

Supplementary Material. Alternative Regression Analyses to determine Predictors of Sartorius Depth.

	Predictor Outcome	<i>B</i>	SE <i>B</i>	95% CI	β	<i>t</i>	<i>P</i>
Proximal-Third	Step 1						
	Gender	0.508	0.102	(0.306; 0.709)	0.361	4.972	<0.001
	Step 2						
	Gender	0.581	0.083	(0.418; 0.744)	0.413	7.038	<0.001
	Proximal-Third Girth	0.057	0.006	(0.045; .0068)	0.559	9.519	<0.001
	Step 3						
	Gender	0.648	0.110	(0.431; 0.864)	0.461	5.908	<0.001
	Proximal-Third Girth	0.056	0.006	(0.044; 0.068)	0.549	9.181	<0.001
	Height	0.545	0.587	(-0.614; 1.705)	0.074	0.929	0.354
Mid-Third	Step 1						
	Gender	0.438	0.103	(0.236; 0.641)	0.316	4.275	<0.001
	Step 2						
	Gender	0.508	0.085	(0.340; 0.676)	0.366	5.968	<0.001
	Proximal-Third Girth	0.054	0.006	(0.042; 0.066)	0.540	8.797	<0.001
	Step 3						
	Gender	0.558	0.113	(0.334; 0.782)	0.402	4.926	<0.001
	Proximal-Third Girth	0.053	0.006	(0.041; 0.066)	0.532	8.509	<0.001
	Height	0.406	0.607	(-0.792; 1.604)	0.056	0.669	0.504

Proximal-third sartorius depth: R^2 adj. = 0.125 for step 1 ($F = 24.725$; $p < 0.001$), R^2 adj. = 0.433 for step 2 ($F = 64.377$; $p < 0.001$), R^2 adj. = 0.432 for step 3 ($F = 43.170$; $p < 0.001$).

Mid-third sartorius depth: R^2 adj. = .100 for step 1 ($F = 18.272$; $p < 0.001$), R^2 adj. = 0.388 for step 2 ($F = 52.061$; $p < 0.001$), R^2 adj. = 0.390 for step 3 ($F = 34.740$; $p < 0.001$).