

## Correction

## Correction: Smirnov et al. Experimental and Statistical Modeling for Effect of Nozzle Diameter, Filling Pattern, and Layer Height of FDM-Printed Ceramic–Polymer Green Body on Biaxial Flexural Strength of Sintered Alumina Ceramic. J. Compos. Sci. 2023, 7, 381

Anton Smirnov <sup>1</sup>,\*<sup>(D)</sup>, Nikita Nikitin <sup>2</sup>,\*<sup>(D)</sup>, Pavel Peretyagin <sup>2,3</sup><sup>(D)</sup>, Roman Khmyrov <sup>4</sup><sup>(D)</sup>, Ekaterina Kuznetsova <sup>1</sup><sup>(D)</sup> and Nestor Washington Solis Pinargote <sup>1,2</sup><sup>(D)</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Laboratory of 3D Structural and Functional Engineering, Moscow State University of Technology "STANKIN", Vadkovsky per. 1, Moscow 127055, Russia; e.kuznetsova@stankin.ru (E.K.); nw.solis@stankin.ru (N.W.S.P.)
- <sup>2</sup> Spark Plasma Sintering Research Laboratory, Moscow State University of Technology "STANKIN", Vadkovsky per. 1, Moscow 127055, Russia; p.peretyagin@stankin.ru
- <sup>3</sup> Scientific Department, A.I. Evdokimov Moscow State University of Medicine and Dentistry, Delegatskaya St., 20, p.1, Moscow 127473, Russia
- <sup>4</sup> Laboratory of Innovative Additive Technologies, Moscow State University of Technology "STANKIN", Vadkovsky per. 1, Moscow 127055, Russia; r.khmyrov@stankin.ru
- \* Correspondence: a.smirnov@stankin.ru (A.S.); nikitin5@yandex.ru (N.N.); Tel.: +7-4999-7323-70 (A.S. & N.N.)



Citation: Smirnov, A.; Nikitin, N.; Peretyagin, P.; Khmyrov, R.; Kuznetsova, E.; Solis Pinargote, N.W. Correction: Smirnov et al. Experimental and Statistical Modeling for Effect of Nozzle Diameter, Filling Pattern, and Layer Height of FDM-Printed Ceramic–Polymer Green Body on Biaxial Flexural Strength of Sintered Alumina Ceramic. J. Compos. Sci. 2023, 7, 381. J. Compos. Sci. 2024, 8, 95. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcs 8030095

Received: 11 January 2024 Accepted: 6 February 2024 Published: 4 March 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). The authors would like to highlight the following correction to their published paper [1].

- 1. Experimental data (weight, diameter, thickness and strength of 3D printed and sintered 60Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/40PLA samples) presented in Table 1 was changed.
- 2. New text (From the presented results it can be seen that the printed samples with filling type "line" and layer height 0.4 mm have the best mechanical properties. This can be explained by the fact that the fewer horizontal layers in the sample, the fewer voids between them, which negatively affect the mechanical properties. In addition, the greater the line thickness, the fewer horizontal layers need to be extruded to achieve a given disk height, and therefore fewer voids are formed in the printed object. Printing an object with the fill type "line" creates a two-dimensional grid where only one axis is printed along one layer. For the mold sample studied and a density of 100%, this fill type allowed the slicer to place the extruded filaments with as much contact between them as possible, in contrast to the "zigzag" and "concentric" fill patterns) describing the effect of filler pattern on flexural strength has been added to the "Conclusions" section.

The authors state that the scientific conclusions are unaffected. These corrections were approved by the Academic Editor. The original publication has also been updated.



N≥	Nozzle Diameter, mm	Layer Height, mm	Filling Pattern	Samples						
				Printed			Sintered			$\sigma_{H_{\star}}$ MPa
				<i>w</i> , g	d, mm	<i>h,</i> mm	<i>W</i> , g	D, mm	H, mm	
1	0.6	0.2	Zigzag	2.7	25.9	2.2	2.3	21.6	1.6	242
2		0.3		2.5	25.9	1.9	2.1	22.3	1.6	245
3		0.4		2.8	25.8	2.3	2.5	22.2	2.1	268
4		0.2	Line	2.7	25.9	2.3	2.3	22.4	1.6	277
5		0.3		2.5	25.5	2.1	2.2	21.9	1.7	315
6		0.4		2.8	25.7	2.2	2.3	21.9	1.9	332
7		0.2	Concentric	2.6	25.9	2.0	2.2	22.3	1.6	228
8		0.3		2.4	25.6	1.9	2.0	22.0	1.6	236
9		0.4		2.7	25.8	2.1	2.3	21.8	1.8	248
10	- - - - - - - -	0.2	Zigzag	2.7	25.4	2.0	2.3	22.1	1.7	215
11		0.3		2.5	25.4	1.9	2.1	21.9	1.6	260
12		0.4		2.5	25.3	1.9	2.2	21.5	1.6	265
13		0.2	Line	2.7	25.8	2.2	2.3	21.1	2.0	280
14		0.3		2.5	25.7	2.0	2.1	21.8	1.7	292
15		0.4		2.5	24.8	2.0	2.2	21.0	1.8	322
16		0.2	Concentric	2.7	25.6	2.0	2.3	22.0	1.9	191
17		0.3		2.5	25.5	1.9	2.1	22.0	1.7	196
18		0.4		2.8	25.4	2.0	2.0	21.3	1.7	250
19	- 1.0	0.2	Zigzag	2.8	26.1	2.3	2.4	22.5	1.9	275
20		0.3		2.6	25.3	2.1	2.1	21.9	1.7	280
21		0.4		2.8	25.1	2.3	2.4	21.3	1.9	295
22		0.2	Line	2.8	25.2	2.2	2.4	21.5	2.0	277
23		0.3		2.8	25.2	2.3	2.4	21.9	2.0	298
24		0.4		3.2	25.4	2.4	2.7	21.9	2.0	327
25		0.2	Concentric	2.9	25.3	2.3	2.5	21.5	1.9	180
26		0.3		2.9	25.2	2.3	2.6	21.7	2.0	203
27		0.4		2.7	25.5	2.2	2.3	21.8	1.9	230

**Table 1.** Weight (*w*), diameter (*d*), thickness (*h*), and strength ( $\sigma_H$ ) of 3D-printed and sintered 60Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/40PLA samples as a function of selected FDM printing parameters.

## Reference

 Smirnov, A.; Nikitin, N.; Peretyagin, P.; Khmyrov, R.; Kuznetsova, E.; Solis Pinargote, N.W. Experimental and Statistical Modeling for Effect of Nozzle Diameter, Filling Pattern, and Layer Height of FDM-Printed Ceramic–Polymer Green Body on Biaxial Flexural Strength of Sintered Alumina Ceramic. J. Compos. Sci. 2023, 7, 381. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.