



Article Adjoint-Based Design Optimization of a Volute for a Radial Compressor[†]

Romain Hottois */[‡], Arnaud Châtel [‡] and Tom Verstraete [‡]

Turbomachinery & Propulsion Department, von Karman Institute, 1640 Rhode-Saint-Genèse, Belgium; arnaud.chatel@vki.ac.be (A.C.); tom.verstraete@vki.ac.be (T.V.)

* Correspondence: romain.hottois@vki.ac.be

[†] This manuscript is an extended version of the ETC2023-173 meeting paper published in the Proceedings of the 15th European Turbomachinery Conference, Budapest, Hungary, 24–28 April 2023.

[‡] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Numerical optimization methods are widely used for designing turbomachinery components due to the cost and time savings they can provide. In the available literature, the shape optimization of radial compressors mainly focuses on improving the impeller alone. However, it is well-established knowledge that the volute plays a key role in the overall performance of the compressor. The aim of the present paper is to perform an adjoint-based optimization of a volute that is designed for the SRV2-O compressor. The CAD model was first created by using the parametrization of 33 design parameters. Then, a butterfly topology was applied to mesh the computational domain with a multi-block structured grid, and an elliptic smoothing procedure was used to improve the quality of the fluid grid. A steady-state RANS CFD solver with a Spalart-Allmaras turbulence model was used to solve the Navier-Stokes equations, and then the flow sensitivities were computed with an adjoint solver. The objective function consists of minimizing the loss coefficient of the volute. The optimization is performed to obtain an improved design with a 14% loss reduction. A detailed flow and design analysis is carried out to highlight the loss reduction mechanisms, followed by the optimizer. Finally, the compressor map of the full stage is compared between the baseline and the optimized volute from the CFD simulations using a mixing plane interface. This research demonstrates the successful use of a gradient-based optimization technique to improve the volute of a radial compressor and opens the door towards simultaneously optimizing the wheel and the volute.

check for updates

Citation: Hottois, R.; Châtel, A.; Verstraete, T. Adjoint-Based Design Optimization of a Volute for a Radial Compressor. *Int. J. Turbomach. Propuls. Power* **2023**, *8*, 41. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijtpp8040041

Academic Editor: Marcello Manna

Received: 17 July 2023 Revised: 22 August 2023 Accepted: 31 August 2023 Published: 13 October 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY-NC-ND) license (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). Keywords: turbomachinery; design optimization; adjoint methods; radial compressor; volute

1. Introduction

The design optimization of turbomachinery components through numerical computations has been an increasing engineering activity for the past few decades, which has happened hand in hand with improvements in computational power and optimization algorithms. Nowadays, designers must deal with the problem of high complexity, involving geometries with many design variables, while aiming to achieve highly efficient designs with better performance. Within this context, two major approaches can be distinguished: gradient-free and gradient-based optimization. The first offers the advantage of converging to the global best geometry since the entire design space is investigated; however, this comes at the cost of a large number of costly computational fluid dynamics (CFDs) simulations. On the other hand, the gradient-based approach allows for converging to a local optimum within a few iterations only, where the computational cost is driven by the gradient calculation method.

One of many engineering fields where design optimization can be applied is the development of highly efficient radial compressors. However, the optimization is generally conducted only on the impeller, whereas one or several volutes are designed around an

optimized wheel to offer the best performance under design flow conditions. Kim et al. followed such a design optimization process for a centrifugal pump [1]. Once the impeller was optimized, two suitable volute geometries with different cross-sectional shapes were designed by applying Stepanoff's theory [2]. The performance of the candidates was then numerically assessed through CFD computations to select the best cross-section of the two. Based on this, four new designs with various circumferential cross-section distributions were also evaluated in order to come up with the final optimized design. Similarly, Han et al. [3] performed an optimization of a centrifugal pump impeller using a genetic algorithm and a back propagation neural network, whereas the shape of the volute was improved manually afterward. In order to do so, four geometrical parameters were used to define the geometry. It was shown that the optimized geometry offered better hydraulic performance under various flow conditions. Ji et al. [4] performed a 3D numerical analysis of a radial compressor and proposed manually applied geometrical modifications to the volute in order to improve the overall polytropic efficiency.

Another possibility is the design optimization of the volute alone using an optimization algorithm while imposing constant flow conditions at the inlet. By using this strategy, Huang et al. [5] optimized a volute with a rectangular cross-section for a radial compressor, with the objective of maximizing the minimal volute static pressure recovery coefficient under three flow conditions. Seven design parameters were chosen to define the shape and circumferential distribution of the cross-section, and a constrained optimization using response surfaces (CORSs) method was used to update these parameters. The whole flow of the compressor with an impeller and an optimized volute was computed to assess the performance. It was shown that the optimal volute offered a higher static pressure recovery coefficient and that the compressor's overall efficiency was slightly improved. Likewise, it is possible to include the predefined (possibly optimized) impeller geometry into the CFD computations at every stage of the optimization process of the volute. Such a procedure was applied by [6] to optimize a circular volute cross-section defined by seven design parameters. In total, 1500 individuals had to be evaluated during the gradient-free optimization process. In the end, the optimized volute offered a better operating range and an increased total pressure ratio, as well as isentropic efficiency.

To the authors' best knowledge, no gradient-based optimization of a volute has been performed to date, although the use of such methods allows for an increase in the number of degrees of freedom (33 design variables in the present case) at a limited computational cost. This will prove to be very useful when the wheel and volute are simultaneously optimized, as the increased number of design variables in such cases can only be tackled effectively through a gradient-based approach. The present work has to be seen as a precursor for achieving this goal. The paper is organized as follows: First, the application of the volute is introduced, with a focus on the parametrization and the numerical framework. The volute optimization method and results are then presented. Finally, a comparison of the compressor maps with and without an optimized design shows the improvement in total-tototal efficiency for the whole compressor. This manuscript corresponds to the ETC2023-173 conference paper, published in the Proceedings of the 15th European Turbomachinery Conference [7].

2. SRV2 Compressor with Volute

The SRV2 compressor wheel is a well-known open test case in the turbomachinery committee [8]. It has been the subject of several optimization works, for instance [9]. An increase of 3.9% in total-to-total efficiency was obtained within 16 iterations of a gradient-based optimizer, using 44 design variables to parametrize the impeller geometry. In the present work, a baseline volute geometry has been created such that it is adapted to the SRV2 impeller.

2.1. Geometry Definition

In order to build a volute geometry for the SRV2 compressor, two-dimensional profiles are first constructed, as shown in Figure 1a. Each profile is characterized by its inlet radius $(R_{inlet} = 155 \text{ mm})$ and inlet height $(h_{inlet} = 6 \text{ mm})$, which remain unchanged during the optimization as they are imposed by the impeller outlet geometry. Other design parameters include the height of the wall located above the inlet diffuser (h_{wall}), its radius location (R_{wall}) , the radius of the fillet between this wall and the low left arc $(R_{wallFillet})$, and the radius of the fillet located between the same wall and the inlet diffuser ($R_{inlet Fillet}$). One should note that during optimization, the wall radius can only decrease such that the overall size of the volute is limited. The circular shape is built with a series of arcs, of which the local radius ($R_{profile}$) is equal to the minimum volute radius (R_{MVR}), which is representative of the throat section of the volute multiplied by a local radius ratio that depends on the azimuthal position. Once created, the profiles are distributed along the circumference, as shown in Figure 1b, and then interpolated into a surface skin. The radius ratio at a given azimuthal position is given by a distribution law that is defined through a Bézier curve with eight control points. As presented in Figure 2, the Y co-ordinates of these control points are used as design variables in the optimization. The tongue is created with the same logic as used in the main scroll case, with the profiles located at different azimuthal positions and then interpolated into a surface skin. An axial outlet diffuser is added at the end of the scroll. It is made of circular sections, of which the local radius and center position depend on the axial location along the exit axis (Z direction), as depicted in Figure 3. These characteristics are determined by means of two Bézier curves with 11 control points each. The center of the circular sections can be shifted in the positive Y direction only. A fillet is created between the tongue and the outlet diffuser. Its radius is used as a design variable in the optimization because it will dictate whether a rounded tongue or a sharp tongue is better for flow performance. Finally, a circular pipe of a constant diameter is extruded at the end of the outlet diffuser. This diameter cannot be modified during the optimization to allow for connectivity to a pipe of a standard diameter. The outlet diffuser and exit pipe are also characterized by their length, which are set to 32 and 56 cm, respectively, and remain constant during the optimization. The 3D geometry is presented in Figure 4, with a close-up view of the tongue fillet. In total, 33 design variables are used during the optimization process.



(**a**) Two-dimensional profiles.

Figure 1. Scroll and tongue geometry definition.



(b) Distributed profiles.



Figure 2. Radius ratio distribution law based on a Bézier curve.



Figure 3. Outlet diffuser profiles.



Figure 4. 3D volute geometry.

2.2. Numerical Framework

A multi-block structured grid with butterfly topology is used to mesh the computational domain. The initial grid is generated with transfinite interpolation [10], and the mesh quality is then improved by in-house 3D elliptic grid smoothing [11] using a Gauss-Seidel method. The topology and final mesh can be visualized in Figure 5a,b, respectively. The mesh size is 3.1 M cells, which has been selected based on a mesh convergence study. This choice is taken as a good compromise between computational effort and accuracy. The numerical flow computations are performed by means of an in-house RANS solver using a Spalart-Allmaras turbulence model, which has been validated against experimental data in the past and is extensively detailed in [12]. The total quantities and flow angle are imposed at the inlet of the domain, and the static pressure is set as constant at the outlet. On average, the Y-Plus value is set between 2 and 5.



(a) Butterfly topology.

Figure 5. Fluid grid.

2.3. Preliminary Setup for Optimization

The optimization is conducted at the peak efficiency of the SRV2-O compressor. In order to find this operating point, first, the performance map is obtained by running several CFD simulations on the full stage (wheel + volute). For details on the impeller mesh, the reader is referred to [9]. A mixing plane interface is used to connect the two components,

(b) 3D volute mesh.

following the approach suggested by Wang [13], where a set of one-dimensional control volumes (in the rotor spanwise direction) is used to update the circumferentially averaged states at the interface. The states in these control volumes are used to set the inlet and outlet conditions for the volute and rotor, respectively, using Giles's quasi-two-dimensional non-reflective boundary condition by considering the propagation of the characteristic quantities, which neglects local viscosity [14]. The states in the one-dimensional control volumes are added as unknowns to the system of equations to facilitate the completely implicit formulation of the mixing plane. The static pressure at the outlet of the volute is modified for each calculation in order to vary the mass flow in the compressor. The results can be found in Figures 14 and 15, where the total-to-total pressure ratio and totalto-total efficiency are presented for the baseline and optimized designs. At this point, one should only pay attention to the curves related to the baseline. The point of peak efficiency corresponds to a mass flow of 2.22 kg/s in the baseline compressor, which is obtained by setting an outlet static pressure of 310,000 Pa. The flow data are extracted at the exit of the impeller and are used as the inflow conditions at the inlet of the volute during optimization. The boundary conditions are summarized in Table 1. The rotational speed is 40,000 RPM.

Table 1. Boundary conditions.

Radial inflow component	$\frac{ V_{r,in} }{ V_{in} }$	[-]	0.6428
Axial inflow component	$\frac{ V_{x,in} }{ V_{in} }$	[-]	0
Inlet total pressure	p _{tot,in}	[Pa]	343,000
Inlet total temperature	T _{tot,in}	[K]	453
Outlet static pressure	pout	[Pa]	310,000

3. Volute Optimization

In this section, the gradient-based optimization of the volute is presented. The optimization loop that is used within this work is shown in Figure 6. Starting from a baseline design defined by the initial parameters x_0 , the volute geometry is created and meshed, and the CFD calculations are run. The gradient evaluation of the objective function with respect to the grid point positions $(\frac{d\omega}{dY})$ is performed by means of a discrete adjoint solver. It is used to solve for Ψ in Equation (1):

$$\left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}}{\partial U}\right]^T \Psi = \left[\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial U}\right]^T,\tag{1}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{R}_{\Psi} = -\left[\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial U}\right]^{T} + \left[\frac{\partial\mathcal{R}}{\partial U}\right]^{T} \Psi = 0.$$
(2)

Equation (2) defines the adjoint residual \mathcal{R}_{Ψ} , which is driven to zero by a JT-KIRK scheme [15] combined with an ILU(0)-preconditioned GMRES solver for solving the linear system of the equations of each of the Runge-Kutta stages. Acceleration techniques such as local time stepping and multigrid are also used. Equation (2) is solved in a discrete fashion for each cell of the domain. This requires the linearization of the loss coefficient with respect to the flow variables $(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial U})$, which has non-zero entries at the boundaries only, and the linearization of the jacobian $(\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}}{\partial U})$. The latter involves differentiating the finite-volume flow solver, including the spatial discretization of the governing equations. The output of the adjoint solver is a three-dimensional vector field of the adjoint variables corresponding to the conservation equations of the mass, momentum, and energy of the primal flow problem. These can be used to assemble the gradient of the objective function with respect to the grid co-ordinates $(\frac{d\omega}{dY})$, following Equation (3), where the partial derivatives $(\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial Y})$ and

 $\left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial Y}\right)$ are computed in a postprocessing routine. For further details on the iterative solution strategy implemented within the adjoint solver, the reader is referred to [12].

$$\frac{d\omega}{dY} = \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial Y} - \Psi^T \frac{\partial\mathcal{R}}{\partial Y}$$
(3)

In parallel, grid sensitivities $(\frac{dY}{dx})$, with respect to the design variables, are computed using a complex step method [16]. The chain rule is then applied to obtain the gradient of the performance quantities of interest with respect to the design variables $(\frac{d\omega}{dx})$. This information is provided to a SQP optimizer [17] that updates the design variables. The process is repeated until an optimal solution is found. The accuracy of the gradient calculation method was proven in previous studies [9,12,17].



Figure 6. Gradient-based design optimization loop implemented within the CADO software.

3.1. Objective Definition

The objective is to minimize the loss coefficient of the volute, which is defined in Equation (4), where the total pressure quantities are mass-averaged and the inlet static pressure is area-averaged. It is important to stress the fact that minimizing the loss coefficient with constant outlet static pressure will inevitably change the mass flow, which is likely to increase in order to reduce the head losses. Nevertheless, the loss minimization still holds if the initial mass flow is recovered. Furthermore, it was shown in [18] that for a given design, the loss coefficient depends exclusively on the inlet flow angle, whereas it is independent of the mass flow. This can be attributed to a negligible change in the Reynolds and Mach numbers, hence keeping the nondimensional similitude quasi-intact.

$$\omega = \frac{p_{tot,in} - p_{tot,out}}{p_{tot,in} - p_{in}} \tag{4}$$

3.2. Optimization Results

The optimization history is presented in Figure 7. A reduction of 14% in the loss coefficient is achieved within 13 major iterations, over which 17 CFD evaluations and 13 adjoint calculations are required. The data are normalized by the baseline performance, for

which the absolute loss coefficient is equal to 0.347. During the optimization process, the L2 norm of the gradients is reduced by two orders of magnitude, as shown in Figure 8. At the final iteration, the L2 norm is relatively close to zero, suggesting that the optimizer has found an optimal solution to the optimization problem.



Figure 7. Optimization history.

Another important performance parameter for a volute is the pressure recovery coefficient, which characterizes the static pressure rise relative to the kinetic energy available at the inlet, as defined in Equation (5). Its value goes from 0.61 in the baseline case to 0.665 in the optimized one, which represents an increase of 9%. This is due to the increased mass flow as a result of the decreased losses.

$$C_p = \frac{p_{out} - p_{in}}{p_{tot,in} - p_{in}} \tag{5}$$

In order to understand how shape modifications lead to loss reductions, it is important to recall the major loss mechanisms in a volute. The largest contributions to volute losses are caused by friction losses and non-isentropic deceleration. Additional losses can be generated indirectly through interactions with the impeller by the circumferential pressure distortion generated by the volute [19]. A volute converts the radial influx of mass into a tangential stream through the tangentially increasing cross-sections. If the volute is too large, the cross-sectional area increases more than needed for the given influx, and an inefficient diffusion takes place in the azimuthal direction. A direct consequence of this is a circumferential distortion at the volute inlet, which will introduce unsteadiness in the impeller. Conversely, if the volute is too small, the flow is accelerated along the circumference, which leads to the destruction of the rise in pressure that was achieved in the impeller and, thus, extra losses as well. Hence, the most important parameters are those that control the cross-sectional growth of the volute in the azimuthal direction. Zhenzhong Sun et al. [20] showed that the minimum volute radius and radius ratio distribution play a significant role in compressor performance. In the present case, the minimum volute radius is decreased from 23 to 17.3 cm, as shown in Table 2, whereas the radius ratio distribution is changed. One can also observe that the inner wall radius stays at its upper bound value. The wall fillet radius is reduced to 1.0 mm, which corresponds to its lower range limit, and the tongue radius is increased from 5 to 5.8 mm. In Table 2, a selection of the most influential parameters is chosen from among the set of 33 design variables.



Figure 8. Gradients L2 norm history.

Table 2. Evolu	tion of the mo	st influential de	sign parameters.
----------------	----------------	-------------------	------------------

Index	Parameter Name	Baseline	Optimized	Units
1	Minimum volute radius	0.023	0.017	[m]
2	Wall height	0.008	0.0074	[m]
3	Wall fillet radius	0.0025	0.001	[m]
4	Wall radius	0.195	0.195	[m]
5	Inlet fillet radius	0.001	0.0012	[m]
6	Radius ratio point 2	1.4	1.22844	[-]
7	Radius ratio point 3	1.6	1.45406	[-]
8	Radius ratio point 4	1.7	1.72688	[-]
9	Radius ratio point 5	1.75	2.06383	[-]
10	Radius ratio point 6	1.9	2.22392	[-]
11	Radius ratio point 7	2.1	2.26456	[-]
12	Radius ratio point 8	2.3	2.35792	[-]
13	Radius ratio point 9	2.5	3.08543	[-]
14	Tongue radius	0.005	0.0058	[m]

Figure 9 shows a cut at a constant Y plane in the main scroll (at an azimuthal position of 270 degrees), with the total pressure map being displayed. The reduction in the cross-sectional area is apparent. The central low-pressure zone corresponds to losses due to the shear forces at the center of the highly rotational flow, which are also called swirl losses. This contribution to the total losses can hardly be minimized due to the parametrization of the volute, as it does not allow for modifications to the profile shape and inlet height. By extracting cross-sectional flow data at different azimuthal positions, the evolution of the mass-flow-averaged tangential velocity and area-averaged static pressure along the volute circumference is computed, as presented in Figure 10. Following a simplified loss prediction model [21], it is possible to estimate the total pressure losses due to the drop in tangential velocity from the volute inlet to the center of each section, as presented in Equation (6):

$$\Delta p_{tot,\theta} \approx \sigma \; \frac{\rho \; (V_{t,in} - V_{t,\theta})^2}{2},\tag{6}$$

with $\sigma = 1$ for decelerating flows and $\sigma = 0$ for accelerating flows. The quantity ρ is the area-averaged density, and $V_{t,in}$ is the mass-flow-averaged tangential velocity at the inlet. These flow variables are equal to 2.39 kg/m³ and 151.5 m/s for the baseline design. For the optimized design, $V_{t,in}$ is equal to 165 m/s. As an example, by taking an azimuthal position of 200 degrees, one can compute that the total pressure losses are $\Delta p_{tot,200} = 325.3$ Pa in the baseline geometry, whereas they are at zero in the optimized case as there is no deceleration in the flow. This can be verified at different azimuthal positions and shows that the optimized area distribution allows for a reduction in the losses arising from inefficient diffusion along the volute. In contrast, the flow is decelerated in the baseline case, which results in a rise in pressure along the circumference, as illustrated in Figure 10b, and additional diffusion losses.



Figure 9. Cross-section in the Y plane.



(a) Average tangential velocity.

(b) Average static pressure.

Figure 10. Cross-sectional averaged flow data along the circumference.



Figure 11. Cross-section in the X plane.

Figure 11 shows the static pressure distribution at a constant X plane corresponding to the middle height of the inlet (i.e., X = 3 mm). One can observe that the circumferential flow distribution benefits from the optimized shape, with less distortion when compared to the initial design. In order to demonstrate the reduced level of distortion at the inlet, the tangential velocity is extracted at a constant radius R = 165 mm, that is 1 cm downstream of the volute inlet. The results are displayed in Figure 12. Again, one can see the reduced flow distortion close to the inlet, thanks to the optimized volute cross-sectional area distribution. It can be shown that the radial velocity follows the same trend, as the inlet flow angle is imposed.



Figure 12. Tangential velocity distribution along the circumference.

Finally, Figure 13 shows a close up of the tongue profile in a constant X plane. From this figure, one can deduce that the set of design variables is modified such that the optimized tongue position is adapted to the flow angle, which results in lower losses. Indeed, the stagnation point is nicely located on the tongue in the optimized design, whereas it is shifted downstream inside the outlet diffuser in the baseline case, which leads to a larger flow separation close to the tongue wall, as shown by the velocity vectors. Similar observations can be found in [22].



Figure 13. Zoom on tongue area-Baseline vs. Optimized design.

3.3. Updated Compressor Map

The optimization of the volute has a direct impact on the compressor map, as an optimized volute design can increase the operating range of a pump or a compressor in addition to better performance under design conditions. The full-stage compressor map is recomputed using the optimized geometry. The results are shown in Figures 14 and 15. One can observe that the total-to-total pressure ratio and efficiency have both been increased thanks to the optimized volute. The curves are shifted towards higher mass flows as a consequence of the reduced losses. One should note that since a mixing plane interface is used for the full-stage calculations, the impact on the impeller of less flow distortion at the inlet of the volute cannot be measured. It can be assumed that, with unsteady flow calculations, the new volute would potentially offer better performance on top of the steady improvements.



Figure 14. Pressure ratio map.



Figure 15. Efficiency map.

4. Conclusions

In the present study, the adjoint-based design optimization of a volute is performed for an SRV2 radial compressor. After computing the compressor map of the full stage by using a mixing plane interface, the volute design was optimized for the flow conditions extracted at peak efficiency. The geometry was parametrized by means of 33 design variables, and the objective function was defined as the minimization of the loss coefficient. The optimizer converged to an optimal solution after 13 iterations, for which the losses decreased by 14% when compared to the baseline. It is shown that this reduction is mainly due to adapting the size of the volute through a change in the minimum volute area and a modification to the area distribution along the circumference. The shape optimization also leads the tongue of the volute to be aligned with the inlet flow angle. The compressor map was recomputed and showed that performance improved for the whole operating range with an average of above 1%. This gradient-based optimization of a volute constitutes an important step towards full-stage optimization, which will allow researchers to consider additional flow interaction processes.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, R.H., T.V. and A.C.; methodology, R.H., T.V. and A.C.; software, R.H.; validation, R.H., T.V. and A.C.; writing—original draft preparation, R.H.; writing—review and editing, R.H., T.V. and A.C.; visualization, R.H.; supervision, T.V. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data can be made available upon request to the contact author.

Acknowledgments: This research was funded by a PhD grant of the von Karman Institute for Fluid dynamics.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

- R Radius
- h Height
- *x* Design variable

- Y Grid co-ordinates
- *U* Flow solution
- α Inlet flow angle
- *p* Static pressure
- *T* Static temperature
- η Efficiency
- ω Pressure loss coefficient
- *C_p* Static pressure recovery coefficient
- *V* Absolute flow velocity
- П Pressure ratio
- Ψ Adjoint variables
- \mathcal{R} Flow residuals
- \mathcal{R}_{Ψ} Adjoint residuals
- CFDs Computational fluid dynamics RANS Revnolds averaged Navier-Stoke
- RANS Reynolds averaged Navier-Stokes SQP Sequential quadratic programming
- *b* Lower bound
- *ub* Upper bound
- tot Total
- *in* Inlet
- out Outlet
- TT Total-to-total
- t Tangential
 - Radial

r

References

- 1. Kim, J.H.; Oh, K.T.; Pyun, K.B.; Kim, C.K.; Choi, Y.S.; Yoon, J.Y. Design optimization of a centrifugal pump impeller and volute using computational fluid dynamics. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* 2012, 15, 032025. [CrossRef]
- Stepanoff, A.J. Centrifugal and Axial Flow Pumps: Theory, Design, and Application; Krieger Publishing Company: Malabar, NJ, USA, 1993.
- 3. Han, X.; Kang, Y.; Sheng, J.; Hu, Y.; Zhao, W. Centrifugal pump impeller and volute shape optimization via combined NUMECA, genetic algorithm, and back propagation neural network. *Struct. Multidiscip. Optim.* **2020**, 61, 381–409. [CrossRef]
- 4. Ji, C.; Wang, Y.; Yao, L. Numerical Analysis and Optimization of the Volute in a Centrifugal Compressor. In *Challenges of Power Engineering and Environment*; Cen, K., Chi, Y., Wang, F., Eds.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2007; pp. 1352–1356.
- Huang, J.; Xu, S.; Liu, H.; Wang, X. Robust performance optimization of centrifugal compressor volute with a rectangular cross-section. In Proceedings of the ASME Turbo Expo 2015: Turbine Technical Conference and Exposition, Montréal, QC, Canada, 15–19 June 2015; No. GT2015-42979.
- 6. Heinrich, M.; Schwarze, R. Genetic Algorithm Optimization of the Volute Shape of a Centrifugal Compressor. *Int. J. Rotating Mach.* **2016**, *13*, 4849025. [CrossRef]
- Hottois, R.; Chatel, A.; Verstraete, T. Adjoint-based design optimization of a volute for radial compressor. In Proceedings of the 15th European Turbomachinery Conference, Budapest, Hungary, 24–28 April 2023; paper n. ETC2023-173. Available online: https://www.euroturbo.eu/publications/conference-proceedings-repository/ (accessed on 16 July 2023).
- 8. Eisenlohr, G.; Krain, H. Investigation of the flow through a high pressure ratio centrifugal impeller. In Proceedings of the ASMETurbo Expo 2002: Power for Land, Sea, and Air, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 3–6 June 2002; No. GT2002-30394.
- 9. Chatel, A.; Verstraete, T. Aerodynamic optimization of the SRV2 radial compressor using an adjoint-based optimization method. In Proceedings of the ASME Turbo Expo 2022, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, 13–17 June 2022.
- 10. Liseikin, V.D. Grid Generation Methods, 3rd ed.; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2017.
- 11. Thompson, J.F.; Warsi, Z.U.A.; Mastin, C.W. Numerical Grid Generation: Foundations and Applications; North-Holland: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1985.
- 12. Muller, L. Adjoint-Based Optimization of Turbomachinery with Applications to Axial and Radial Turbines. Ph.D. Thesis, Universite Libre de Bruxelles, Ecole Polytechnique de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium, 2019.
- 13. Wang, D. An Improved Mixing-Plane Method for Analyzing Steady Flow through Multiple-Blade-Row Turbomachine. *J. Turbomach.* **2014**, *136*, 081003. [CrossRef]
- 14. Giles, M. Non-Reflecting Boundary Conditions for the Euler Equations. AIAA J. 1990, 28, 2050–2058. [CrossRef]
- 15. Xu, S.; Radford, D.; Meyer, M.; Müller, J.-D. Stabilisation of Discrete Steady Adjoint Solvers. J. Comput. Phys. 2015, 299, 175–196. [CrossRef]
- 16. Martins, J.R.R.A.; Sturdza, P.; Alonso, J.J. The Complex-Step Derivative Approximation. *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.* 2003, 29, 245–262. [CrossRef]

- 17. Hottois, R.; Chatel, A.; Coussement, G.; Debruyn, T.; Verstraete, T. Comparing gradient-free and gradient-based multi-objective optimization methodologies on the VKI-LS89 turbine vane test case. *J. Turbomach.* **2022**, *145*, 031001. [CrossRef]
- Rosemeier, J. Numerical Analysis of a Centrifugal Compressor Including a Vaneless Diffuser and a Volute. 2017. Available online: https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:54817508 (accessed on 16 July 2023).
- 19. Van den Braembussche, R. Design and Analysis of Centrifugal Compressors; ASME Press, Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 2019.
- 20. Sun, Z.; Zheng, X.; Linghu, Z.; Kawakubo, T.; Tamaki, H.; Wang, B. Influence of volute design on flow field distortion and flow stability of turbocharger centrifugal compressors. *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part J. Automob. Eng.* **2019**, 233, 484–494. [CrossRef]
- 21. Japikse, D. Advanced diffusion levels in turbocharger compressors and component matching. In Proceedings of the First International Conference on Turbocharging and Turbochargers, London, UK, 16–17 May 1982; pp. 143–155.
- 22. Alemi, H.; Nourbakhsh, S.A.; Raisee, M.; Najafi, A.F. Effect of the volute tongue profile on the performance of a low specific speed centrifugal pump. *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part A J. Power Energy* **2014**, 229, 210–220. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.