



Article Gait Variability at Different Walking Speeds

Johnny Padulo ^{1,*}, Susanna Rampichini ¹, Marta Borrelli ¹, Daniel Maria Buono ¹, Christian Doria ¹, and Fabio Esposito ^{1,2}

- ¹ Department of Biomedical Sciences for Health (SCIBIS), Università degli Studi di Milano, 20133 Milan, Italy; susanna.rampichini@unimi.it (S.R.); marta.borrelli@unimi.it (M.B.); danielm.buono97@outlook.it (D.M.B.); christian.doria@unimi.it (C.D.); fabio.esposito@unimi.it (F.E.)
- ² IRCCS Galeazzi Orthopedic Institute, 20161 Milan, Italy
- Correspondence: johnny.padulo@unimi.it

Abstract: Gait variability (GV) is a crucial measure of inconsistency of muscular activities or body segmental movements during repeated tasks. Hence, GV might serve as a relevant and sensitive measure to quantify adjustments of walking control. However, it has not been clarified whether GV is associated with walking speed, a clarification needed to exploit effective better bilateral coordination level. For this aim, fourteen male students (age 22.4 \pm 2.7 years, body mass 74.9 \pm 6.8 kg, and body height 1.78 \pm 0.05 m) took part in this study. After three days of walking 1 km each day at a self-selected speed (SS) on asphalt with an Apple Watch S. 7 (AppleTM, Cupertino, CA, USA), the participants were randomly evaluated on a treadmill at three different walking speed intensities for 10 min at each one, SS = 20%/SS + 20%/SS, with 5 min of passive recovery in-between. Heart rate (HR) was monitored and normalized as %HRmax, while the rate of perceived exertion (RPE) (CR-10 scale) was asked after each trial. Kinematic analysis was performed, assessing the Contact Time (CT), Swing Time (ST), Stride Length (SL), Stride Cycle (SC), and Gait Variability as Phase Coordination Index (PCI). RPE and HR increased as the walking speed increased (p = 0.005 and p = 0.035, respectively). CT and SC decreased as the speed increased (p = 0.0001 and p = 0.013, respectively), while ST remained unchanged (p = 0.277). SL increased with higher walking speed (p = 0.0001). Conversely, PCI was $3.81 \pm 0.88\%$ (high variability) at 3.96 ± 0.47 km·h⁻¹, $2.64 \pm 0.75\%$ (low variability) at SS ($4.94 \pm 0.58 \text{ km} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$), and $3.36 \pm 1.09\%$ (high variability) at $5.94 \pm 0.70 \text{ km} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ (p = 0.001). These results indicate that while the metabolic demand and kinematics variables change linearly with increasing speed, the most effective GV was observed at SS. Therefore, SS could be a new methodological approach to choose the individual walking speed, normalize the speed intensity, and avoid a gait pattern alteration.

Keywords: human locomotion; symmetry; gait analysis; physiological response; kinematic analysis

1. Introduction

The analysis of human locomotion during activities such as pedaling [1,2], walking [3,4], race walking [5–7], and running [8–12] exhibits a repetitive and stereotypical movement pattern over time [13–20]. Numerous studies have focused on investigating the variability of the gait cycle paradigm (gait variability, GV) to better understand the bioenergetics and control of the human locomotion [21]. This seemingly simple activity [22–27] involves a complex task [28–34] requiring a precise synergy [35–40] between lower limb coordination [41–47] and muscle contraction [48–54] in response to both natural and non-natural conditions [55]. As a result, individuals need to continuously explore new strategies [56–63] and promptly adapt the motor task [64–70] to the immediate environment conditions, adjusting their footstep cycle to the most appropriate one.

In walking, stride-to-stride variability [71–77] arises due to the system's constant need [78–82] to adjust inaccurate movements [83]. From a neurophysiological point of view, higher variability is associated with poor coordination level, while lower variability



Citation: Padulo, J.; Rampichini, S.; Borrelli, M.; Buono, D.M.; Doria, C.; Esposito, F. Gait Variability at Different Walking Speeds. *J. Funct. Morphol. Kinesiol.* **2023**, *8*, 158. https://doi.org/10.3390/jfmk8040158

Academic Editor: Philippe Gorce

Received: 8 October 2023 Revised: 31 October 2023 Accepted: 6 November 2023 Published: 8 November 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). indicates better coordination level [84]. Pathological and non-pathological factors have been proven to affect the coordination level. Indeed, Parkinson's disease, aging [55], and individuals with a lower limb injury [85] have been shown to exhibit high variability. Nonetheless, increased variability has been observed in healthy people due to changes in body positions during uphill running [86], alterations in body posture [1], and variations in walking speed [83]. Jordan et al. [83] showed that the better walking coordination level (i.e., lowest GV) in healthy young females occurred at walking speeds between 100 and 110% of the preferred walking speed. Even though the preferred speed favors better walking coordination [83], most of the studies on GV have used a lower speed to administer standardized speed on a treadmill [85,87,88]. Anyway, a divergence about the physiological effort between preferred walking speed on a treadmill compared to the overground [89] has been shown, suggesting that on a treadmill, the preferred walking speed was lower. Therefore, to obtain data that are more representative of daily activities, the GV should be studied at the preferred gait speed determined overground, as a treadmill does not fully represent the ground of daily activities. This methodological approach could mitigate the influence of the neurophysiological factors on bioenergetics variables, such as kinematic, kinetic, and motor control aspects. Contrarily, the motorized treadmill [90] provides the advantage of having long duration trials, such as those needed for gait variability study. Indeed, 400 steps are required for an accurate estimation of the step kinematic variability [91] at a constant speed. Certainly, treadmills offer researchers the advantage of precise control over walking speed, enabling extended trials with subjects confined to a limited motion capture space and the option to connect onboard electronics to a stationary data acquisition system. However, even if Jordan et al. [83] partially clarified that the coordination level trend is speed dependent, unfortunately the speed $(m \cdot s^{-1} \text{ or } km \cdot h^{-1})$ and physiological response (heart rate) were not reported and studied. Furthermore, in that study, the preferred walking speed was assessed on the treadmill only. The critical aspect concerning walking speed lies in the fact that each participant exhibits a distinct preferred walking speed. Therefore, the preferred walking speed should be assessed on overground to be more realistic [89], while the gait variability should be assessed on a treadmill [91] to overcome the GV setting. From this perspective, the aims of this study were as follows: (a) to assess individual preferred walking speed in overground setting; (b) to determine the physiological response and gait variability related to the different walking speeds on a treadmill.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Participants

Fourteen male students (age: 23.4 ± 2.7 years, body mass: 74.9 ± 6.8 kg, and body height 1.78 ± 0.05 m) voluntarily participated in this study. The participants were healthy, without any muscular, neurological, and tendinous injuries and did report that they were clear of any drug. The diet control in the pre-study was designed to eliminate the risk of any major differences between diets in total protein, carbohydrates, and saturated and unsaturated fats. After being informed on the procedures, methods, benefits, and possible risks related to study, all the participants provided their written informed consent. Experimental protocol was approved by the local ethics committee and was performed in accordance with the principles of the latest version of the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2. Experimental Design

The testing sessions were conducted over four different days, separated by a five-day interval. Prior to the testing days, each participant underwent a 25 min familiarization period with the treadmill (two sessions). During the first two testing days (test–retest for the first test), participants walked outdoors three times at a self-selected speed (SS) on a linear flat asphalt surface for 1 km (average temperature 24.3 ± 1.2 °C and relative humidity of $18.2 \pm 1.5\%$) between 10:00 and 12:00 a.m. An Apple Watch S. 7 (AppleTM, Cupertino, CA, USA) was worn to individually determine the SS in km·h⁻¹ [92].

On the last two testing days, participants reported to a climate-controlled laboratory (23.5 ± 0.8 °C and $15.1 \pm 1.3\%$ for ambient temperature and relative humidity, respectively). In this session, they were asked to complete a 10 min warm-up and after, to walk on a calibrated treadmill (RAM 770 M, Arak, Iran) [90] at three different speeds: (i) equal to their SS determined in overground (SS); (ii) -20% of the SS (SS - 20); and (iii) +20% of the SS (SS + 20). Each speed condition was randomly administered and lasted 10 min, with 5 min of passive recovery in-between. Each participant was asked to wear the same running clothing and shoes (Cat. A3) in all of the testing sessions.

2.3. Measurement

During the walking test on a treadmill, heart rate (HR) was recorded continuously (Polar H-10, Kempele, Finland) and normalized as percentage of the maximal heart rate, %HR_{max} estimated [93] by (220—age). Participants also reported their rating of perceived exertion (RPE) on the CR10 scale immediately after completion of each walking speed. Kinematic data were obtained with an OptoGait system (sample rate—1000 Hz) and a specialized Software (MicrogaitTM, Bolzano, Italy) using a three-led filter (IN-OUT) [94]. Contact Time (CT), Swing Time (ST), Stride Length (SL), duration of Stride Cycle (SC), and GV, assessed as Phase Coordination Index (PCI), were determined.

The left–right coordination (phase coordination index, PCI) of walking gait was assessed according to Plotnik and coll. [13], normalizing the step time with respect to the stride time. The former relates to the time interval between a heel strike and the one of the contralateral leg, whereas the latter relates to the time interval between a heel strike and the consecutive one of the same leg. The normalization of step time with respect to the stride time determines the phase of the *i*-th stride (ϕi), which represents an index of bilateral coordination [13]. To preserve uniformity across all participants with possible different dominance, firstly, we calculated the average values of ST for both legs and used the leg with the higher ST as the reference for gait cycles. Successively, ϕi values for the other leg were computed as follows:

$$\phi i = 360^{\circ} \times \frac{t_{Si} - t_{Li}}{t_{L(i+1)} - t_{Li}}$$
(1)

where t_{Si} and t_{Li} denote the time of the *i*-th heel strike of the legs with the short and long ST, respectively, and $t_{L(i+1)} > t_{Si} > t_{Si}$. The factors at the denominator of (1) relate to ST of the leg with the longest ST. Lastly, 360 was used to transform the variable into degrees [55]. A ϕ value of 180° indicates a successful walking symmetry, with step time being half of the gait cycle for each step. The GV encompasses the evaluation of the accuracy and consistency of phase generation and serves as the primary outcome. The accuracy level in phase generation, measuring how closely the series of generated phases align with the value 180°, was assessed by calculating the mean value of the absolute differences between the phase at each stride and 180°. This measure is denoted as ϕ_ABS :

$$p_{ABS} [^{\circ}] = \overline{|\phi_i - 180^{\circ}|}.$$
(2)

To evaluate the level of consistency in phase generation across all strides for each participant, the coefficient of variation of the mean of ϕ was also determined. This consistency is represented as ϕ_{CV} [%]. Lastly, to compensate for the association between ϕ_{ABS} and ϕ_{CV} , the phase coordination index (PCI) was obtained as PCI = $\phi_{CV} + P\phi_{ABS}$, where $P\phi_{ABS} = 100 \times (\phi_{ABS}/180)$. Further details about the association between ϕ_{ABS} and ϕ_{CV} can be found in Plotnik and coll. [13]. Notably, the PCI provides insights into both the accuracy and consistency of phase generation.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Results are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). The Shapiro–Wilk test was used to verify the normality of the distribution. The reliability of the SS and PCI was

assessed by an Intra-Class Correlation Coefficient (ICC) and classified as poor, if <0.05; moderate, if between 0.50 and 0.75; good, if between 0.75 and 0.9; and excellent, if >0.9, according to Koo and Li [95]. To assess differences for %HR_{MAX}, RPE, CT, ST, SC, SL, PCI, ϕ _CV, ϕ _ABS, and ϕ over the 3 different walking conditions (SS – 20/SS/SS + 20%), a one-way, repeated-measures analysis of variance (RM-ANOVA) was used. When a significant F-value was found, post-hoc analysis (LSD) between conditions was performed. The ANOVA effect size was also calculated (partial eta squared η_p^2) and classified as small (<0.06); medium (0.06–0.14); and large (>0.14) [96]. The significance level was fixed as $p \leq 0.05$. All of the analyses were conducted using the Statistical Package for Social Science software (V. 21.0, IBM SPSS Statistics, Chicago, IL, USA).

3. Results

The SS was 4.94 ± 0.58 (min/max: 4.00-6.20) km·h⁻¹. The speed during SS – 20 was 3.96 ± 0.47 (min/max: 3.20-5.00) km·h⁻¹ and the speed during SS + 20 was 5.80 ± 0.73 (min/max: 4.80-7.44) km·h⁻¹. RM-ANOVA showed differences among the three walking speeds for RPE (0.84 ± 0.61 , 0.97 ± 0.68 , 1.78 ± 0.83 a.u. in SS – 20, SS, SS + 20%, respectively) as well as HR (50.95 ± 4.50 , 52.85 ± 5.27 , $56.70 \pm 5.79\%$ HR_{max}, in SS – 20, SS, SS + 20%, respectively, with F_{1,12} = 24.680 and $\eta_p^2 = 0.655$ (ES: Large) with $p = 0.005/F_{1,12} = 43.785$ and $\eta_p^2 = 0.814$ (ES: large) with p = 0.035, respectively).

CT and SC decreased as the speed increased (Table 1 with post-hoc analysis) ($F_{1,12} = 8.232$ and $\eta_p^2 = 0.388$ (ES: Large) with $p = 0.013/F_{1,12} = 4.974$, and $\eta_p^2 = 0.277$ (ES: Large) with p = 0.044, respectively), while ST was unchanged ($F_{1,12} = 0.898$, and $\eta_p^2 = 0.065$ (ES: Medium) with p = 0.086). SL increased as the speed increased ($F_{1,12} = 1146.447$ and $\eta_p^2 = 0.990$ (ES: Large) with p = 0.0001). Conversely, the bilateral coordination (Table 2 with post-hoc analysis) data showed a parabolic trend. ANOVA showed large differences for ϕ (Figure 1), with $F_{1,12} = 16.360$ and $\eta_p^2 = 0.561$, with p = 0.001; PCI (Figure 2), with $F_{1,12} = 17.731$ and $\eta_p^2 = 0.577$, with p = 0.001; ϕ_- CV, with $F_{1,12} = 17.731$ and $\eta_p^2 = 0.833$, with p = 0.001; ϕ_- ABS, with $F_{1,12} = 17.701$ and $\eta_p^2 = 0.577$, with p = 0.001. The ICC for PCI was 0.905 (CI 95%: 0.704–0.969). The ICC for SS was 0.998 (CI 95%: 0.994–0.999).

Table 1. Footstep variables on the three different walking speeds (SS - 20/SS/SS + 20).

Variables	SS-20	SS	SS + 20
CT (s)	0.80 ± 0.07 ^{+,‡}	0.68 ± 0.06 $\$$	0.59 ± 0.06
ST (s)	0.40 ± 0.03	0.39 ± 0.03	0.40 ± 0.03
SL (cm)	131 ± 11 ^{+,‡}	$147\pm10\ { m \$}$	163 ± 11
SC (s)	$1.14\pm0.21~\ddagger$	1.08 ± 0.07	0.99 ± 0.07

Contact Time (CT); Swing Time (ST); Stride Length (SL); Stride Cycle (SC) are reported as mean and standard deviation (SD). Significant (p < 0.05) differences between SS - 20/SS are denoted as "+", SS - 20/SS + 20 as " \pm ", SS/SS + 20 as " \pm ".

Table 2. Effects of the three different walking speeds (SS - 20/SS/SS + 20) on the bilateral coordination parameters.

Variables	SS-20	SS	SS + 20
PCI (%)	$3.81\pm0.88~^{\dagger}$	$2.64 \pm 0.75\ ^{\$}$	3.36 ± 1.09
φ_CV (%)	$2.04\pm0.47~^{+}$	1.45 ± 1.83 $^{\$}$	1.83 ± 0.58
ϕ_{ABS} (deg)	1.77 ± 0.42 ⁺	1.19 ± 0.33 ^{\$}	1.55 ± 0.51
ϕ (deg)	182 ± 0.6 ⁺	181 ± 0.3 $^{\$}$	181 ± 0.7

Note: data are expressed as mean and SD; significant (p < 0.05) differences between SS – 20/SS are denoted as " \dagger ", SS/SS + 20 as "\$".



Figure 2. Bar chart demonstrating the PCI differences between the three different speeds.

4. Discussion

This study represents the first attempt to assess the individual preferred walking speed in 1 km overground, evaluating the physiological response and the gait variability on a treadmill. Our findings revealed a self-selected speed range between 4.00 and 6.20 km·h⁻¹, which is a crucial finding with significant implications for future research on walking gait in healthy individuals. Previous studies have reported differences in physiological and perceptual responses when comparing treadmill walking to overground walking for self-selected speed attainment [24,25]. However, the practicality of outdoor walking is often hampered by various environmental obstacles, such as safety concerns and adverse weather conditions, prompting the use of treadmills in various physical activity programs as an alternative [93]. Nonetheless, the ecological validity of treadmill walking

as a substitute for overground walking remains a relevant question that requires further investigation. Unfortunately, limited research has been dedicated to addressing this inquiry. Parvataneni et al. [26] discovered that treadmill walking at a self-selected pace demands a higher physiological response compared to overground walking, possibly due to increased co-contraction of agonist and antagonist muscles.

The second aim of this study was to assess the physiological response at different walking speeds. At the self-selected walking speed, the physiological response was $52.85 \pm 5.27\%$ HR_{max}, which increased/decreased concurrently with the speed. In the current study, participants reported RPE ranging from 1 to 2, regardless of the environment setting, in accordance with previous laboratory-based studies that employed self-paced protocols [89,97,98]. Our investigation revealed significantly higher RPE during the SS + 20 on the treadmill session. According to Foster's model of effort continua, this disparity in exertional perceptions [99] can be attributed to the moderate physiological demands associated with the walking speed.

The third aim was to determine the gait strategy variability at different speeds in the neighborhood of the overground self-selected speed. The kinematic data CT, SL, and SC showed a strong linear correlation when the speed increased (Table 1). Conversely, the motor coordination level showed a U-shaped behavior (Figure 2). Therefore, the gait variability was not conditioned by both fatigue effects and/or physiological efforts. Indeed, our investigation showed a U-shaped function (Figure 2) about the PCI as gait variability; thus, the PCI was higher (low coordination) at SS – 20 (3.81 ± 0.88%) and SS + 20 (3.36 ± 1.09%) compared to SS (2.64 ± 0.75%), with *p* = 0.001. The same parabolic U-shaped function trend (Table 2) was found for ϕ _CV, ϕ _ABS, and ϕ , according to Plotnik et al. [55].

A similar U-shaped trend in gait variability, analyzed using long-range correlation through detrended fluctuation analysis, has been observed in both running and walking gaits for female participants [14], although physiological effort measurements were not included. In both walking and running conditions, Jordan et al. [14,29] found that the lowest gait variability was observed at 100–110% of the preferred walking speed and 100% of the running speed, respectively. Therefore, the PCI and the long-range correlation using detrended fluctuation analysis might be equivalent in assessing gait variability from a methodological standpoint. However, the PCI analysis provides more comprehensive information regarding gait variability, including the accuracy and consistency of phase generation (ϕ _CV, ϕ _ABS, and ϕ). These metrics quantify the ability of young males to coordinate left–right stepping on flat terrain at different speeds and evaluate the precision and coherence of the gait pattern [13].

These metrics was able to quantify the ability of young males to coordinate left–right stepping on flat terrain at different speeds. Simultaneously, the PCI assesses both the precision (Table 2) of anti-phase coordination and the coherence of the gait pattern [55]. Considering that the lower limb during the walking gait is not constrained, as in pedaling on a bicycle [1], we think that it is more appropriate to consider all kinematic parameters (stride cycle, stride length, swing time, and contact time) as in PCI, compared to long-range correlation using detrended fluctuation analysis where only the stride cycle is used.

5. Conclusions

Our study demonstrated that walking at a specific self-selected pace requires the participant to continuously adjust the force produced and its timing relative to the foot position [1]. Reasonably, when the timing or the module of the force is not applied appropriately, an unwanted acceleration or deceleration of the lower limb occurs, inducing a fluctuation in cycle duration. It is possible that unusual riding positions change cycling variability due to mechanical factors [83]. Therefore, an increase in the number of corrections of the leg/foot velocity through timing activation of lower leg muscles is expected to increase gait variability, possibly as a function of walking speed. The gait variability is believed to reflect the need for central pattern generators to correct the timing activation of different muscles throughout the step cycle. As such, it is possible that the increase in the variability observed in SS - 20 and SS + 20 reflects a higher number of corrections during the cycle

due to the position [88,100]. This is also suggested by Marck et al. [101], who observed that restricting arm movements altered hip movement variability during walking. In conclusion, the physiological response and kinematics variables changed linearly when the speed increased. The walking gait coordination followed U-shaped curves as a function of walking speed; the better gait coordination was at self-selected speed in healthy young males. These findings support the hypothesis that reducing PCI at SS is reflective of enhanced stability of these speeds. Therefore, SS could be a new methodological approach to choose the individual walking speed in overground, to normalize the intensity of the speed, and to avoid a gait pattern alteration. For future perspectives, we aim to expand this protocol to encompass diverse surfaces and a wide range of age groups (including both young and elderly individuals), as well as individuals with injuries and lower limb conditions.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.P., D.M.B. and C.D.; methodology, S.R. and M.B.; software, F.E. and J.P.; validation, J.P. and C.D.; formal analysis, J.P. and D.M.B.; investigation, J.P., D.M.B. and C.D.; resources, J.P. and C.D.; data curation, D.M.B. and J.P.; writing—original draft preparation, J.P., S.R. and M.B.; writing—review and editing, S.R. and M.B.; visualization, F.E.; supervision, F.E.; project administration, F.E. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy (2-2021).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Padulo, J.; Di Capua, R.; Viggiano, D. Pedaling time variability is increased in dropped riding position. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* **2012**, *112*, 3161–3165. [CrossRef]
- Padulo, J.; Powell, D.W.; Ardigò, L.P.; Viggiano, D. Modifications in activation of lower limb muscles as a function of initial foot position in cycling. J. Electromyogr. Kinesiol. 2015, 25, 648–652. [CrossRef]
- 3. Russo, L.; Di Capua, R.; Arnone, B.; Borrelli, M.; Coppola, R.; Esposito, F.; Padulo, J. Shoes and insoles: The influence on motor tasks related to walking gait variability and stability. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 4569. [CrossRef]
- Milic, M.; Erceg, M.; Palermi, S.; Iuliano, E.; Borrelli, M.; Cè, E.; Esposito, F.; Padulo, J. Uphill walking at iso-efficiency speeds. Biol. Sport 2020, 37, 247–253. [CrossRef]
- 5. Padulo, J.; Annino, G.; D'Ottavio, S.; Vernillo, G.; Smith, L.; Migliaccio, G.M.; Tihanyi, J. Footstep analysis at different slopes and speeds in elite race walking. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 2013, 27, 125–129. [CrossRef]
- Padulo, J.; Annino, G.; Tihanyi, J.; Calcagno, G.; Vando, S.; Smith, L.; Vernillo, G.; La Torre, A.; D'ottavio, S. Uphill racewalking at iso-efficiency speed. J. Strength Cond. Res. 2013, 27, 1964–1973. [CrossRef]
- 7. Padulo, J. The effect of uphill stride manipulation on race walking gait. *Biol. Sport* 2015, 32, 267–271. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Ardigò, L.P.; Buglione, A.; Russo, L.; Cular, D.; Esposito, F.; Doria, C.; Padulo, J. Marathon shoes vs. track spikes: A crossover pilot study on metabolic demand at different speeds in experienced runners. *Res. Sport. Med.* **2023**, *31*, 13–20. [CrossRef]
- 9. Padulo, J.; Annino, G.; Smith, L.; Migliaccio, G.M.; Camino, R.; Tihanyi, J.; Dottavio, S. Uphill running at iso-efficiency speed. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 2012, 33, 819–823. [CrossRef]
- 10. Padulo, J.; Degortes, N.; Migliaccio, G.M.; Attene, G.; Smith, L.; Salernitano, G.; Annino, G.; D'Ottavio, S. Footstep manipulation during uphill running. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 2013, *34*, 244–247. [CrossRef]
- Padulo, J.; Annino, G.; Migliaccio, G.M.; D'Ottavio, S.; Tihanyi, J. Kinematics of running at different slopes and speeds. J. Strength Cond. Res. 2012, 26, 1331–1339. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Padulo, J.; Powell, D.; Milia, R.; Ardigò, L.P. A Paradigm of Uphill Running. PLoS ONE 2013, 8, e69006. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Gimmon, Y.; Rashad, H.; Kurz, I.; Plotnik, M.; Riemer, R.; Debi, R.; Shapiro, A.; Melzer, I. Gait coordination deteriorates in independent old-old adults. *J. Aging Phys. Act.* 2018, *26*, 382–389. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dingwell, J.B.; Salinas, M.M.; Cusumano, J.P. Increased gait variability may not imply impaired stride-to-stride control of walking in healthy older adults: Winner: 2013 Gait and Clinical Movement Analysis Society Best Paper Award. *Gait Posture* 2017, 55, 131–137. [CrossRef]

- 15. Werner, C.; Easthope, C.A.; Curt, A.; Demkó, L. Towards a mobile gait analysis for patients with a spinal cord injury: A robust algorithm validated for slow walking speeds. *Sensors* **2021**, *21*, 7381. [CrossRef]
- England, S.A.; Granata, K.P. The influence of gait speed on local dynamic stability of walking. *Gait Posture* 2007, 25, 172–178. [CrossRef]
- 17. Kim, J.; Bertram, J.E.A. Compliant walking appears metabolically advantageous at extreme step lengths. *Gait Posture* **2018**, 64, 84–89. [CrossRef]
- 18. Baroudi, L.; Yan, X.; Newman, M.W.; Barton, K.; Cain, S.M.; Shorter, K.A. Investigating walking speed variability of young adults in the real world. *Gait Posture* **2022**, *98*, 69–77. [CrossRef]
- 19. Pitts, J.; Singhal, K.; Apte, Y.; Patel, P.; Kannan, L.; Bhatt, T. The Effect of Cognitive Task, Gait Speed, and Age on Cognitive–Motor Interference during Walking. *Sensors* **2023**, *23*, 7368. [CrossRef]
- Bezuidenhout, L.; Thurston, C.; Hagströmer, M.; Conradsson, D.M. Validity of hip and ankle worn actigraph accelerometers for measuring steps as a function of gait speed during steady state walking and continuous turning. *Sensors* 2021, *21*, 3154. [CrossRef]
 di Prampero, P.E. The energy cost of human locomotion on land and in water. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 1986, 7, 55–72. [CrossRef]
- Nessler, J.A.; Spargo, T.; Craig-Jones, A.; Milton, J.G. Phase resetting behavior in human gait is influenced by treadmill walking speed. *Gait Posture* 2016, 43, 187–191. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Bari, M.A.; Mir, H.N.; Parrey, J.A.; Ateeq, A.; Ajhar, A.; Al Muslem, W.H.; Nuhmani, S.; Alduhishy, A.; Alsubaiei, M.E. Exploring variations in gait patterns and joint motion characteristics in school-aged children across different walking speeds: A comprehensive motion analysis study. *J. Med. Life* **2023**, *16*, 895–903. [CrossRef]
- 24. Brinkerhoff, S.A.; Murrah, W.M.; Hutchison, Z.; Miller, M.; Roper, J.A. Words matter: Instructions dictate "self-selected" walking speed in young adults. *Gait Posture* 2022, *95*, 223–226. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Cinar, E.; Saxena, S.; Gagnon, I. Differential Effects of Concurrent Tasks on Gait in Typically Developing Children: A Meta-Analysis. *J. Mot. Behav.* 2021, 53, 1–14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hennah, C.; Ellis, G.; Doumas, M. Dual task walking in healthy aging: Effects of narrow and wide walking paths. *PLoS ONE* 2021, *16*, e0261647. [CrossRef]
- Mazaheri, M.; Negahban, H.; Soltani, M.; Mehravar, M.; Tajali, S.; Hessam, M.; Salavati, M.; Kingma, I. Effects of narrow-base walking and dual tasking on gait spatiotemporal characteristics in anterior cruciate ligament-injured adults compared to healthy adults. *Knee Surg. Sport. Traumatol. Arthrosc.* 2017, 25, 2528–2535. [CrossRef]
- Jung, T.; Kim, Y.; Kelly, L.E.; Wagatsuma, M.; Jung, Y.; Abel, M.F. Comparison of Treadmill and Overground Walking in Children and Adolescents. *Percept. Mot. Skills* 2021, 128, 988–1001. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cesar, G.M.; Buster, T.W.; Mohammadzadeh Gonabadi, A.; Burnfield, J.M. Muscle demand and kinematic similarities between pediatric-modified motor-assisted elliptical training at fast speed and fast overground walking: Real-world implications for pediatric gait rehabilitation. J. Electromyogr. Kinesiol. 2022, 63, 102639. [CrossRef]
- 30. Ready, E.A.; McGarry, L.M.; Rinchon, C.; Holmes, J.D.; Grahn, J.A. Beat perception ability and instructions to synchronize influence gait when walking to music-based auditory cues. *Gait Posture* **2019**, *68*, 555–561. [CrossRef]
- Hunter, L.C.; Hendrix, E.C.; Dean, J.C. The cost of walking downhill: Is the preferred gait energetically optimal? *J. Biomech.* 2010, 43, 1910–1915. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Olsen, A.M.; Lambrechts, N.E.; Weng, H.Y.; Kazmierczak, K.A.; Duerr, F.M.; Breur, G.J. Transference of reference intervals for variables of instrumented gait analysis in walking dogs. *Am. J. Vet. Res.* 2020, *81*, 790–795. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chow, J.W.; Hemleben, M.E.; Stokic, D.S. Effect of centerline-guided walking on gait characteristics in healthy subjects. *J. Biomech.* 2009, 42, 1134–1137. [CrossRef]
- 34. Malde, D.; Pizzimenti, N.; McCamley, J.; Sumner, B. Are Age, Self-Selected Walking Speed, or Propulsion Force Predictors of Gait-Related Changes in Older Adults? *J. Appl. Biomech.* **2023**, *39*, 99–109. [CrossRef]
- Lindemann, U. Spatiotemporal gait analysis of older persons in clinical practice and research: Which parameters are relevant? Z. Gerontol. Geriatr. 2020, 53, 171–178. [CrossRef]
- Choi, J.S.; Kang, D.W.; Shin, Y.H.; Tack, G.R. Differences in gait pattern between the elderly and the young during level walking under low illumination. *Acta Bioeng. Biomech.* 2014, 16, 3–9. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ling, J.; Yasuda, K.; Hayashi, Y.; Imamura, S.; Iwata, H. Development of a vibrotactile cueing device that implicitly increases walking speed during gait training in stroke patients: An observational case series study. J. Med. Eng. Technol. 2022, 46, 25–31. [CrossRef]
- Hollman, J.H.; Watkins, M.K.; Imhoff, A.C.; Braun, C.E.; Akervik, K.A.; Ness, D.K. A comparison of variability in spatiotemporal gait parameters between treadmill and overground walking conditions. *Gait Posture* 2016, 43, 204–209. [CrossRef]
- Romkes, J.; Bracht-Schweizer, K. The effects of walking speed on upper body kinematics during gait in healthy subjects. *Gait* Posture 2017, 54, 304–310. [CrossRef]
- 40. Beerse, M.; Henderson, G.; Liang, H.; Ajisafe, T.; Wu, J. Variability of spatiotemporal gait parameters in children with and without Down syndrome during treadmill walking. *Gait Posture* **2019**, *68*, 207–212. [CrossRef]
- 41. Meinders, E.; Booij, M.J.; van den Noort, J.C.; Harlaar, J. How to compare knee kinetics at different walking speeds? *Gait Posture* **2021**, *88*, 225–230. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cinar, E.; McFadyen, B.J.; Gagnon, I. Relationship Between Dual-Task Walking and Level of Conflict Between Gait and Concurrent Tasks in Adolescents. J. Mot. Behav. 2023, 55, 152–161. [CrossRef]

- 43. Albesher, R.A.; Spittle, A.J.; Dobson, F.L.; Mentiplay, B.F.; FitzGerald, T.L.; Cameron, K.L.; Zannino, D.; Josev, E.K.; Doyle, L.W.; Cheong, J.L.Y.; et al. Spatiotemporal gait variables and step-to-step variability in preschool-aged children born < 30 weeks' gestation and at term in preferred speed, dual-task paradigm, and tandem walking. *Gait Posture* 2022, *92*, 236–242. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Hayes, S.C.; White, M.; White, H.S.F.; Vanicek, N. A biomechanical comparison of powered robotic exoskeleton gait with normal and slow walking: An investigation with able-bodied individuals. *Clin. Biomech.* **2020**, *80*, 105133. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Krumpoch, S.; Lindemann, U.; Rappl, A.; Becker, C.; Sieber, C.C.; Freiberger, E. The effect of different test protocols and walking distances on gait speed in older persons. *Aging Clin. Exp. Res.* **2021**, *33*, 141–146. [CrossRef]
- Donlin, M.C.; Pariser, K.M.; Downer, K.E.; Higginson, J.S. Adaptive treadmill walking encourages persistent propulsion. *Gait Posture* 2022, 93, 246–251. [CrossRef]
- 47. Habersack, A.; Kraus, T.; Kruse, A.; Regvar, K.; Maier, M.; Svehlik, M. Gait Pathology in Subjects with Patellofemoral Instability: A Systematic Review. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2022**, *19*, 10491. [CrossRef]
- Brisswalter, J.; Fougeron, B.; Legros, P. Variability in energy cost and walking gait during race walking in competitive race walkers. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 1998, 30, 1451–1455. [CrossRef]
- Hollman, J.H.; Kovash, F.M.; Kubik, J.J.; Linbo, R.A. Age-related differences in spatiotemporal markers of gait stability during dual task walking. *Gait Posture* 2007, 26, 113–119. [CrossRef]
- 50. Huang, C.; Zhang, F.; Xu, Z.; Wei, J. The Diverse Gait Dataset: Gait Segmentation Using Inertial Sensors for Pedestrian Localization with Different Genders, Heights and Walking Speeds. *Sensors* 2022, 22, 1678. [CrossRef]
- Zukowski, L.A.; Tennant, J.E.; Iyigun, G.; Giuliani, C.A.; Plummer, P. Dual-tasking impacts gait, cognitive performance, and gaze behavior during walking in a real-world environment in older adult fallers and non-fallers. *Exp. Gerontol.* 2021, 150, 111342. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Renner, K.; Delaney, C.; Hill, C.; Sands, L.; Queen, R. Predicting post-total ankle arthroplasty walking speed based on preoperative gait mechanics. J. Orthop. Res. 2023, 41, 1070–1075. [CrossRef]
- 53. Nessler, J.A.; Heredia, S.; Belair, J.; Milton, J. Walking on a vertically oscillating treadmill: Phase synchronization and gait kinematics. *PLoS ONE* 2017, *12*, e0169924. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Almarwani, M.; VanSwearingen, J.M.; Perera, S.; Sparto, P.J.; Brach, J.S. Challenging the motor control of walking: Gait variability during slower and faster pace walking conditions in younger and older adults. *Arch. Gerontol. Geriatr.* 2016, 66, 54–61. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Plotnik, M.; Giladi, N.; Hausdorff, J.M. A new measure for quantifying the bilateral coordination of human gait: Effects of aging and Parkinson's disease. *Exp. Brain Res.* 2007, 181, 561–570. [CrossRef]
- 56. Plotnik, M.; Azrad, T.; Bondi, M.; Bahat, Y.; Gimmon, Y.; Zeilig, G.; Inzelberg, R.; Siev-Ner, I. Self-selected gait speed—Over ground versus self-paced treadmill walking, a solution for a paradox. *J. Neuroeng. Rehabil.* **2015**, *12*, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 57. Hinton, D.C.; Thiel, A.; Soucy, J.P.; Bouyer, L.; Paquette, C. Adjusting gait step-by-step: Brain activation during split-belt treadmill walking. *Neuroimage* **2019**, *202*, 116095. [CrossRef]
- 58. Haaland, E.; Kaipust, J.; Wang, Y.; Stergiou, N.; Stoffregen, T.A. Human gait at sea while walking fore aft vs. athwart. *Aerosp. Med. Hum. Perform.* **2015**, *86*, 435–439. [CrossRef]
- 59. Magnani, R.M.; van Dieën, J.H.; Bruijn, S.M. Effects of vestibular stimulation on gait stability when walking at different step widths. *Exp. Brain Res.* 2023, 241, 49–58. [CrossRef]
- 60. Choi, J.S.; Seo, J.W.; Lee, J.S.; Kim, J.G.; Cho, J.H.; Tack, G.R. Differences in reproducibility of gait variability and fractal dynamics according to walking duration. *Technol. Health Care* 2020, *28*, S383–S390. [CrossRef]
- 61. Son, M.; Cheon, S.M.; Youm, C.; Kim, J.W. Turning reveals the characteristics of gait freezing better than walking forward and backward in Parkinson's disease. *Gait Posture* **2022**, *94*, 131–137. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Van Bladel, A.; De Ridder, R.; Palmans, T.; Van der Looven, R.; Cambier, D. Comparing spatiotemporal gait parameters between overground walking and self-paced treadmill walking in persons after stroke. *Disabil. Rehabil.* 2023, 45, 1016–1021. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Arazpour, M.; Soleimani, F.; Sajedi, F.; Vameghi, R.; Bani, M.A.; Gharib, M.; Samadian, M. Effect of orthotic gait training with isocentric reciprocating gait orthosis on walking in children with myelomeningocele. *Top. Spinal Cord Inj. Rehabil.* **2017**, 23, 147–154. [CrossRef]
- 64. Feodoroff, B.; Blümer, V. Unilateral non-electric assistive walking device helps neurological and orthopedic patients to improve gait patterns. *Gait Posture* 2022, 92, 294–301. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Maezawa, H.; Koganemaru, S.; Matsuhashi, M.; Hirata, M.; Funahashi, M.; Mima, T. Entrainment of chewing rhythm by gait speed during treadmill walking in humans. *Neurosci. Res.* 2020, 156, 88–94. [CrossRef]
- Maslivec, A.; Bampouras, T.M.; Dewhurst, S. Head flexion and different walking speeds do not affect gait stability in older females. *Hum. Mov. Sci.* 2017, 55, 87–93. [CrossRef]
- 67. Vaz, J.R.; Knarr, B.A.; Stergiou, N. Gait complexity is acutely restored in older adults when walking to a fractal-like visual stimulus. *Hum. Mov. Sci.* 2020, 74, 102677. [CrossRef]
- Misu, S.; Asai, T.; Murata, S.; Nakamura, R.; Isa, T.; Tsuboi, Y.; Oshima, K.; Koyama, S.; Sawa, R.; Fukumoto, Y.; et al. Association between Abnormal Gait Patterns and an Elevated Degree of Pain after Daily Walking: A Preliminary Study. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2022, 19, 2842. [CrossRef]

- 69. Strutzenberger, G.; Claußen, L.; Schwameder, H. Analysis of sloped gait: How many steps are needed to reach steady-state walking speed after gait initiation? *Gait Posture* **2021**, *83*, 167–173. [CrossRef]
- 70. Demura, T.; Demura, S.I. Relationship among gait parameters while walking with varying loads. *J. Physiol. Anthropol.* **2010**, 29, 29–34. [CrossRef]
- Gieysztor, E.; Kowal, M.; Paprocka-Borowicz, M. Primitive Reflex Factors Influence Walking Gait in Young Children: An Observational Study. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2022, 19, 4070. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 72. Nohelova, D.; Bizovska, L.; Vuillerme, N.; Svoboda, Z. Article gait variability and complexity during single and dual-task walking on different surfaces in outdoor environment. *Sensors* **2021**, *21*, 4792. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 73. McCrum, C.; Willems, P.; Karamanidis, K.; Meijer, K. Stability-normalised walking speed: A new approach for human gait perturbation research. *J. Biomech.* **2019**, *87*, 48–53. [CrossRef]
- 74. Lu, C.; Louie, K.H.; Twedell, E.L.; Vitek, J.L.; MacKinnon, C.D.; Cooper, S.E. Overground versus treadmill walking in Parkinson's disease: Relationship between speed and spatiotemporal gait metrics. *Gait Posture* **2022**, *93*, 96–101. [CrossRef]
- 75. O'Callaghan, B.P.F.; Doheny, E.P.; Goulding, C.; Fortune, E.; Lowery, M.M. Adaptive gait segmentation algorithm for walking bout detection using tri-axial accelerometers. In Proceedings of the 2020 42nd Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine & Biology Society (EMBC), Montreal, QC, Canada, 20–24 July 2020; Volume 2020, pp. 4592–4595. [CrossRef]
- 76. Hoffmann, R.; Brodowski, H.; Steinhage, A.; Grzegorzek, M. Detecting walking challenges in gait patterns using a capacitive sensor floor and recurrent neural networks. *Sensors* **2021**, *21*, 1086. [CrossRef]
- Yang, X.; Jiang, L.; Giri, S.; Ostadabbas, S.; Abdollah Mirbozorgi, S. A Wearable Walking Gait Speed-Sensing Device using Frequency Bifurcations of Multi-Resonator Inductive Link. In Proceedings of the 2021 43rd Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine & Biology Society (EMBC), Virtual Conference, 1–5 November 2021; pp. 7272–7275. [CrossRef]
- 78. Fan, Y.; Li, Z.; Han, S.; Lv, C.; Zhang, B. The influence of gait speed on the stability of walking among the elderly. *Gait Posture* **2016**, *47*, 31–36. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 79. Hagoort, I.; Vuillerme, N.; Hortobágyi, T.; Lamoth, C.J. Outcome-dependent effects of walking speed and age on quantitative and qualitative gait measures. *Gait Posture* **2022**, *93*, 39–46. [CrossRef]
- 80. Balasukumaran, T.; Gottlieb, U.; Springer, S. Spatiotemporal gait characteristics and ankle kinematics of backward walking in people with chronic ankle instability. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 11515. [CrossRef]
- 81. Huijben, B.; van Schooten, K.S.; van Dieën, J.H.; Pijnappels, M. The effect of walking speed on quality of gait in older adults. *Gait Posture* **2018**, *65*, 112–116. [CrossRef]
- Prosser, L.A.; Atkinson, H.L.; Alfano, J.M.; Kessler, S.K.; Ichord, R.B. Walking speed influences spatiotemporal but not symmetry measures of gait in children and adolescents with hemiplegia. *Gait Posture* 2022, 98, 233–236. [CrossRef]
- Jordan, K.; Challis, J.H.; Newell, K.M. Walking speed influences on gait cycle variability. *Gait Posture* 2007, 26, 128–134. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 84. Hausdorff, J.M. Gait variability: Methods, modeling and meaning. J. Neuroeng. Rehabil. 2005, 2, 19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Armitano-Lago, C.; Davis-Wilson, H.C.; Evans-Pickett, A.; Lisee, C.; Kershner, C.E.; Blackburn, T.; Franz, J.R.; Kiefer, A.W.; Nissman, D.; Pietrosimone, B. Gait Variability Structure Linked to Worse Cartilage Composition Post-ACL Reconstruction. *Med. Sci. Sport. Exerc.* 2023, 55, 1499–1506. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 86. Padulo, J.; Ayalon, M.; Barbieri, F.A.; Di Capua, R.; Doria, C.; Ardigò, L.P.; Dello Iacono, A. Effects of Gradient and Speed on Uphill Running Gait Variability. *Sports Health* **2023**, *15*, 67–73. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 87. Vieira, M.F.; Rodrigues, F.B.; de Sá e Souza, G.S.; Magnani, R.M.; Lehnen, G.C.; Campos, N.G.; Andrade, A.O. Gait stability, variability and complexity on inclined surfaces. *J. Biomech.* **2017**, *54*, 73–79. [CrossRef]
- 88. Kim, B.; Youm, C.; Park, H.; Lee, M.; Noh, B. Characteristics of Gait Variability in the Elderly While Walking on a Treadmill with Gait Speed Variation. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *8*, 4704. [CrossRef]
- Dasilva, S.G.; Guidetti, L.; Buzzachera, C.F.; Elsangedy, H.M.; Krinski, K.; De Campos, W.; Goss, F.L.; Baldari, C. Psychophysiological responses to self-paced treadmill and overground exercise. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 2011, 43, 1114–1124. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Padulo, J.; Chamari, K.; Ardigò, L.P. Walking and running on treadmill: The standard criteria for kinematics studies. *Muscles. Ligaments Tendons J.* 2014, 4, 159–162. [CrossRef]
- 91. Owings, T.M.; Grabiner, M.D. Measuring step kinematic variability on an instrumented treadmill: How many steps are enough? *J. Biomech.* **2003**, *36*, 1215–1218. [CrossRef]
- 92. Gilgen-Ammann, R.; Schweizer, T.; Wyss, T. Accuracy of distance recordings in eight positioning-enabled sport watches: Instrument validation study. *JMIR mHealth uHealth* **2020**, *8*, e17118. [CrossRef]
- Perri, M.G.; Anton, S.D.; Durning, P.E.; Ketterson, T.U.; Sydeman, S.J.; Berlant, N.E.; Kanasky, W.F.; Newton, R.L.; Limacher, M.C.; Daniel Martin, A. Adherence to exercise prescriptions: Effects of prescribing moderate versus higher levels of intensity and frequency. *Health Psychol.* 2002, 21, 452–458. [CrossRef]
- 94. García-Pinillos, F.; Latorre-Román, P.A.; Soto-Hermoso, V.M.; Párraga-Montilla, J.A.; Pantoja-Vallejo, A.; Ramírez-Campillo, R.; Roche-Seruendo, L.E. Agreement between the spatiotemporal gait parameters from two different wearable devices and high-speed video analysis. *PLoS ONE* **2019**, *14*, e0222872. [CrossRef]

- Koo, T.K.; Li, M.Y. A Guideline of Selecting and Reporting Intraclass Correlation Coefficients for Reliability Research. J. Chiropr. Med. 2016, 15, 155–163. [CrossRef]
- 96. Cohen, J. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences; Routledge: London, UK, 2013; ISBN 9780203771587.
- 97. Chung, M.J.; Wang, M.J.J. The change of gait parameters during walking at different percentage of preferred walking speed for healthy adults aged 20-60 years. *Gait Posture* 2010, *31*, 131–135. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sloot, L.H.; van der Krogt, M.M.; Harlaar, J. Self-paced versus fixed speed treadmill walking. *Gait Posture* 2014, 39, 478–484. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Foster, C.; Florhaug, J.A.; Franklin, J.; Gottschall, L.; Hrovatin, L.A.; Parker, S.; Doleshal, P.; Dodge, C. A New Approach to Monitoring Exercise Training. J. Strength Cond. Res. 2001, 15, 109–115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 100. Norris, B.J.; Wenning, A.; Wright, T.M.; Calabrese, R.L. Constancy and variability in the output of a central pattern generator. *J. Neurosci.* **2011**, *31*, 4663–4674. [CrossRef]
- 101. Marks, R. The effect of restricting arm swing during normal locomotion. Biomed. Sci. Instrum. 1997, 33, 209–215.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.