Johnson gyrobifastigium J26 |
| JETBPY-8 | 8 D3h | Johnson elongated triangular bipyramid J14 |
| JBTPR-8 | 9 C2v | Biaugmented trigonal prism J50 |
| BTPR-8 | 10 C2v | Biaugmented trigonal prism |
| JSD-8 | 11 D2d | Snub diphenoid J84 |
| TT-8 | 12 Td | Triakis tetrahedron |
| ETBPY-8 | 13 D3h | Elongated trigonal bipyramid |


|  | OP-8 | HPY-8 | HBPY-8 | CU-8 | SAPR-8 | TDD-8 | JGBF-8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \left\{\left[\mathrm { Dy } _ { 2 } ( \text { ant } ) _ { 2 } \left(\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2-}\right.\right.\right. \\ \text { bdc)(DMF } \left.\left.)_{4}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{DMFF} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}} \end{gathered}$ | 31.338 | 23.048 | 14.547 | 9.578 | 1.869 | 1.901 | 13.598 |


|  | JETBPY-8 | JBTPR-8 | BTPR-8 | JSD-8 | TT-8 | ETBPY-8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \left\{\left[\mathrm{Dy}_{2}\left(\mathrm{ant}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2-}\right.\right. \\ \text { bdc) } \left.\left.(\mathrm{DMF})_{4}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{DMF} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}} \end{gathered}$ | 26.173 | 2.006 | 1.418 | 4.198 | 10.246 | 21.957 |

## S5. Magnetic Properties




Figure S6. Temperature dependence of the in-phase (top) and out-of-phase (bottom) components of the $a c$ susceptibility for $\mathbf{4}$ under an external field of 1000 Oe (the susceptibility values are given per $\mathrm{Er}(\mathrm{III})$ ion.


Figure S7. Cole-Cole plots under 1000 Oe external field for $\mathbf{1}$. Solid lines represent the best fits to the generalized Debye model.

## S5. Luminescence Measurements



Figure S8. Excitation spectrum of compound 2 measured at room temperature at $\lambda_{\text {em }}=548 \mathrm{~nm}$.


Figure S9. Excitation spectrum of compound 2 measured at room temperature at $\lambda_{\mathrm{em}}=548 \mathrm{~nm}$.


Figure S10. Decay curves of compound 2 acquired on dispersions of different solvents (see specified conditions).
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